Estimation of group action with energy constraint and its application to uncertainty relations on S¹ and S³

Masahito Hayashi

Shenzhen Institute for Quantum Science and Engineering, Southern University of Science and Technology

Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University



SOUTHERN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY



NAGOYA UNIVERSITY

Com. Math. Phys. **347** 1495 (2016) *J. Phys. B* **50** 015503 (2019)

Contents

- Summary of estimation in group covariant family
- Estimation of group action with restriction for support of representation (input state)
- Estimation of group action with average energy restriction
- Practical construction of asymptotically optimal estimator
- Application to uncertainty relation (Robertson type)

Formulation of quantum state estimation State family: $\{ \rho_{\theta} | \theta \in \Theta \}$ on \mathcal{H} Estimator: POVM $M = \{ M_i \}_i$ on \mathcal{H} Map $i \mapsto \hat{\theta}(i)$



M:Estimator

Group covariant state family

 ${\mathcal H}$:Hilbert space

Holevo 1979

G :group

 $\Theta = G / H$: Parameter space to be estimated

f :projective unitary representation The set $\{\rho_{\theta} \, | \, \theta \in \Theta\}$ of densities is called covariant if

$$f(g)\rho_{\theta}f(g)^* = \rho_{g\theta}$$

Group covariant measurement \mathcal{H} :Hilbert space Holevo 1979

G :group $\Theta = G / H$:parameter space to be estimated

f :projective unitary representation

A POVM M taking values in Θ is called covariant if

 $f(g)M(B)f(g)^* = M(gB)$ $\mathcal{M}(\Theta) : \text{Set of POVMs taking the values in } \Theta$ $\mathcal{M}_{cov}(\Theta) : \text{Set of covariant POVMs taking values in } \Theta$

$$M \in \mathcal{M}(\Theta) \text{ is included in } \mathcal{M}_{cov}(\Theta)$$
$$\longrightarrow M(B) = M_T(B) \coloneqq \int_B f(g) T f(g)^* \mu(dg)$$

Evaluation of error $R(\theta, \hat{\theta})$:error function

The average error when the true is
$$\hat{\theta}$$

 $\mathcal{D}_{R,\theta}(M) \coloneqq \int_{\Theta} R(\theta, \hat{\theta}) \operatorname{Tr} M(d\hat{\theta}) \rho_{\theta}$

Bayesian error under the prior distribution ${m
u}$ on ${m \Theta}$:

$$\mathcal{D}_{R,\nu}(M) \coloneqq \int_{\Theta} \mathcal{D}_{R,\theta}(M) \nu(d\theta)$$

Mini-max method: The worst case is optimized

$$\mathcal{D}_{R}(M) \coloneqq \max_{\theta} \mathcal{D}_{R,\theta}(M)$$
$$R(\theta, \hat{\theta}) = R(g\theta, g\hat{\theta}), \quad M \in \mathcal{M}_{COV}(\Theta)$$
$$\mathcal{D}_{R}(M) = \mathcal{D}_{R,\theta}(M) = \mathcal{D}_{R,\nu}(M)$$

Quantum Hunt-Stein Theorem Assumption: $R(\theta, \hat{\theta}) = R(g\theta, g\hat{\theta})$

Invariant probability measure μ for Θ exists when Θ is compact Then, we have the following: Holevo 1979

 $\min_{M\in\mathcal{M}(\Theta)}\mathcal{D}_{R}(M) = \min_{M\in\mathcal{M}(\Theta)}\mathcal{D}_{R,\mu}(M)$

$$= \min_{M \in \mathcal{M}_{cov}(\Theta)} \mathcal{D}_{R}(M) = \min_{M \in \mathcal{M}_{cov}(\Theta)} \mathcal{D}_{R,\mu}(M)$$

We have the following even when Θ is not compact.

$$\min_{M \in \mathcal{M}(\Theta)} \mathcal{D}_R(M) = \min_{M \in \mathcal{M}_{cov}(\Theta)} \mathcal{D}_R(M)$$

Ozawa 1980 Bogomolov 1982

Estimation of group action

Given a projective unitary representation f of G on \mathcal{H} .

