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## Introduction and Motivation

## Introduction and Motivation

## AGT correspondence

- 4d AGT correspondence [Alday-Gaiotto-Tachikawa, 0906.3219]

Nekrasov factor of SUSY gauge theory on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ with $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{N})$ gauge group $=$ Conformal block of $W_{N}$ algebra

- 5d AGT correspondence [Awata-Yamada, 0910.4431]

Nekrasov factor of SUSY gauge theory on $\mathbb{R}^{4} \times S^{1}$ with $U(N)$ gauge group
$=$ Conformal block of $q W_{N}$ algebra

It was shown in [Feigin-Shiraishi-et al., 1002.2485] that all of the $q$-deformed $W_{N}$ algebra can be generated from an algebra called quantum toroidal $\mathfrak{g l}_{1}$ algebra. This motivates us to regard quantum toroidal $\mathfrak{g l}_{1}$ algebra $U_{q_{1}, q_{2}}\left(\widehat{\hat{\mathfrak{g l}}}_{1}\right)$ as the algebra underlying the $5 d$ AGT correspondence. One way to justify this statement is to consider Fock $q$-KZ equation for quantum toroidal $\mathfrak{g l}_{1}$ [Awata-Kanno-et al., 1703.06084].

## Introduction and Motivation

Fock $q-K Z$ equation for quantum toroidal $\mathfrak{g l}_{1}$

## $q-K Z$ equation (of quantum toroidal $\mathfrak{g l}_{1}$ ) corresponding to representation $\rho$

Let $\rho$ be a representation of $U_{q_{1}, q_{2}}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{1}\right)$. Then the $q$-KZ equation is defined to be the $q$-difference equation of the form

$$
q^{z_{i} \partial / \partial z_{i}}\left\langle\Phi_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) \cdots \Phi_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)\right\rangle=\left[\prod_{i, j} R_{i j}\left(\frac{z_{i}}{z_{j}}\right)\right]\left\langle\Phi_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) \cdots \Phi_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)\right\rangle .
$$

where $\Phi_{i}\left(z_{i}\right)$ are the intertwiners of $U_{q_{1}, q_{2}}\left(\widehat{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}_{1}\right)$ with respect to representation $\rho$, and $R_{i j}\left(z_{i} / z_{j}\right)$ are the $R$-matrix of $U_{q_{1}, q_{2}}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{1}\right)$ with respect to the representation $\rho$.

- Why $q-\mathrm{KZ} ?\left\langle\Phi_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) \cdots \Phi_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)\right\rangle=\prod_{i<j}\left\langle\Phi_{i}\left(z_{i}\right) \Phi_{j}\left(z_{j}\right)\right\rangle \stackrel{?}{=} \prod N_{\lambda \mu}$
- Why Fock ? Fock intertwiner $\Phi_{\lambda}(z)$ is labeled by a partition.


## Introduction and Motivation

Goal

## Goal

Our goal is to derive the MacMahon ${ }^{1} \mathrm{KZ}$ equation and solve it. Then, we express the solution of the KZ equation as the generalized Nekrasov factor.

- Why MacMahon?
- From the perspective of affine Yangian $\mathfrak{g l}_{1}$, the rational limit of $U_{q_{1}, q_{2}}\left(\widehat{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}_{1}\right)$, the MacMahon representation (plane partition representation) is more natural than the Fock representation.
- MacMahon representation preserves the $S_{3}$ symmetry of $U_{q_{1}, q_{2}}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{1}\right)$, while Fock representation breaks it.

[^0]
# Quantum toroidal $\mathfrak{g l}_{1}$ algebra, its representations, and intertwiner 

The goal of the part 2 is to introduce the notion of intertwiner of quantum toroidal algebra. However, in order to do this, we have to first discuss about the quantum toroidal algebra and its representations.

