

SUSTech-Nagoya workshop on Quantum Science

Higher Representation Theory of finite group

Hao Xu

Mathematical Institute, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen

hao.xu@mathematik.uni-goettingen.de

Jun 2022

Classical representation theory of finite group: group algebra

Fix an algebraically closed field \mathbb{k} of characteristic 0. The **group algebra** of a finite group G , denoted as $\mathbb{k}[G]$, has the underlying vector space spanned by elements of G and its multiplication is induced from the group multiplication of G . In detail, $\mathbb{k}[G]$ has a Hopf algebra structure:

$$\begin{aligned} m : \mathbb{k}[G] \otimes \mathbb{k}[G] &\rightarrow \mathbb{k}[G]; & g \otimes h &\mapsto gh \\ i : \mathbb{k} &\rightarrow \mathbb{k}[G]; & 1 &\mapsto e \\ \Delta : \mathbb{k}[G] &\rightarrow \mathbb{k}[G] \otimes \mathbb{k}[G]; & g &\mapsto g \otimes g \\ \epsilon : \mathbb{k}[G] &\rightarrow \mathbb{k}; & g &\mapsto 1 \\ S : \mathbb{k}[G] &\rightarrow \mathbb{k}[G]; & g &\mapsto g^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

A G -representation is a left module over $\mathbb{k}[G]$, while a homomorphism between G -representations is a homomorphism between left $\mathbb{k}[G]$ -modules. Let's denote the category of *finite dimensional* G -representations as $\text{Rep}(G)$.

Classical representation theory of finite group: finite semisimplicity

Consider the pairing: $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{k}[G] \otimes \mathbb{k}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{k}; g \otimes h \mapsto \delta_e(g^{-1}h)$. It is non-degenerate and G -invariant, i.e.

- $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$ for all $u \in \mathbb{k}[G]$ implies $v = 0$;
- $\langle g.u, g.v \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle$ for any $g \in G$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{k}[G]$.

Thus, any G -submodule M of $\mathbb{k}[G]$ has a complementary G -submodule, $M^\perp := \{v \in \mathbb{k}[G] : \langle u, v \rangle = 0, \forall u \in M\}$, hence $\mathbb{k}[G]$ is finite semisimple.

Lemma

$\text{Rep}(G)$ is a finite semisimple linear category.

Moreover, the Hopf algebra structure on $\mathbb{k}[G]$ induces a symmetric monoidal structure on $\text{Rep}(G)$.

Classical representation theory of finite group: monoidal product

- monoidal product of two G -representations (V, ρ_V) and (W, ρ_W) is defined to be the tensor product of their underlying vector spaces, with an induced G -action $\rho_{V \otimes W}$ defined via $\rho_{V \otimes W}(g)(v \otimes w) := \rho_V(g)(v) \otimes \rho_W(g)(w)$ for any $g \in G$, $v \in V$ and $w \in W$;
- monoidal unit is the one-dimensional space \mathbb{k} with the trivial G -action;
- associator, unitors and half-braiding for this monoidal product are the same as those for tensor product of underlying vector spaces.

The symmetric monoidal structure induces an $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -algebra structure on isomorphism classes of simple objects in $\text{Rep}(G)$, which we will refer to as the **representation ring** of $\text{Rep}(G)$.

Classical representation theory of finite group: character

A function $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ is a **class function** if $\chi(hgh^{-1}) = \chi(g)$ for any $g, h \in G$.

Given a finite dimensional G -representation (V, ρ) , its **character** is defined to be the function $\text{ch}_V : G \rightarrow \mathbb{k}; g \mapsto \text{Tr}(\rho(g))$.

Group characters have the following properties: suppose V and W are two finite dimensional G -representations, then we have

1. $\text{ch}_{V \oplus W} = \text{ch}_V + \text{ch}_W$,
2. $\text{ch}_{V \otimes W} = \text{ch}_V \cdot \text{ch}_W$,
3. $\text{ch}_{V^*} = \text{ch}_V \circ S$, i.e. for any $g \in G$, we have $\text{ch}_{V^*}(g) = \text{ch}_V(g^{-1})$.

As a consequence, the algebra of characters is the representation ring with coefficients extended to field \mathbb{k} .