Input state Unknown measurement estimate to be estimated $\rho \longrightarrow f(g) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow \hat{g}$ $\mathcal{E} = (\rho, M)$:Our operation For example, $\theta \in G = (0, 2\pi], \quad f(g) = U_{\theta}$

Estimation of group action Given a projective unitary representation f of G on ${\cal H}$. Unknown estimate measurement Input state Unitary to be estimated $\rho \longrightarrow f(g) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow$ $\mathcal{E} = (\rho, M)$:Our operation

 $R(g,\hat{g}) = R(e,g^{-1}\hat{g}) = R(e,\hat{g}g^{-1}):$ error function

Average error when the true parameter is g $\mathcal{D}_{R,g}(\mathcal{E}) \coloneqq \int_{G} R(g, \hat{g}) \operatorname{Tr} M(d\hat{g}) f(g) \rho f(g)^{*}$ $\mathcal{D}_{R,\nu}(M), \mathcal{D}_{R}(M)$ is similarly defined.

Extension of

quantum Hunt-Stein theorem

Invariant probability measure μ exists for G when G is compact. Then, the following equations hold.

 $\min_{\rho,M\in\mathcal{M}(G)}\mathcal{D}_{R}(\rho,M)=\min_{\rho,M\in\mathcal{M}(\Theta)}\mathcal{D}_{R,\mu}(\rho,M)$

 $= \min_{\rho: \text{pure}, M \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{cov}}(\Theta)} \mathcal{D}_{R}(\rho, M)$

 $= \min_{\rho: \text{pure}, M \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{cov}}(\Theta)} \mathcal{D}_{R,\mu}(\rho, M)$

The following relation holds even when G is not compact.

$$\min_{\rho, M \in \mathcal{M}(G)} \mathcal{D}_{R}(\rho, M) = \min_{\rho: \text{pure}, M \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{cov}}(\Theta)} \mathcal{D}_{R}(\rho, M)$$

Fourier transform and inverse Fourier transform on group \hat{G} : Set of irreducible unitary representation of G
$$\begin{split} & \widetilde{L^2}(\hat{G}) \coloneqq \bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda \in \hat{G} \\ L^2(\mathcal{U}_{\lambda})}} L^2(\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}) \\ & : \text{Set of HS operators on } \mathcal{U}_{\lambda} \end{split}$$
 $\mathcal{F}: L^2(G) \to L^2(\hat{G})$: Fourier transform $(\mathcal{F}[\phi])_{\lambda} \coloneqq \sqrt{d_{\lambda}} \int_{G} f_{\lambda}(g)^{*} \phi(g) \mu(dg)$ $\mathcal{F}^{-1}: L^{2}(\hat{G}) \to L^{2}(G) : \text{Inverse Fourier transform}$ $\mathcal{F}^{-1}[A](g) \coloneqq \sum_{\lambda \in \hat{G}} \sqrt{d_{\lambda}} \operatorname{Tr} f_{\lambda}(g) A_{\lambda}$ $A = (A_{\lambda}) \in L^{2}(\hat{G})$

Input system and inverse Fourier transform on group $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \hat{G}} \mathcal{U}_{\lambda} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n\lambda}$ \hat{G} : Set of irreducible unitary representation of G $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}_{\lambda}$: Multiplicity of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}_{\lambda}$ For any pure state $\varphi = \bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda \in \hat{G} \\ \varphi_{\lambda}}} \varphi_{\lambda}$ can be considered as an element of $L^{2}(\mathcal{U}_{\lambda})$ by choosing a partial isometry from \mathcal{U}_{λ} to $\mathbb{C}^{n\lambda\lambda}$. $\varphi = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \hat{G}} \varphi_{\lambda}$ can be considered as an element of $L^2(\hat{G}) \coloneqq \bigoplus_{\lambda} L^2(\mathcal{U}_{\lambda})$ $\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\varphi](g) = \sum_{\lambda} \sqrt{d_{\lambda}} \operatorname{Tr} f_{\lambda}(g) \varphi_{\lambda}$ $\overline{\lambda \in \hat{G}}$