## Definition of quantum toroidal $\mathfrak{g l}_{1}$ algebra

- Let $q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $q_{1} q_{2} q_{3}=1$ and if $q_{1}^{a} q_{2}^{b} q_{3}^{c}=1$, then $a=b=c$.
- Quantum toroidal $\mathfrak{g l}_{1}$ algebra $U_{q_{1}, q_{2}}\left(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{1}\right)$ (a.k.a. Ding-lohara-Miki algebra) is the associative unital algebra with the generators $E_{k}, F_{k}, K_{0}^{ \pm}, H_{r}(k \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\})$ and central element $C$ satisfying the following defining relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g(z, w) K^{ \pm}\left(C^{(1 \mp 1) / 2} z\right) E(w)+g(w, z) E(w) K^{ \pm}\left(C^{(1 \mp 1) / 2} z\right)=0, \\
& g(w, z) K^{ \pm}\left(C^{(1 \pm 1) / 2} z\right) F(w)+g(z, w) F(w) K^{ \pm}\left(C^{(1 \pm 1) / 2} z\right)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g(z, w)=\left(z-q_{1} w\right)\left(z-q_{2} w\right)\left(z-q_{3} w\right)$, and

$$
E(z)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} E_{k} z^{-k}, \quad F(z)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{k} z^{-k}, \quad K^{ \pm}(z)=K_{0}^{ \pm} \exp \left( \pm \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} H_{ \pm r} z^{\mp r}\right) .
$$

- $U_{q_{1}, q_{2}}\left({\left.\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \mathfrak{l}_{1}\right) \text { has the Hopf algebra structure. Particularly, it has a coproduct }}\right.$ $\Delta: U_{q_{1}, q_{2}}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \underline{g}_{1}\right) \rightarrow U_{q_{1}, q_{2}}(\widehat{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}) \otimes U_{q_{1}, q_{2}}\left({\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \mathfrak{g}_{1}}_{1}\right)$.


## Representations of $U_{q_{1}, q_{2}}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{1}\right)$

## Vertical Fock representation

- Let $\mathcal{F}(v)$ be the free vector space which has the set of (2d) partitions as a basis. We define the map $\rho_{v}^{\mathcal{F}}: U_{q_{1}, q_{2}}\left(\widehat{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}_{1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{F}(v))$ by
$\rho_{v}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(K^{ \pm}(z)\right)|\lambda\rangle=\mathfrak{q}^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(1-q_{1}^{\lambda_{i}} q_{2}^{i} v / z\right)\left(1-q_{1}^{\lambda_{i}-1} q_{2}^{i-2} v / z\right)}{\left(1-q_{1}^{\lambda_{i}} q_{2}^{i-1} v / z\right)\left(1-q_{1}^{\lambda_{i}-1} q_{2}^{i-1} v / z\right)}|\lambda\rangle$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{v}^{\mathcal{F}}(E(z))|\lambda\rangle=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(1-q_{1}\right)} \delta\left(q_{1}^{\lambda_{k}} q_{2}^{k-1} \frac{v}{z}\right)\left[\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{\left(1-q_{1}^{\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{i}} q_{2}^{k-i-1}\right)\left(1-q_{1}^{\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{i}+1} q_{2}^{k-i+1}\right)}{\left(1-q_{1}^{\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{i}} q_{2}^{k-i}\right)\left(1-q_{1}^{\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{i}+1} q_{2}^{k-i}\right)}\right]\left|\lambda+1_{k}\right\rangle, \\
& \rho^{\mathcal{F}}(F(z))|\lambda\rangle=\mathfrak{q}^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(1-q_{1}^{-1}\right)} \delta\left(q_{1}^{\lambda_{k}-1} q_{2}^{k-1} \frac{v}{z}\right)\left[\prod_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(1-q_{1}^{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{k}+1} q_{2}^{i-k+1}\right)\left(1-q_{1}^{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{k}} q_{2}^{i-k-1}\right)}{\left(1-q_{1}^{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{k}+1} q_{2}^{i-k}\right)\left(1-q_{1}^{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{k}} q_{2}^{i-k}\right)}\right]\left|\lambda-1_{k}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can directly check that these actions satisfy all of the defining relations of $U_{q_{1}, q_{2}}\left(\widehat{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}_{1}\right)$. So $\rho_{v}^{\mathcal{F}}$ is an algebra homomorphism and it is called the vertical Fock representation with spectral parameter $v$. We can interpret vertical Fock representation by using diagrams. In terms of the diagrams, the action of $E(z)$ (resp. $F(z)$ ) adds (resp. removes) a box into the diagrams.