Classical representation theory of finite group: fixed point formula

Suppose (U, ρ) is a G -representation, then we denote the subrepresentation of G -fixed points as $U^G := \{u \in U : \rho(g)(u) = u, \forall g \in G\}$.

[Fixed point formula] Consider linear map $\pi : U \rightarrow U; u \mapsto \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \rho(g)(u)$. It turns out to be a projection, i.e. for $u \in U$, we have

$$\pi(\pi(u)) = \frac{1}{|G|^2} \sum_{g, h \in G} \rho(gh)(u) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \rho(g)(u) = \pi(u)$$

The image of this projection is U^G . Hence, we obtain

$$\dim U^G = \text{Tr}(\pi) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \text{ch}_U(g)$$

Classical representation theory of finite group: class function

Representations are controlled by class functions

All class functions on G form a subalgebra of $\text{Fun}(G)$, and characters of simple G -representations form a basis.

First, let's show $\{\text{ch}_U : U \text{ simple representation (up to isomorphism)}\}$ is a linearly independent subset in the space of class functions. Define the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on the space of class functions via $\langle \chi, \theta \rangle := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g^{-1})\theta(g)$.

For any simple G -representation U and V , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{ch}_U, \text{ch}_V \rangle &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_G (\text{ch}_U \circ S) \cdot \text{ch}_V = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_G \text{ch}_{U^* \otimes V} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_G \text{ch}_{\text{Hom}(U, V)} \\ &= \dim \text{Hom}(U, V)^G = \dim \text{Hom}_G(U, V) = \begin{cases} 1, & U \cong V \\ 0, & U \not\cong V \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Classical representation theory of finite group: class function

where we apply the fact $\text{Hom}(U, V)^G = \text{Hom}_G(U, V)$, and the last equality follows from Schur's Lemma.

Finally, we need to show the linearly independent subset is maximal. By Artin-Wedderburn Theorem, the number of isomorphism classes of simple modules for a finite dimensional semisimple \mathbb{k} -algebra equals the dimension of its center.

Meanwhile, the center of group algebra, $Z(\mathbb{k}[G])$, turns out to be isomorphic to the algebra of class functions on G .

Summary of classical representation theory of finite group

Simple objects in $\text{Rep}(G)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with basis elements in $Z(\mathbb{k}[G])$.

Higher representation theory of finite group

Next, let's review the categorification of the classical representation theory of finite group.

| categorical level 0 | categorical level 1 |
|---------------------------------|---|
| group algebra $\mathbb{k}[G]$ | graded vector spaces Vec_G |
| $\text{Rep}(G)$ | $2\text{Rep}(G)$ |
| G -representation | linear category with G -action |
| tensor product of vector spaces | Deligne tensor product of linear categories |
| center of an algebra | Drinfel'd center of a tensor category |
| $Z(\mathbb{k}[G])$ | $\mathcal{Z}_1(\text{Vec}_G)$ |
| class function on G | G -graded G -representation |
| irreducible G -character | Lagrangian algebra in $\mathcal{Z}_1(\text{Vec}_G)$ |

Higher representation theory of finite group: algebras in braided category

Next, let's recall some important types of algebras within a braided monoidal category. Fix an ambient braided monoidal category $(\mathcal{B}, \otimes, I, \gamma)$, where we omit the associators and unitors of \mathcal{B} due to a general coherence theorem, see [JS93].

An **algebra** in \mathcal{B} consists of an object A in \mathcal{B} , multiplication $m : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$, unit $e : I \rightarrow A$ subject to the following conditions:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A \otimes m} & A \otimes A \\ m \otimes \text{id}_A \downarrow & & \downarrow m \\ A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{m} & A \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A \otimes e} & A \otimes A \\ e \otimes \text{id}_A \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow m \\ A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{m} & A \end{array}$$

An algebra (A, m, e) in \mathcal{B} is **commutative** if it satisfies an additional condition:

Higher representation theory of finite group: algebras in braided category

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{A,A}} & A \otimes A \\ m \downarrow & \swarrow m & \\ A & & \end{array}$$