Optimization via
inverse Fourier transform

$$D_R(X) \coloneqq \int_G R(e, \hat{g}) |\mathcal{F}^{-1}[X](\hat{g}^{-1})|^2 \mu(d\hat{g})$$

We consider constraint for support $\Lambda = \hat{C}$

We consider constraint for support $\Lambda \subset G$ of input state.

 $\min_{\rho, M \in \mathcal{M}(G)} \mathcal{D}_{R}(\rho, M) = \min_{\rho: \text{pure}, M \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{cov}}(\Theta)} \mathcal{D}_{R}(\rho, M)$

$$= \min_{X \in L^2(\Lambda), \|X\|^2 = 1} D_R(X)$$

Example: $G = \mathbb{R}$ $|e_{\lambda}\rangle$:Base of 1-dim irreducible space with $\lambda \in G$ $\mu_G(dg) = 1/\sqrt{2\pi} \, dg \text{ :Measure on } G = \mathbb{R}$ $\mu_{\widehat{G}}(d\lambda) = 1/\sqrt{2\pi} \, d\lambda \text{ :Measure on } \widehat{G} = \mathbb{R}$ $\varphi(\lambda) \in L^2(\widehat{G}) = L^2(\mathbb{R})$: input state Distribution of estimate \hat{g} : $|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\varphi](-\hat{g})|^2 \frac{dg}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$ Support constraint: $supp[\phi] \subset [-1,1]$ Minimizing under support constraint $\begin{array}{l} & \hat{g}^{2} \\ min \\ \varphi \end{array} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{g}^{2} |\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\varphi](-\hat{g})|^{2} \\ \hline \sqrt{2\pi} \\ \hline \sqrt{2\pi} \end{array} = \frac{\pi^{2}}{4} \\
\begin{array}{l} & \frac{\pi}{4} \\ \frac{\pi}{4} \\ \frac{\pi}{2} \\ \hline & \frac{\pi}{4} \\ \frac{\pi}{$

Example:
$$G = U(1)$$

 $G = U(1) = \{e^{i\theta} \mid \theta \in [0, 2\pi)\}$ $\hat{G} = \mathbb{Z}$
 $\varphi(\lambda) \in L^2(\hat{G}) = L^2(\mathbb{Z}) \text{ input state}$
 $\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} |\varphi(\lambda)|^2 = 1$
Distribution of estimate $\hat{\theta} \qquad |\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\varphi](-\hat{\theta})|^2 d\hat{\theta}$
Minimizing average error with restriction for support
 $\min_{\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{Z} \cap [-n,n])} \int_0^{2\pi} 2\sin^2 \frac{\hat{\theta} - \theta}{2} |\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\varphi](-\hat{\theta})|^2 d\hat{\theta}$
 $= 1 - \cos \frac{\pi}{2n+2}$
Minimum is attained by $\varphi(\lambda) = \sin \frac{\pi(\lambda + n + 1)}{2n+2}$