## Representations of $U_{q_{1}, q_{2}}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{1}\right)$

Vertical Fock representation

- Example Consider the partition $\lambda=(3,3,1)$



## Representations of $U_{q_{1}, q_{2}}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{1}\right)$

MacMahon representation

- Generalization of vertical Fock representation
- Let $\mathcal{M}(K ; v)$ be the vector space which has the set of plane partitions as a basis. We call the algebra homomorphism $\rho_{K, v}^{\mathcal{M}}: U_{q_{1}, q_{2}}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{1}\right) \rightarrow$ End $(\mathcal{M}(K ; v))$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{K, v}^{\mathcal{M}}\left(K^{ \pm}(z)\right)|\Lambda\rangle=(\cdots)|\Lambda\rangle, \quad \quad \rho_{K, v}^{\mathcal{M}}(E(z))|\Lambda\rangle=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}(\cdots)\left|\Lambda+1_{m}^{(k)}\right\rangle \\
& \rho_{K, v}^{\mathcal{M}}(F(z))|\Lambda\rangle=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}(\cdots)\left|\Lambda-1_{m}^{(k)}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

the MacMahon representation with spectral parameter $v$. The MacMahon representation is first constructed in the paper [Feigin-Jimbo-Miwa-Mukhin, 2011].

- Example : Consider the plane partition $\Lambda=((2),(1))$

$$
E(z)(\leftrightarrow)=
$$

## Representations of $U_{q_{1}, q_{2}}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{1}\right)$

## Horizontal Fock representation

- Let $\left\{a_{r}\right\}_{r \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}}$ be the operators satisfying the commutation relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa_{r} & =\left(q_{1}^{r}-1\right)\left(q_{2}^{r}-1\right)\left(q_{3}^{r}-1\right) \\
\mathfrak{q} & =q_{3}^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left[a_{r}, a_{s}\right]=\delta_{r+s, 0} \frac{r}{\kappa_{r}}\left(\mathfrak{q}^{r}-\mathfrak{q}^{-r}\right)
$$

- Define $\mathcal{H}=\operatorname{span}\left\{a_{-\lambda_{1}} a_{-\lambda_{2}} \cdots a_{-\lambda_{n}}|0\rangle \mid \lambda_{1} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n}\right\}$ where $|0\rangle$ is the vacuum state satisfying the annihilation condition $a_{n}|0\rangle=0$
$-\rho_{H}^{(\mathfrak{q}, 1)}: U_{q_{1}, q_{2}}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{1}\right) \rightarrow$ End $\mathcal{H}$ defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho_{H}^{(\mathfrak{q}, 1)}(E(z))=\frac{u}{\left(1-q_{1}\right)\left(1-q_{2}\right)} \exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\kappa_{n}}{n} \frac{\mathfrak{q}^{-n / 2}}{\left(\mathfrak{q}^{n}-\mathfrak{q}^{-n}\right)} a_{-n} z^{n}\right) \exp \left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\kappa_{n}}{n} \frac{\mathfrak{q}^{-n / 2}}{\mathfrak{q}^{n}-\mathfrak{q}^{-n}} a_{n} z^{-n}\right), \\
\rho_{H}^{(\mathfrak{q}, 1)}(F(z))=\frac{u^{-1}}{\left(1-q_{1}^{-1}\right)\left(1-q_{2}^{-1}\right)} \exp \left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\kappa_{n}}{n} \frac{\mathfrak{q}^{n / 2}}{\mathfrak{q}^{n}-\mathfrak{q}^{-n}} a_{-n} z^{n}\right) \cdot \exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\kappa_{n}}{n} \frac{\mathfrak{q}^{n / 2}}{\mathfrak{q}^{n}-\mathfrak{q}^{-n}} a_{n} z^{-n}\right) \\
\rho_{H}^{(\mathfrak{q}, 1)}\left(K^{ \pm}(z)\right)=\exp \left( \pm \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\kappa_{r}}{r} \mathfrak{q}^{ \pm r / 2} a_{ \pm r} z^{\mp r}\right), \quad \rho_{H}^{(\mathfrak{q}, 1)}(C)=\mathfrak{q} .
\end{gathered}
$$

is an algebra homomorphism. We call it the horizontal Fock representation of level 0.