An algebra (A, m, e) in \mathcal{B} is **separable** if there exists $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ satisfying $\text{id}_A = m \circ \Delta$ and the following *Frobenius conditions*:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A \otimes \Delta} & A \otimes A \otimes A & & \\ \Delta \otimes \text{id}_A \downarrow & m \searrow & & & \downarrow m \otimes \text{id}_A \\ & A & & & \\ & \Delta \searrow & & & \\ A \otimes A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A \otimes m} & A \otimes A & & \end{array}$$

Higher representation theory of finite group: algebras in braided category

Given an algebra (A, m, e) in \mathcal{B} , a **left A -module in \mathcal{B}** consists of an object M in \mathcal{B} with a left A -action $\rho : A \otimes M \rightarrow M$, subject to the following conditions:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes A \otimes M & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A \otimes \rho} & A \otimes M \\ m \otimes \text{id}_M \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho \\ A \otimes M & \xrightarrow{\rho} & M \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M & & \\ e \otimes \text{id}_M \downarrow & \searrow & \\ A \otimes M & \xrightarrow{\rho} & M \end{array}$$

Similarly, a **right A -module in \mathcal{B}** consists of an object N in \mathcal{B} with a right A -action $\lambda : N \otimes A \rightarrow N$, subject to the following conditions:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N \otimes A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_N \otimes m} & N \otimes A \\ \lambda \otimes \text{id}_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda \\ N \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & N \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} N & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_N \otimes e} & N \otimes A \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \lambda \\ & & N \end{array}$$

Higher representation theory of finite group: algebras in braided category

A **left (resp. right) module homomorphism** is a morphism in \mathcal{B} which commutes with the corresponding left (resp. right) A -actions.

Let's denote the category of *finite projective* left (resp. right) A -modules in \mathcal{B} as ${}_A\mathcal{B}$ (resp. \mathcal{B}_A).

Theorem [Ost03, EGNO16, KZ17, etc.]

Given an algebra (A, m, e) in a tensor category \mathcal{C} , the category of right (resp. left) A -modules, \mathcal{C}_A (resp. ${}_A\mathcal{C}$), is a left (resp. right) \mathcal{C} -module category.

Conversely, with some mild finiteness conditions, every left (resp. right) \mathcal{C} -module category is of this form.

In particular, when \mathcal{C} is finite semisimple, \mathcal{C}_A (or ${}_A\mathcal{C}$) is finite semisimple if and only if algebra A is separable.

Higher representation theory of finite group: algebras in braided category

When \mathcal{B} is a braided tensor category, an algebra (A, m, e) in \mathcal{B} is **indecomposable** if \mathcal{B}_A is indecomposable as left \mathcal{B} -module category.

[Example] Artin-Wedderburn Theorem tells us that a separable algebra in \mathbf{Vec} is a finite sum of matrix algebras. Moreover, an indecomposable separable algebra in \mathbf{Vec} is just a matrix algebra.

[Example] An indecomposable separable algebra in $\mathbf{Rep}(G)$ is isomorphic to $V^* \otimes V$, for some simple G -representation. In particular, for one-dimensional simple G -representation V , we have $V^* \otimes V$ isomorphic to the trivial G -representation \mathbb{k} .

Theorem [Ost03]

Indecomposable separable algebras in $\mathbf{Rep}(G)$ are classified by pair (H, ϕ) 's, where H is a subgroup of G and $\phi \in H^2(H; \mathbb{k}^\times)$.

Higher representation theory of finite group: algebras in braided category

An **étale algebra** is an indecomposable commutative separable algebra.

[Example] By Artin-Wedderburn Theorem, a commutative separable algebra in Vec is a finite sum of trivial algebra \mathbb{k} 's. Hence, up to isomorphism, the only étale algebra in Vec is the trivial algebra \mathbb{k} .

[Example] A commutative separable algebra in $\text{Rep}(G)$ is a finite sum of trivial algebra together with a G -action. Hence, we can pick a basis closed under that G -action, i.e. a finite G -set. Moreover, if the algebra is indecomposable then the basis is a transitive G -set. As a result, an étale algebra in $\text{Rep}(G)$ is isomorphic to the function algebra on a coset space, i.e. $\text{Fun}(G/H)$ for some subgroup H .