Factor system of Chiribella 2011 projective unitary representation Factor system $e^{i\theta(g',g')} \coloneqq f(g)f(g')f(gg')^{-1}$ $\mathcal{L} \coloneqq \{e^{i\theta(g,g')}\}_{g,g'}$ $\hat{G}[\mathcal{L}]$: Set of projective irreducible representation with the factor system \mathcal{L} $D_{R}(X) := \int_{C} R(e, \hat{g}) |\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}^{-1}[X](\hat{g}^{-1})|^{2} \mu(dg)$ We consider constraint for support of input state. $\Lambda \subset G[\mathcal{L}]$ $\min_{\rho, M \in \mathcal{M}(G)} \mathcal{D}_{R}(\rho, M) = \min_{\rho: \text{pure}, M \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{cov}}(\Theta)} \mathcal{D}_{R}(\rho, M)$

$$= \min_{X \in L^2(\Lambda)} D_R(X).$$

Case of compact group $S := \{\lambda \in \hat{G} \mid n_{\lambda} \neq 0\} \quad \lambda^* \in \hat{G} : \text{adjoint representation}$ Assume that $n_{\lambda} \ge d_{\lambda}$, $\lambda \in S$ $R(g,\hat{g}) = -\sum_{\lambda} a_{\lambda}(\chi_{\lambda}(\hat{g}g^{-1}) + \chi_{\lambda^*}(\hat{g}g^{-1}))$ $a_{\lambda} = a_{\lambda^*} \ge 0$ $\lambda \in G$ $X = (c_{\lambda}Y_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in S}$ with $\mathrm{Tr}Y_{\lambda}^{\dagger}Y_{\lambda} = 1$ $D_{R}(X) \geq -\sum c_{\lambda} c_{\lambda'} \sum a_{\lambda''} (C_{\lambda,\lambda'^{*}}^{\lambda''^{*}} + C_{\lambda,\lambda'^{*}}^{\lambda''})$ equality holds when Y_{λ} is maximal entangled state on \mathcal{U}_{λ} , $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}^{*}$: Littlewood-Richardson coefficient

Example: G = SO(3)Chiribella et al 2004 Bagan et al 2004, MH 2006 $\lambda \in \widehat{G}$ is identified with maximal weight. $R(g, \hat{g}) = |\operatorname{Tr} g^{\dagger} \hat{g}|^2 = 1 + \chi_1(g^{\dagger} \hat{g})$: gate fidelity $C_{k,k'}^{1} = \begin{cases} \delta_{k,k'-1} + \delta_{k,k'} + \delta_{k,k'+1} & \text{if } k > 0 \\ \delta_{0,k'-1} & \text{if } k = 0 \end{cases}$ $\min_{|\phi\rangle\in\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda_n}} D_R(\phi) = 1 - \cos\frac{2\pi}{2n+3} \cong \frac{\pi^2}{2n^2}$

 $\Lambda_n \coloneqq \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$

Example: G = SO(3)projective representation

Chiribella *et a*l 2004 Bagan *et al* 2004, MH 2006

 $\lambda \in \widehat{G}$ is identified with maximal weight.

$$R(g, \hat{g}) = |\operatorname{Tr} g^{\dagger} \hat{g}|^{2} = 1 + \chi_{1}(g^{\dagger} \hat{g}) : \text{gate fidelity}$$

$$C_{k+\frac{1}{2},k'+\frac{1}{2}}^{1} = \delta_{k,k'-1} + \delta_{k,k'} + \delta_{k,k'+1}$$

$$\min_{|\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_{\Lambda_{n}}} D_{R}(\phi) = 1 - \cos\frac{\pi}{n+2} \cong \frac{\pi^{2}}{2n^{2}}$$

$$\Lambda_{n} := \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \dots, n+\frac{1}{2}\}$$

Example: G = SU(2) $\lambda \in G$ is identified with maximal weight. $R(g,\hat{g}) = 1 - \chi_1(g^{\dagger}\hat{g})/2$ $C_{k/2,k'/2}^{1/2} = \delta_{k,k'-1} + \delta_{k,k'+1}$ $\lim_{|\phi\rangle\in\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda_{n}}} D_{R}(\phi) = 1 - \cos\frac{\pi}{n+2} \cong \frac{\pi}{2n^{2}}$ $\Lambda_n \coloneqq \{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \dots, \frac{n}{2}\}$

