

MODULES OF G-DIMENSION ZERO OVER LOCAL RINGS OF DEPTH TWO

RYO TAKAHASHI

ABSTRACT. Let R be a commutative noetherian local ring. Denote by $\text{mod}R$ the category of finitely generated R -modules, and by $\mathcal{G}(R)$ the full subcategory of $\text{mod}R$ consisting of all R -modules of G-dimension zero. Suppose that R is henselian and non-Gorenstein, and that there is a non-free R -module in $\mathcal{G}(R)$. Then it is known that $\mathcal{G}(R)$ is not contravariantly finite in $\text{mod}R$ if R has depth at most one. In this paper, we prove that the same statement holds if R has depth two.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the present paper, we assume that all rings are commutative noetherian rings and all modules are finitely generated modules.

Auslander [1] has introduced a homological invariant for modules which is called Gorenstein dimension, or G-dimension for short. This invariant has a lot of properties similar to those of projective dimension. For example, it is well-known that the finiteness of projective dimension characterizes the regular property of the base ring: any module over a regular local ring has finite projective dimension, and a local ring whose residue class field has finite projective dimension is regular. The finiteness of G-dimension characterizes the Gorenstein property of the base ring.

Over a Gorenstein local ring, a module has G-dimension zero if and only if it is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay module. Hence it is natural to expect that modules of G-dimension zero over an arbitrary local ring may behave similarly to maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules over a Gorenstein local ring.

A Cohen-Macaulay local ring is called to be of finite Cohen-Macaulay representation type if it has only finitely many non-isomorphic indecomposable maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules. Under a few assumptions, Gorenstein local rings of finite Cohen-Macaulay representation type have been classified completely, and it is known that all non-isomorphic indecomposable maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules over them can be described concretely; see [7] for the details.

Key words and phrases: G-dimension, (pre)cover, contravariantly finite.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 13D05; Secondary 16G60.

Thus we are interested in non-Gorenstein local rings which have only finitely many non-isomorphic indecomposable modules of G-dimension zero, especially interested in determining all non-isomorphic indecomposable modules of G-dimension zero over such rings.

Now, we form the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1.1. Let R be a non-Gorenstein local ring. Suppose that there exists a non-free R -module of G-dimension zero. Then there exist infinitely many non-isomorphic indecomposable R -modules of G-dimension zero.

The above conjecture is against our expectation that modules of G-dimension zero over an arbitrary local ring behave similarly to maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules over a Gorenstein local ring. Indeed, let S be a d -dimensional non-regular Gorenstein local ring of finite Cohen-Macaulay representation type. (Such a ring does exist; see [7].) Then the d th syzygy module of the residue class field of S is a non-free maximal Cohen-Macaulay S -module. Hence the above conjecture does not necessarily hold without the assumption that R is non-Gorenstein.

For a local ring R , we denote by $\text{mod}R$ the category of finitely generated R -modules, and by $\mathcal{G}(R)$ the full subcategory of $\text{mod}R$ consisting of all R -modules of G-dimension zero. We guess that even the following statement that is stronger than Conjecture 1.1 is true. (It is seen from the proof of [5, Theorem 2.9] that Conjecture 1.2 implies Conjecture 1.1.)

Conjecture 1.2. Let R be a non-Gorenstein local ring. Suppose that there exists a non-free R -module in $\mathcal{G}(R)$. Then the category $\mathcal{G}(R)$ is not contravariantly finite in $\text{mod}R$.

In [4] and [5], it is proved that Conjecture 1.2 is true if R is henselian and has depth at most one:

Theorem 1.3. [4, Theorem 1.2][5, Theorem 2.8] *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a henselian non-Gorenstein local ring. Suppose that there exists a non-free R -module in $\mathcal{G}(R)$. If the depth of R is zero (resp. one), then k (resp. \mathfrak{m}) does not admit a $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -precover, and hence $\mathcal{G}(R)$ is not contravariantly finite in $\text{mod}R$.*

The purpose of this paper is to prove that Conjecture 1.2 is true if R is henselian and has depth two:

Theorem 1.4. *Let R be a henselian non-Gorenstein local ring of depth two. Suppose that there exists a non-free R -module in $\mathcal{G}(R)$. Then the category $\mathcal{G}(R)$ is not contravariantly finite in $\text{mod}R$.*

Under the assumption in Theorem 1.4, take a non-split exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow M \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow 0,$$

where \mathfrak{m} is the unique maximal ideal of R . (Such an exact sequence exists because $\text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}, R) \neq 0$.) Then, it can be proved that the R -module M does

not admit a $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -precover, and hence $\mathcal{G}(R)$ is not contravariantly finite in $\text{mod}R$.

In the next section, we will state some definitions and results which are necessary to prove the theorem. We will spend the whole of the last section on giving a proof of the theorem.