## Representations of $U_{q_{1}, q_{2}}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{1}\right)$

Horizontal Fock representation

- The map $\rho_{H}^{\left(\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q}^{N}\right)}: U_{q_{1}, q_{2}}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{H})$ defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho_{H}^{\left(\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q}^{N^{N}}\right)}(E(z))=\rho_{H}^{(\mathfrak{q}, 1)}(E(z)) \cdot\left(\frac{\mathfrak{q}}{z}\right)^{N}, \\
\rho_{H}^{\left(\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q}^{N}\right)}(F(z))=\rho_{H}^{(\mathfrak{q}, 1)}(F(z)) \cdot\left(\frac{\mathfrak{q}}{z}\right)^{-N}, \\
\rho_{H}^{\left(\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q}^{N}\right)}\left(K^{ \pm}\left(\mathfrak{q}^{1 / 2} z\right)\right)=\rho_{H}^{(\mathfrak{q}, 1)}\left(K^{ \pm}\left(\mathfrak{q}^{1 / 2} z\right)\right) \mathfrak{q}^{\mp N}
\end{gathered}
$$

is an algebra homomorphism. In other word, it forms a representation of $U_{q_{1}, q_{2}}\left(\widehat{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}{ }_{1}\right)$. We call it the horizontal Fock representation of level $N$.

## Intertwiner

## Definition

## Definition of intertwiner

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a Fock (resp. MacMahon) representation, and $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ be horizontal Fock representations. A Fock (resp. MacMahon) intertwiner is a map $\Psi: \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ defined by the intertwining relation

$$
a \Psi=\Psi \Delta(a) \quad \forall a \in U_{q_{1}, q_{2}}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{1}\right)
$$

- Since in the Fock (and MacMahon) representation, $\left[H_{r}, H_{s}\right]=0$, there exists a simultaneous eigenbasis of $\left\{H_{r}\right\}_{r \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}}$, say $\{\alpha\}$. For each $\alpha$ in this basis, we define the $\alpha$-component intertwiner $\Psi_{\alpha}(\bullet)$ by

$$
\Psi_{\alpha}(\bullet)=\Psi(\alpha \otimes \bullet): \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\prime}
$$

## Intertwiner

## Explicit expression

- Fock intertwiner

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{\lambda}(v) \sim & \exp \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^{r}-\mathfrak{q}^{-r}} \frac{\kappa_{r}}{r} a_{-r} q^{-r / 2} v^{r}\left(\sum_{(i, j) \in \lambda} q_{1}^{r(i-1)} q_{2}^{r(i-1)}+\frac{1-q_{3}^{r}}{\kappa_{r}}\right)\right) \\
& \times \exp \left(-\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^{r}-\mathfrak{q}^{-r}} \frac{\kappa_{r}}{r} a_{r} \mathfrak{q}^{-r / 2} v^{-r}\left(\sum_{(i, j) \in \lambda} q_{1}^{-r(i-1)} q_{2}^{-r(i-1)}-\frac{1-q_{3}^{-r}}{\kappa_{r}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- MacMahon intertwiner