Energy constraint

Error $D_R(\rho, M) \coloneqq \int_G R(g, \hat{g}) \operatorname{Tr} f(g) \rho f(g)^{\dagger} M(d\hat{g})$ Energy constraint $\operatorname{Tr} \rho H \leq E$

$D_{R}(X) \coloneqq \int_{G} R(e, \hat{g}) |\mathcal{F}^{-1}[X](\hat{g}^{-1})|^{2} \mu(dg)$ Our target is $\min_{X \in L^{2}_{H,E}(\hat{G}), ||X||^{2} = 1} D_{R}(X)$ $L^{2}_{H,E}(\hat{G}) \coloneqq \{X \in L^{2}(\hat{G}) |\langle X|H|X \rangle \leq E\}$

Example:
$$G = \mathbb{R}$$
 $(\hat{G} = \mathbb{R})$
 $R(g, \hat{g}) = (g - \hat{g})^2, \quad f(g) |\lambda\rangle = e^{ig\lambda} |\lambda\rangle, \quad H = Q^2$
 $\min_{\rho \in S(L^2(\mathbb{R}))} \min_{M \in \mathcal{M}_{cov}(\mathbb{R})} \{ D_R(\rho, M) | \operatorname{Tr} \rho Q^2 \leq E \}$
 $-\min_{\rho \in G(L^2(\mathbb{R}))} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\hat{g}^2 | \mathcal{G}^{-1}[\phi](\hat{g})|^2 \frac{d\hat{g}}{2} | f_{\rho}(\lambda)|^2 \frac{d\lambda}{2} \leq E \}$

$$= \min_{|\phi\rangle \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{g}^{2} |\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\phi](\widehat{g})|^{2} \frac{dg}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda^{2} |\phi(\lambda)|^{2} \frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \leq E \right\}$$

$$= \min_{|\phi\rangle \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \left\{ \left\langle \phi \left| Q^{2} \right| \phi \right\rangle \left| \left\langle \phi \right| P^{2} \left| \phi \right\rangle \leq E \right\} = \frac{1}{4E} \right\}$$

Minimum is attained with

$$\varphi(\lambda) = e^{-\frac{\lambda^2}{4E^2}} / \sqrt{E}$$

Mathieu Function (Preparation) Periodic differential operator $P^2 + 2q \cos 2Q$

Minimum eigenvalue	Eigen function	space
$a_0(q)$	$ce_0(\theta,q)$	$L^{2}_{p,even}((-\frac{\pi}{2},\frac{\pi}{2}])$
$b_2(q)$	$se_2(\theta,q)$	$L^{2}_{p,odd}((-rac{\pi}{2},rac{\pi}{2}])$
$a_1(q)$	$ce_1(\theta,q)$	$L^{2}_{a,even}((-\frac{\pi}{2},\frac{\pi}{2}])$
$b_1(q)$	$se_1(\theta,q)$	$L^2_{\mathrm{a,odd}}((-\frac{\bar{\pi}}{2},\frac{\bar{\pi}}{2}])$

$$Estimation of U(1)$$

$$R(g, \hat{g}) = 1 - \cos(g - \hat{g}), \quad f(g)|k\rangle = e^{ikg}|k\rangle,$$

$$H = \sum_{k} k^{2}|k\rangle\langle k|$$

$$\min_{\rho \in S(L^{2}(\hat{U}(1)))} \min_{M \in \mathcal{M}_{cov}(U(1))} \{D_{R}(\rho, M) | \operatorname{Tr}\rho H \leq E\}$$

$$= \min_{|\phi\rangle \in L^{2}_{p,even}((-\pi,\pi))} \{\langle \phi | I - \cos Q | \phi \rangle | \langle \phi | P^{2} | \phi \rangle \leq E\}$$

$$= \max_{s>0} \frac{sa_{0}(2/s)}{4} + 1 - sE \qquad \text{Optimal input is constructed by } \operatorname{ce}_{0}(\theta, q)$$

$$\cong \begin{cases} \frac{1}{8E} - \frac{1}{128E^{2}} & \text{as } E \to \infty \\ 1 - \sqrt{2E} + \frac{7\sqrt{2E}^{\frac{3}{2}}}{16} & \text{as } E \to 0 \end{cases}$$