2. BACKGROUND MATERIAL

In this section, we provide some background material. Throughout this section, let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a commutative noetherian local ring. All R -modules in this section are assumed to be finitely generated.

First of all, we recall the definition of G-dimension. We denote by $\text{mod}R$ the category of finitely generated R -modules. Put $M^* = \text{Hom}_R(M, R)$ for an R -module M .

Definition 2.1. (1) We denote by $\mathcal{G}(R)$ the full subcategory of $\text{mod}R$ consisting of all R -modules M satisfying the following three conditions.

- i) The natural homomorphism $M \rightarrow M^{**}$ is an isomorphism.
 - ii) $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) = 0$ for every $i > 0$.
 - iii) $\text{Ext}_R^i(M^*, R) = 0$ for every $i > 0$.
- (2) Let M be an R -module. If n is a non-negative integer such that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow G_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

of R -modules with $G_i \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ for every i , $0 \leq i \leq n$, then we say that M has *G-dimension at most n* , and write $\text{G-dim}_R M \leq n$. If such an integer n does not exist, then we say that M has *infinite G-dimension*, and write $\text{G-dim}_R M = \infty$.

Of course, if an R -module M has G-dimension at most n but does not have at most $n-1$, then we say that M has G-dimension n and write $\text{G-dim}_R M = n$.

Let M be an R -module. We denote by $\Omega^n M$ the n th syzygy module of M , and set $\Omega M = \Omega^1 M$. If $F_1 \xrightarrow{\partial} F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is the minimal free presentation of M , then we denote by $\text{Tr}M$ the cokernel of the dual homomorphism $\partial^* : F_0^* \rightarrow F_1^*$. G-dimension is a homological invariant for modules sharing a lot of properties with projective dimension. We state here just the properties that will be used later.

Proposition 2.2. (1) *The following conditions are equivalent.*

- i) R is Gorenstein.
 - ii) $\text{G-dim}_R M < \infty$ for any R -module M .
 - iii) $\text{G-dim}_R k < \infty$.
- (2) *Let M, N be R -modules. Then $\text{G-dim}_R(M \oplus N) = \sup\{\text{G-dim}_R M, \text{G-dim}_R N\}$.*
- (3) *If an R -module M belongs to $\mathcal{G}(R)$, then so do M^* , ΩM , $\text{Tr}M$, and any direct summand of M .*

- (4) Let $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of R -modules. If L and N belong to $\mathcal{G}(R)$, then so does M .

The proof of this proposition and other properties of G-dimension are stated in detail in [2, Chapter 3,4] and [3, Chapter 1].

Now we introduce the notion of a cover of a module.

Definition 2.3. Let \mathcal{X} be a full subcategory of $\text{mod}R$.

- (1) Let $\phi : X \rightarrow M$ be a homomorphism from $X \in \mathcal{X}$ to $M \in \text{mod}R$.
- i) We call ϕ an \mathcal{X} -precover of M if for any homomorphism $\phi' : X' \rightarrow M$ with $X' \in \mathcal{X}$ there exists a homomorphism $f : X' \rightarrow X$ such that $\phi' = \phi f$.
 - ii) Assume that ϕ is an \mathcal{X} -precover of M . We call ϕ an \mathcal{X} -cover of M if any endomorphism f of X with $\phi = \phi f$ is an automorphism.
- (2) The category \mathcal{X} is said to be *contravariantly finite* if every $M \in \text{mod}R$ has an \mathcal{X} -precover.

An \mathcal{X} -precover (resp. an \mathcal{X} -cover) is often called a right \mathcal{X} -approximation (resp. a right minimal \mathcal{X} -approximation).

Proposition 2.4. [5, Remark 2.6] Let \mathcal{X} be a full subcategory of $\text{mod}R$ which is closed under direct summands, and let

$$0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\psi} X \xrightarrow{\phi} M$$

be an exact sequence of R -modules where ϕ is an \mathcal{X} -precover of M . Suppose that R is henselian. Then there exists a direct summand L of N satisfying the following conditions:

- i) $\psi(L)$ is a direct summand of X .
- ii) Let N' (resp. X') be the complement of L (resp. $\psi(L)$) in N (resp. X), and let

$$0 \rightarrow N' \xrightarrow{\psi'} X' \xrightarrow{\phi'} M$$

be the induced exact sequence. Then ϕ' is an \mathcal{X} -cover of M .

For R -modules M, N , we define a homomorphism

$$\lambda_M(N) : M \otimes_R N \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M^*, N)$$

of R -modules by $\lambda_M(N)(m \otimes n)(f) = f(m)n$ for $m \in M$, $n \in N$ and $f \in M^*$.