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\overline{\text { 二 }} \Lambda(K ; V) \sim & \exp \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^{r}-\mathfrak{q}^{-r}} \frac{\kappa_{r}}{r} \mathfrak{q}^{-r / 2} a_{-r} v^{r}\left(\sum_{(i, j, k) \in \Lambda} q_{1}^{r(j-1)} q_{2}^{r(i-1)} q_{3}^{r(k-1)}+\frac{1-K^{r}}{\kappa_{r}}\right)\right) \\
& \cdot \exp \left(-\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mathfrak{q}^{r}-\mathfrak{q}^{-r}} \frac{\kappa_{r}}{r} \mathfrak{q}^{-r / 2} a_{r} v^{-r}\left(\sum_{(i, j, k) \in \Lambda} q_{1}^{-r(j-1)} q_{2}^{-r(i-1)} q_{3}^{-r(k-1)}-\frac{1-K^{-r}}{\kappa_{r}}\right)\right)
\end{array}
$$

## Remark

Note that if we take the $2 d$ partition limit $\Lambda=\lambda, K=q_{3}$, the MacMahon intertwiner will reduce to the Fock intertwiner.

## Derivation of the MacMahon KZ equations

## Derivation of the MacMahon KZ equations

MacMahon KZ equation

Now we have already introduced all of the ingredients needed to derive the MacMahon KZ equation. Let's get started!

- First recall that a difference equation will be called MacMahon KZ equation if it takes the following form

$$
q^{z_{i} \partial / \partial z_{i}}\langle 0| \underbrace{\Xi_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) \cdots \Xi_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)}_{\text {MacMahon intertwiners }}|0\rangle=\underbrace{\left[\prod_{i, j} R_{i j}\left(\frac{z_{i}}{z_{j}}\right)\right]}_{\text {Products of MacMahon } R \text {-matrix }}\langle 0| \Xi_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) \cdots \Xi_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)|0\rangle .
$$

for certain parameter $q$.

- The goal of this part is to find the equation which takes the above form.


## Derivation of the MacMahon KZ equations

MacMahon KZ equation

- If we act on the MacMahon intertwiner $\Xi_{\Lambda}(K ; v)$ by the operator $\left(\mathfrak{q}^{-2}\right)^{v} \frac{\partial}{\partial v}$, the result will be the MacMahon intertwiner whose spectral parameter is scaled by $\mathfrak{q}^{-2}$. We can always write the scaled MacMahon intertwiner as a composition of $\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda}^{ \pm}$and the original MacMahon intertwiner.

$$
\left(\mathfrak{q}^{-2}\right)^{\vee \frac{\partial}{\partial v}} \Xi_{\Lambda}(K ; v)=\Xi_{\Lambda}\left(K ; \mathfrak{q}^{-2} v\right)=\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda}^{-} \cdot \Xi_{\Lambda}(K ; v) \cdot \mathcal{T}_{\Lambda}^{+}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{T}_{\Lambda}^{+}(v, K) \Xi_{\Lambda^{\prime}}\left(K^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)=R_{\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}}^{K, K^{\prime}}\left(\frac{v}{\mathfrak{q}^{-1 / 2} V^{\prime}}\right) \cdot \Xi_{\Lambda^{\prime}}\left(K^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right) \mathcal{T}_{\Lambda}^{+}(v, K), \\
& \mathcal{T}_{\Lambda}^{-}(v, K) \Xi_{\Lambda^{\prime}}\left(K^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)=R_{\Lambda^{\prime}, \Lambda}^{K^{\prime}, K}\left(\frac{v^{\prime}}{\mathfrak{q}^{-1 / 2} v}\right) \cdot \Xi_{\Lambda^{\prime}}\left(K^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right) \mathcal{T}_{\Lambda}^{-}(v, K),
\end{aligned}
$$

- If one uses other scaling parameter rather than $\mathfrak{q}^{ \pm 2}$, the $\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda}^{ \pm}(v, K)$ operators will no longer produce the MacMahon $R$-matrix.
- The MacMahon $R$-matrix $R_{\Lambda_{1}, \Lambda_{2}}^{K_{1}, K_{2}}(z)$ is computed in [Awata-Kanno-et al, 1810.07676] using the universal $R$-matrix of $U_{q_{1}, q_{2}}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{1}\right)$ [Feigin-Jimbo-Miwa-Mukhin, 1603.02765]