Graphs



Another energy constraint for

$$G = U(1)$$

$$\tau(E) := \min \int_{0}^{2\pi} 2\sin^{2} \frac{\hat{\theta} - \theta}{2} |\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\varphi](-\hat{\theta})|^{2} d\hat{\theta}$$
under energy constraint:
$$\sum_{\lambda=0}^{\infty} n |\varphi(n)|^{2} = \langle \varphi | \hat{n} | \varphi \rangle \leq E$$
Assume $|\varphi\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(\frac{n}{R}) \frac{1}{R} |2n\rangle$ $f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$
Energy: $\langle \varphi | \hat{n} | \varphi \rangle = 2R \langle f | Q | f \rangle$
Error:

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} 2\sin^{2} \frac{\hat{\theta} - \theta}{2} |\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\varphi](-\hat{\theta})|^{2} d\hat{\theta} = \frac{|f(0)|^{2}}{2R} + \frac{\langle f | P^{2} | f \rangle}{2R^{2}}$$

Another energy constraint for

$$G = U(1)$$

$$\tau(E) \coloneqq \min \int_{0}^{2\pi} 2\sin^{2} \frac{\hat{\theta} - \theta}{2} |\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\varphi](-\hat{\theta})|^{2} d\hat{\theta}$$
under energy constraint:
$$\sum_{\lambda=0}^{\infty} n |\varphi(n)|^{2} = \langle \varphi | \hat{n} | \varphi \rangle \leq E$$
Assume $|\varphi\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(\frac{n}{R}) \frac{1}{R} |2n\rangle$ $f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$
 $E^{2}\tau(E) = 2 \min_{f:f(0)=0} \langle f | Q | f \rangle^{2} \langle f | P^{2} | f \rangle \leq \frac{1}{8}$

Suboptimal input state with Heisenberg scaling is realized by two-mode squeezed vacuum state.

MH et al 2019



Graphs



$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Estimation of SO(3)} \\ R(g, \hat{g}) &= \frac{1}{2} (3 - \chi_1(\hat{g}g^{-1})), \quad H = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k}{2} \left(\frac{k}{2} + 1\right) I_{\frac{k}{2}} \\ \text{Reduce } L^2(\hat{SO}(3)) \text{ to } L^2_{\text{a,odd}}((-\pi,\pi]) \text{ or } L^2_{\text{p,odd}}((-\pi,\pi]) \\ & \underset{\rho \in S(L^2(\hat{SO}(3)))}{\min} \min_{M \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{cov}}(SO(3))} \left\{ D_R(\rho, M) \mid \text{Tr}\rho H \leq E \right\} \\ & = \begin{cases} \min_{\phi \in L^2_{\text{a,odd}}} \left\{ \langle \phi \mid I - \cos Q \mid \phi \rangle \mid \langle \phi \mid P^2 \mid \phi \rangle \leq E + \frac{1}{4} \right\} \\ & \text{Integer case} \\ & \underset{\phi \in L^2_{\text{p,odd}}}{\min} \left\{ \langle \phi \mid I - \cos Q \mid \phi \rangle \mid \langle \phi \mid P^2 \mid \phi \rangle \leq E + \frac{1}{4} \right\} \\ & \text{Half integer case} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Integer case



Optimal input is constructed by $\operatorname{ce}_1(\theta,q)$

Half integer case







and Normal line expresses the representation case

Non-compact Example: $G = \mathbb{R}^2$

$$f : \text{Heisenberg representation} \\ X \\ \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}) \qquad \text{multiplicity}$$