3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Now, let us prove our theorem.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a henselian non-Gorenstein local ring of depth two. Then, since $\text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}, R) \cong \text{Ext}_R^2(k, R) \neq 0$, we have a non-split exact sequence

$$(1) \quad \sigma : 0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow M \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow 0.$$

Dualizing this, we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}^* \rightarrow M^* \rightarrow R^* \xrightarrow{\eta} \text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}, R).$$

Note from definition that the connecting homomorphism η sends $\text{id}_R \in R^*$ to the element $s \in \text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}, R)$ corresponding to the exact sequence σ . Since σ does not split, s is a non-zero element of $\text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}, R)$. Hence η is a non-zero map. Noting that $\text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}, R) \cong \text{Ext}_R^2(k, R)$, we see that the image of η is annihilated by \mathfrak{m} . Also noting that $\mathfrak{m}^* \cong R^* \cong R$, we get an exact sequence

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow M^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow 0.$$

Claim 1. *The modules $\text{Hom}_R(G, M)$ and $\text{Hom}_R(G, M^*)$ belong to $\mathcal{G}(R)$ for every non-free indecomposable module $G \in \mathcal{G}(R)$.*

PROOF. Applying the functor $\text{Hom}_R(G, -)$ to the exact sequence (1) gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G^* \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(G, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(G, \mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(G, R).$$

Since G is non-free and indecomposable, any homomorphism from G to R factors through \mathfrak{m} , and hence $\text{Hom}_R(G, \mathfrak{m}) \cong G^*$. Also, since $G \in \mathcal{G}(R)$, we have $\text{Ext}_R^1(G, R) = 0$. Thus Proposition 2.2.4 implies that $\text{Hom}_R(G, M) \in \mathcal{G}(R)$. The same argument for the exact sequence (2) shows that $\text{Hom}_R(G, M^*) \in \mathcal{G}(R)$. \square

We shall prove that the module M can not have a $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -precover. Suppose that M has a $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -precover. Then M has a $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -cover $\pi : X \rightarrow M$ by Proposition 2.4. Since $R \in \mathcal{G}(R)$, any homomorphism from R to M factors through π . Hence π is a surjective homomorphism. Setting $N = \text{Ker } \pi$, we get an exact sequence

$$(3) \quad 0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\theta} X \xrightarrow{\pi} M \rightarrow 0,$$

where θ is the inclusion. We see from Proposition 2.2.3, 2.2.4, and Wakamatsu's Lemma [6, Lemma 2.1.1] that $\text{Ext}_R^i(G, N) = 0$ for any $G \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ and any $i > 0$. Dualizing the exact sequence (3), we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M^* \xrightarrow{\pi^*} X^* \xrightarrow{\theta^*} N^*.$$

Put $C = \text{Im}(\theta^*)$ and let $\mu : X^* \rightarrow C$ be the surjection induced by θ^* .

Claim 2. *The homomorphism μ is a $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -precover of C .*

PROOF. Fix a non-free indecomposable module $G \in \mathcal{G}(R)$. Applying the functors $G \otimes_R -$ and $\text{Hom}_R(G^*, -)$ to the exact sequence (3) yields a commutative

diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& & 0 \\
& & \downarrow \\
G \otimes_R N & \xrightarrow{\lambda_G(N)} & \text{Hom}_R(G^*, N) \\
G \otimes_R \theta \downarrow & & \text{Hom}_R(G^*, \theta) \downarrow \\
G \otimes_R X & \xrightarrow{\lambda_G(X)} & \text{Hom}_R(G^*, X) \\
G \otimes_R \pi \downarrow & & \text{Hom}_R(G^*, \pi) \downarrow \\
G \otimes_R M & \xrightarrow{\lambda_G(M)} & \text{Hom}_R(G^*, M) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & & \text{Ext}_R^1(G^*, N)
\end{array}$$

with exact columns. Noting that $\text{Tr}G \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ by Proposition 2.2.3, we see from [2, Proposition (2.6)] that $\text{Ker } \lambda_G(N) = \text{Ext}_R^1(\text{Tr}G, N) = 0$ and $\text{Coker } \lambda_G(N) = \text{Ext}_R^2(\text{Tr}G, N) = 0$. This means that $\lambda_G(N)$ is an isomorphism. It follows from the commutativity of the above diagram that the homomorphism $G \otimes_R \theta$ is injective. Also, we have $\text{Ext}_R^1(G^*, N) = 0$ because $G^* \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ by Proposition 2.2.3. Thus we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & G \otimes_R N & \xrightarrow{G \otimes_R \theta} & G \otimes_R X & \longrightarrow & G \otimes_R M & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \lambda_G(N) \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(G^*, N) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(G^*, X) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(G^*, M) & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