Higher representation theory of finite group: étale algebras in $\mathcal{Z}_1(\text{Vec}_G)$

Theorem [Dav10]

Étale algebras in $\mathcal{Z}_1(\text{Vec}_G)$ are classified up to isomorphism by triple (H, N, ϕ) 's, where H is a subgroup of G , N is a normal subgroup of H and $\phi \in \text{coker}(\text{H}^2(H/N; \mathbb{k}^\times) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \text{H}^2(H; \mathbb{k}^\times))$, where $\pi : H \rightarrow H/N$ is the quotient homomorphism.

In particular, when $H = N$, we have $\phi \in \text{H}^2(H; \mathbb{k}^\times)$; the corresponding étale algebras in $\mathcal{Z}_1(\text{Vec}_G)$ are called Lagrangian algebras.

Corollary

Simple objects in $2 \text{Rep}(G)$, which by definition are Morita classes of indecomposable separable algebras in $\text{Rep}(G)$, are in one-to-one correspondence with Lagrangian algebras in $\mathcal{Z}_1(\text{Vec}_G)$.

Higher representation theory of finite group: Summary

| categorical level 0 | categorical level 1 |
|---------------------------------|---|
| group algebra $\mathbb{k}[G]$ | graded vector spaces Vec_G |
| $\text{Rep}(G)$ | ${}^2\text{Rep}(G)$ |
| G -representation | linear category with G -action |
| tensor product of vector spaces | Deligne tensor product of linear categories |
| center of an algebra | Drinfel'd center of a tensor category |
| $Z(\mathbb{k}[G])$ | $\mathcal{Z}_1(\text{Vec}_G)$ |
| class function on G | G -graded G -representation |
| irreducible G -character | Lagrangian algebra in $\mathcal{Z}_1(\text{Vec}_G)$ |

- [JS93] Street, A. Joyal R., and A. Joyal. "Braided tensor categories." *Advances in Math* 102 (1993): 20-78.
- [Ost03] Ostrik, Victor. "Module categories, weak Hopf algebras and modular invariants." *Transformation groups* 8.2 (2003): 177-206.
- [DGNO10] Drinfeld, Vladimir, et al. "On braided fusion categories I." *Selecta Mathematica* 16.1 (2010): 1-119.
- [Dav10] Davydov, Alexei. "Modular invariants for group-theoretical modular data. I." *Journal of Algebra* 323.5 (2010): 1321-1348.
- [ENO10] Etingof, Pavel, Dmitri Nikshych, and Victor Ostrik. "Fusion categories and homotopy theory." *Quantum topology* 1.3 (2010): 209-273.
- [ENO11] Etingof, Pavel, Dmitri Nikshych, and Victor Ostrik. "Weakly group-theoretical and solvable fusion categories." *Advances in Mathematics* 226.1 (2011): 176-205.

- [DMNO13] Davydov, Alexei, et al. "The Witt group of non-degenerate braided fusion categories." *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)* 2013.677 (2013): 135-177.
- [DNO13] Davydov, Alexei, Dmitri Nikshych, and Victor Ostrik. "On the structure of the Witt group of braided fusion categories." *Selecta Mathematica* 19.1 (2013): 237-269.
- [EGNO16] P. Etingof et al. Tensor categories. Vol. 205. American Mathematical Soc., 2016.
- [KZ18] Kong, Liang, and Hao Zheng. "The center functor is fully faithful." *Advances in Mathematics* 339 (2018): 749-779.
- [DR18] Douglas, Christopher L., and David J. Reutter. "Fusion 2-categories and a state-sum invariant for 4-manifolds." *arXiv preprint arXiv:1812.11933* (2018).

- [GJF19] Gaiotto, Davide, and Theo Johnson-Freyd. "Condensations in higher categories." *arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.09566* (2019).
- [She19] Sheikh, Raza Sohail. Group-crossed extensions of representation categories in algebraic quantum field theory. Diss. [SI]:[Sn], 2019.
- [JFR21] Johnson-Freyd, Theo, and David Reutter. "Minimal nondegenerate extensions." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.15167* (2021).
- [DN21] Davydov, Alexei, and Dmitri Nikshych. "Braided Picard groups and graded extensions of braided tensor categories." *Selecta Mathematica* 27.4 (2021): 1-87.