Minimize

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (x^2 + y^2) |\mathcal{F}^{-1}[X](\frac{x + yi}{\sqrt{2}})|^2 dx dy$$

under

$$\langle X | (Q^2 + P^2) \otimes I | X \rangle \leq E$$

Minimum value: $\frac{1}{2E}$

How to derive minimum Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}: L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R})$ $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(Q \otimes I)\mathcal{F} = P_2 - \frac{1}{2}Q_1, \ \mathcal{F}^{-1}(P \otimes I)\mathcal{F} = -P_1 - \frac{1}{2}Q_2$ Via $\phi = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[X]$, minimizing problem is equivalent with Minimize $\langle \phi | Q_1^2 + Q_2^2 | \phi \rangle$ under $\langle \phi | (P_2 - \frac{1}{2}Q_1)^2 + (-P_1 - \frac{1}{2}Q_2)^2 | \phi \rangle \leq E$ By choosing suitable coordinate, minimizing problem is equivalent with Minimum value Minimize $\langle \phi | Q_1^2 + Q_2^2 | \phi \rangle$ Uncertainty $\frac{1}{2E}$ relation under $\langle \phi | P_1^2 + P_1^2 | \phi \rangle \leq E$

Practical realization of asymptotically optimal estimator G = U(1) $\mathcal{H} = \langle k \rangle \rangle, \quad H = \sum k^2 |k\rangle \langle k|$ Assume that ϕ satisfies $\sum_{k} k |\langle k | \phi \rangle|^2 = 0$ $\mathcal{F}[\phi]$ is even function MLE $|\phi\rangle \rightarrow U \rightarrow M \rightarrow \theta_1$ $|\phi\rangle \rightarrow U \rightarrow M \rightarrow \theta_2$ $|\phi\rangle \rightarrow U \rightarrow M \rightarrow \theta_n$ This method attains the optimal performance.

Practical realization of asymptotically optimal estimator G = SU(2) $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\lambda} \mathcal{H}_{\lambda}$ Assume that the support of ϕ^{λ} contains both of integer rep. and half integer rep. MLE $\begin{aligned} \left| \phi \right\rangle \to U \to M \to \theta_1 \\ \left| \phi \right\rangle \to U \to M \to \theta_2 \\ \end{aligned}$ $|\phi\rangle \rightarrow U \rightarrow M \rightarrow \theta_n$ This method attains the optimal performance.

Practical realization of asymptotically optimal estimator G = SO(3) $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\lambda} \mathcal{H}_{\lambda}$ Assume that the support of ϕ^{λ} contains only integer rep. or half integer rep. $|\phi\rangle \rightarrow U \rightarrow M \rightarrow \theta_1$ $|\phi\rangle \rightarrow U \rightarrow M \rightarrow \theta_2$ $|\phi\rangle \rightarrow U \rightarrow M \rightarrow \theta_n$ This method attains the optimal performance.

Implication of these optimal estimators

When we consider the energy constraint, entangled input state and measurement with entangled basis do not enhance the quality of estimation.



Uncertainty relation on
$$L_p^2((-\pi,\pi])$$

 $= L^2(U(1)) = L^2(S^1)$
 $\Delta_{\phi}^2(\cos Q, \sin Q) \coloneqq \Delta_{\phi}^2 \cos Q + \Delta_{\phi}^2 \sin Q$
 $\min_{\phi \in L_p^2((-\pi,\pi])} \left\{ \Delta_{\phi}^2(\cos Q, \sin Q) \mid \Delta_{\phi}^2 P \le E \right\}$
 $= \max_{s>0} 1 - (sE - \frac{sa_0(2/s)}{4})^2$
The minimum is realized by $\operatorname{ce}_0(\frac{\theta}{2}, -\frac{2}{s_E})$
 $s_E \coloneqq \operatorname{argmax} 1 - (sE - \frac{sa_0(\frac{2}{s_E})}{4})^2$