with exact rows. Dualizing this diagram induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\text{Hom}_R(G^*, X)^* & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(G^*, N)^* & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_R^1(\text{Hom}_R(G^*, M), R) \\
\downarrow & & (\lambda_G(N))^* \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \\
(G \otimes_R X)^* & \xrightarrow{(G \otimes_R \theta)^*} & (G \otimes_R N)^* & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_R^1(G \otimes_R M, R)
\end{array}$$

with exact rows. Since $\text{Hom}_R(G^*, M) \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ by Claim 1, we have $\text{Ext}_R^1(\text{Hom}_R(G^*, M), R) = 0$. From the above commutative diagram, it is seen that $(G \otimes_R \theta)^*$ is a surjective homomorphism. Note that there is a natural commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(G \otimes_R X)^* & \xrightarrow{(G \otimes_R \theta)^*} & (G \otimes_R N)^* \\
\downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
\text{Hom}_R(G, X^*) & \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_R(G, \theta^*)} & \text{Hom}_R(G, N^*)
\end{array}$$

with isomorphic vertical maps. Therefore the homomorphism $\text{Hom}_R(G, \theta^*)$ is also surjective, and so is the homomorphism $\text{Hom}_R(G, \mu) : \text{Hom}_R(G, X^*) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(G, C)$. It is easy to see from this that μ is a $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -precover of C . \square

According to Claim 2 and Proposition 2.4, we have direct sum decompositions $M^* = Y \oplus L$, $X^* = \pi^*(Y) \oplus Z$, and an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow Z \xrightarrow{\nu} C \rightarrow 0$$

where ν is a $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -cover of C . Since Y is isomorphic to the direct summand $\pi^*(Y)$ of X^* , Proposition 2.2.3 implies that $Y \in \mathcal{G}(R)$. Wakamatsu's Lemma yields $\text{Ext}_R^1(G, L) = 0$ for any $G \in \mathcal{G}(R)$.

Claim 3. *The module $\text{Hom}_R(G, Y)$ belongs to $\mathcal{G}(R)$ for any $G \in \mathcal{G}(R)$.*

PROOF. We may assume that G is non-free and indecomposable. The module $\text{Hom}_R(G, Y)$ is isomorphic to a direct summand of $\text{Hom}_R(G, M^*)$. Since the module $\text{Hom}_R(G, M^*)$ is an object of $\mathcal{G}(R)$ by Claim 1, so is the module $\text{Hom}_R(G, Y)$ by Proposition 2.2.3. \square

Here, by the assumption of the theorem, we have a non-free indecomposable module $W \in \mathcal{G}(R)$. There is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega W \rightarrow F \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$$

such that F is a free module. Applying the functor $\text{Hom}_R(-, Y)$ to this exact sequence, we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(W, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(F, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\Omega W, Y) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(W, Y) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\text{Hom}_R(W, Y)$, $\text{Hom}_R(F, Y)$, and $\text{Hom}_R(\Omega W, Y)$ belong to $\mathcal{G}(R)$ by Claim 3, the R -module $\text{Ext}_R^1(W, Y)$ has G-dimension at most two, in particular it has finite G-dimension.

On the other hand, there are isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_R^1(W, Y) &\cong \text{Ext}_R^1(W, Y) \oplus \text{Ext}_R^1(W, L) \\ &\cong \text{Ext}_R^1(W, M^*) \\ &\cong \text{Ext}_R^1(W, \mathfrak{m}), \end{aligned}$$

where the last isomorphism is induced by the exact sequence (2). Applying the functor $\text{Hom}_R(W, -)$ to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow R \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$$

and noting that $\text{Hom}_R(W, \mathfrak{m}) \cong W^*$ because W is a non-free indecomposable module, we obtain an isomorphism $\text{Ext}_R^1(W, \mathfrak{m}) \cong \text{Hom}_R(W, k)$, and hence $\text{Ext}_R^1(W, Y)$ is a non-zero k -vector space. Therefore Proposition 2.2.1 and 2.2.2 say that R is Gorenstein, contrary to the assumption of our theorem. This contradiction proves that the R -module M does not have a $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -precover, which establishes our theorem. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. AUSLANDER, *Anneaux de Gorenstein, et torsion en algèbre commutative*, Séminaire d'algèbre commutative dirigé par P. Samuel, Secrétariat mathématique, Paris, 1967.
- [2] ——— and M. BRIDGER, *Stable module theory*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, No. 94, 1969.
- [3] L. W. CHRISTENSEN, *Gorenstein dimensions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1747, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [4] R. TAKAHASHI, On the category of modules of Gorenstein dimension zero, preprint.
- [5] ———, On the category of modules of Gorenstein dimension zero II, *J. Algebra*, to appear.
- [6] J. XU, *Flat covers of modules*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1634, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [7] Y. YOSHINO, *Cohen-Macaulay modules over Cohen-Macaulay rings*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 146, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY,
OKAYAMA 700-8530, JAPAN

E-mail address: takahasi@math.okayama-u.ac.jp