

Proof that δ_y , T_h , and δ_y^α are distributions.

Remark: A sequence of functions $\{f_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ converges to $f_\infty \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if:

$$1) \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\partial^\alpha f_j(x) - \partial^\alpha f_\infty(x)| \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$2) \text{supp}(f_j) \subset B(0, R) \text{ for some } R \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \forall j \in \mathbb{N}$$

Claim 1: δ_y^α is a distribution, ($\delta_y^\alpha[f] := \partial^\alpha f(y)$) for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof "A": Let us show δ_y^α is linear. Let $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{then } \delta_y^\alpha[f_1 + \lambda f_2] &= [\partial^\alpha f_1 + \partial^\alpha \lambda f_2](y) = \partial^\alpha f_1(y) + \lambda \partial^\alpha f_2(y) = \\ &= \delta_y^\alpha[f_1] + \lambda \delta_y^\alpha[f_2] \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proof "B": Let us show that $\delta_y^\alpha[f_j] \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} \delta_y^\alpha[f_\infty]$ if $f_j \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} f_\infty$.

We can equivalently show that $|\delta_y^\alpha[f_j] - \delta_y^\alpha[f_\infty]| \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0$

$$|\delta_y^\alpha[f_j] - \delta_y^\alpha[f_\infty]| = |\partial^\alpha f_j(y) - \partial^\alpha f_\infty(y)| \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\partial^\alpha f_j(x) - \partial^\alpha f_\infty(x)| \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad (1)$$

since we know $\{f_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges. \square . A+B is sufficiently sufficient. \square

Claim 2: δ_y is a distribution ($\delta_y[f] := f(y)$).

This is a special case of δ_y^α with $\alpha = 0$. Therefore, we have shown the claim as required. \square

Claim 3: T_h is a distribution, where $T_h(f) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) h(x) dx$ and $h \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Proof "A": Let us show T_h is linear. Let $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{then, } T_h[f_1 + \lambda f_2] &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [f_1 + \lambda f_2](x) h(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_1(x) h(x) dx + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_2(x) h(x) dx \\ &= T_h[f_1] + \lambda T_h[f_2] \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proof "B": Let us show $T_h[f_j] \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} T_h[f_\infty]$ if $f_j \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} f_\infty$

Equivalently we show $|T_h[f_j] - T_h[f_\infty]| \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0$

$$|T_h[f_j] - T_h[f_\infty]| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_j(x) h(x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_\infty(x) h(x) dx \right|$$

$$= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x) (f_j(x) - f_\infty(x)) dx \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h(x)| |f_j(x) - f_\infty(x)| dx$$

$$\leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |f_j(x) - f_\infty(x)| \int_{B(0, R)} |h(x)| dx = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |f_j(x) - f_\infty(x)| \int_{B(0, R)} |h(x)| dx$$

(1) $\xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0$ since we know $\text{supp}(f_j) \subset B(0, R)$ and since $\int_{B(0, R)} |h(x)| dx < \infty$

$\Rightarrow T_h[f_j] \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} T_h[f_\infty] \quad \square$. A+B is sufficient \square .