Uncertainty relation on
$$L^{2}(SU(2))$$

 $g \mapsto (x_{0}(g), x_{1}(g), x_{2}(g), x_{3}(g)) \in S^{3}$
 $\Delta_{\phi}^{2} \vec{Q} \coloneqq \sum_{j=0}^{3} \Delta_{\phi}^{2} Q_{j}, \Delta_{\phi}^{2} \vec{P} \coloneqq \sum_{j=1}^{3} \Delta_{\phi}^{2} P_{j}$
 $P_{j} \phi \coloneqq \frac{d\phi(e^{it\sigma_{j/2}}g)}{dt}|_{t=0}$
 $= \max_{s>0} 1 - (s(E+1/4) - sb_{2}(\frac{8}{s})/16)^{2}$
Function ϕ realizing the minimum is given by using
 $se_{2}(\frac{\theta}{4}, -\frac{8}{s_{E}})$

Uncertainty relation between $\Delta_{\phi,\max}Q$ and $\Delta_{\phi}P$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$

$$\min_{\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})} \left\{ \Delta_{\phi}^2 P \mid \Delta_{\phi,\max}^2 Q \leq L \right\} = \min_{\phi \in L^2((-L,L])} \Delta_{\phi}^2 P = \frac{\pi^2}{4L^2}$$

1

where

$$\Delta_{\phi,\max} Q \coloneqq \max_{\langle \phi | E_{\lambda} | \phi \rangle > 0} |\lambda - \langle \phi | Q | \phi \rangle|$$

$$Q = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda E_{\lambda} d\lambda$$

Uncertainty relation between $\Delta_{\phi}Q$ and $\Delta_{\phi,\max}P$ on $L^2_p((-\pi,\pi])$ $\Delta_{\phi}^{2}(\cos Q, \sin Q) \coloneqq \Delta_{\phi}^{2} \cos Q + \Delta_{\phi}^{2} \sin Q$ $\min_{\phi \in L^2_p((-\pi,\pi])} \left\{ \Delta^2_{\phi}(\cos Q, \sin Q) \, | \, \Delta^2_{\phi,\max} P \leq E \right\}$ $=\sin^2\frac{\pi}{2\mid E\mid+2}$ The minimum is realized by $C \sin \frac{\pi (\lambda + \lfloor E \rfloor + 1)}{2 \mid E \mid + 2}$

Uncertainty relation between $\Delta_{\phi}^{2}\vec{Q}$ and $\Delta_{\phi,\max}^{2}\vec{P}$ on $L^{2}(SU(2))$

$$\min_{\phi \in L^2(\mathrm{SU}(2))} \left\{ \Delta_{\phi}^2 \vec{Q} \mid \Delta_{\phi,\max}^2 \vec{P} \leq E \right\}$$



Function ϕ realizing the minimum is given by using $\sum_{k=0}^{n} \sin \frac{(k+1)\pi}{n+2} \frac{\sin(k+1)\theta/2}{\sin\theta/2}$

Conclusion

- We have proposed a method with Inverse Fourier transform as a unified approach for estimation of group action
- Using this method, we have derived the optimal estimator with energy constraint in several groups.
- We have shown that entanglement of input and output cannot improve under energy constraint.
- We have applied it to uncertainty relation.

References

- MH, Com. Math. Phys. 347 1495 (2016)
- A. S. Holevo, *Rep. Math. Phys.*, **16**, 385 (1979)
- N.A. Bogomolov, *Theor. Prob. Appl.*, **26**, 787 (1982)
- M. Ozawa, Research Reports on Inf. Sci. (1980)
- G. Chiribella, et al, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 180503 (2004)
- E. Bagan, M. Baig, R. Munoz-Tapia, *Phys. Rev. A* 70, 030301(R) (2004)
- MH, Phys. Lett., A 354, 183 (2006)
- H. Imai and MH, New J. Phys. 11, 043034 (2009)
- MH, Progress of Informatics, **8**, 81-87 (2011)
- MH et al, J. Phys. B 50 015503 (2019)