## Derivation of the MacMahon KZ equations

MacMahon KZ equation

- Define $G_{\Lambda^{1} \ldots \Lambda^{n}}\binom{K_{1} \ldots, K_{n}}{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}}:=\langle 0| \Xi_{\Lambda^{1}}\left(K_{1} ; v_{1}\right) \cdots \Xi_{\Lambda^{n}}\left(K_{n} ; v_{n}\right)|0\rangle$
- If we act on $G_{\Lambda^{1} \ldots \Lambda^{n}}\binom{K_{1} \ldots, K_{n}}{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}}$ by the operator $\left(\mathfrak{q}^{-2}\right)^{v_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{i}}}$, we then get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathfrak{q}^{-2}\right)^{v_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{i}}} G_{\Lambda^{1} \ldots \Lambda^{n}}\binom{K_{1} \ldots, K_{n}}{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}} \\
& =\langle 0| \Xi_{\Lambda^{1}}\left(K_{1} ; v_{1}\right) \cdots \Xi_{\Lambda^{\prime}}\left(K_{i} ; \mathfrak{q}^{-2} v_{i}\right) \cdots \Xi_{\Lambda^{n}}\left(K_{n} ; v_{n}\right)|0\rangle \\
& =\langle 0| \Xi_{\Lambda^{1}}\left(K_{1} ; v_{1}\right) \cdots \mathcal{T}_{\Lambda^{i}}^{-} \Xi_{\Lambda^{i}}\left(K_{i} ; v_{i}\right) \mathcal{T}_{\Lambda^{i}}^{+} \cdots \Xi_{\Lambda^{n}}\left(K_{n} ; v_{n}\right)|0\rangle \\
& =\left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} R_{\Lambda, \Lambda^{i}}^{K_{j}, K_{i}}\left(\mathfrak{q}^{1 / 2} \frac{v_{j}}{v_{i}}\right)\right)^{-1}\left(\prod_{j=i+1}^{n} R_{\Lambda^{i}, \Lambda^{j}}^{K_{i}, K_{j}}\left(\mathfrak{q}^{1 / 2} \frac{v_{i}}{v_{j}}\right)\right) G_{\Lambda^{1} \ldots \Lambda^{n}}\binom{K_{1} \ldots, K_{n}}{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This is the MacMahon KZ equation of $U_{q_{1}, q_{2}}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{\mathfrak { g }}}_{1}\right)$.

## Derivation of the MacMahon KZ equations

## Generalized Nekrasov factor

- (Solution) Define $A_{\Lambda_{1}, \Lambda_{2}}^{K_{1}, K_{2}}(v, w)=\langle 0| \Xi_{\Lambda^{1}}\left(K_{1} ; v\right) \Xi_{\Lambda_{2}}\left(K_{2} ; w\right)|0\rangle$. Then,

$$
G_{\Lambda^{1} \ldots \Lambda^{n}}\binom{K_{1} \ldots, K_{n}}{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}}=\prod_{\substack{j, l=1 \\ j<l}}^{n} A_{N, \Lambda^{\prime}}^{K_{j}, K_{l}}\left(v_{j}, v_{l}\right) .
$$

- We regard the quantity $A_{\Lambda \Lambda^{\prime}}^{K_{1} K_{2}}(v, w)^{-1}$ as the generalized Nekrasov factor. This is because when we take the $2 d$ partition limit $\Lambda_{1}=\lambda_{1}, \quad \Lambda_{2}=\lambda_{2}, \quad K_{1}=K_{2}=q_{3}$, we then see that

$$
A_{\Lambda \Lambda^{\prime}}^{K_{1} K_{2}}(v, w)^{-1} \rightarrow A_{\lambda \lambda^{\prime}}^{q_{3}, q_{3}}(v, w)^{-1}=\text { (proportional factors) } \cdot N_{\lambda \lambda^{\prime}}(w, v) .
$$

- The MacMahon KZ equations and the generalized Nekrasov factor should be related to various objects in field and string theory, though currently many of these links remain to be discovered.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ MacMahon representation can be considered as the generalization of Fock representation

