

Fourier transform of some important tempered distributions

SML course - Introduction to functional analysis

NGUYEN Tue Tai / 062201848

May 9, 2023

1 Proof that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Let $p \in [1, \infty)$ and define

$$L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(X)|^p dX < \infty \right\}.$$

Observe that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(1+x^2)^p} dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{1+x^2} dx = \pi < \infty.$$

Let $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ be defined by

$$\phi(X) = \frac{1}{(1+x_1^2)(1+x_2^2)\dots(1+x_n^2)} = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1+x_i^2)}.$$

We have $\phi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $p \in [1, \infty)$ because

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\phi(X)|^p dX = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(1+x_1^2)^p} dx_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(1+x_2^2)^p} dx_2 \dots \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(1+x_n^2)^p} dx_n < \infty.$$

Letting $\gamma = (2, 2, \dots, 2) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we have for any $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|f(X)|}{|\phi(X)|} &= |(1+x_1^2)(1+x_2^2)\dots(1+x_n^2)f(X)| = \left| \sum_{i_1=0}^1 \sum_{i_2=0}^1 \dots \sum_{i_n=0}^1 x_1^{2i_1} x_2^{2i_2} \dots x_n^{2i_n} f(X) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i_1=0}^1 \sum_{i_2=0}^1 \dots \sum_{i_n=0}^1 |x_1^{2i_1} x_2^{2i_2} \dots x_n^{2i_n} f(X)| \\ &\leq \sum_{|\beta| \leq |\gamma|} |X^\beta f(X)| \\ &\leq \sum_{|\beta| \leq |\gamma|} \|X^\beta f\|_\infty < \infty \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have for any $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(X)|^p dX &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(X)|^p}{|\phi(X)|^p} |\phi(X)|^p dX \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sum_{|\beta| \leq |\gamma|} \|X^\beta f\|_\infty \right)^p |\phi(X)|^p dX \\ &= \left(\sum_{|\beta| \leq |\gamma|} \|X^\beta f\|_\infty \right)^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\phi(X)|^p dX < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. As a result, $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $p \in [1, \infty)$.

2 Proof that $T_{\lambda \mathbf{1}}$ is a tempered distribution

Consider the function $\mathbf{1} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ defined by $\mathbf{1}(X) = 1$ for $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ and define $T_{\lambda \mathbf{1}} : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ by

$$T_{\lambda \mathbf{1}}(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \lambda \mathbf{1}(X) f(X) \, dX = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(X) \, dX,$$

for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Indeed, $T_{\lambda \mathbf{1}}$ is well-defined because $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Now, we want to show that $T_{\lambda \mathbf{1}}$ is a tempered distribution. Clearly, $T_{\lambda \mathbf{1}}$ is linear, so we just need to prove that $T_{\lambda \mathbf{1}}$ is continuous.

Using ϕ from Section 1 with $\phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\gamma = (2, 2, \dots, 2) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we have for any $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |T_{\lambda \mathbf{1}}(f)| &= \left| \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(X) \, dX \right| \leq |\lambda| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(X)| \, dX = |\lambda| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\phi(X)| \frac{|f(X)|}{|\phi(X)|} \, dX \\ &\leq |\lambda| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\phi(X)| \sum_{|\beta| \leq |\gamma|} \|X^\beta f\|_\infty \, dX \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\lambda \phi(X)| \, dX \right) \sum_{|\beta| \leq |\gamma|} \|X^\beta f\|_\infty \\ &\leq c \sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq |\gamma|} \|X^\beta \partial^\alpha f\|_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

where $c = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\lambda \phi(X)| \, dX$.

By **Theorem 1.5.10**, $T_{\lambda \mathbf{1}}$ is continuous and it is a tempered distribution.

3 Proof that δ_0 is a tempered distribution

Define $\delta_0 : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ by $\delta_0(f) = f(0)$ for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We have for any $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$|\delta_0(f)| = |f(0)| \leq \|f\|_\infty \leq 1 \cdot \sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq m} \|X^\beta \partial^\alpha f\|_\infty.$$

Because δ_0 is also linear, δ_0 is a tempered distribution by **Theorem 1.5.10**.

4 Proof that T_h is a tempered distribution for any $h \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Let $h \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $p \in [1, \infty)$ and define $T_h : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ by

$$T_h(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(X) f(X) \, dX \quad \text{for any } f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

This expression is well-defined because for any $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^q$ with $q \in [1, \infty)$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(X) f(X) \, dX \right| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h(X) f(X)| \, dX \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h(X)|^p \, dX \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(X)|^q \, dX \right)^{1/q} \\ &= \|h\|_p \|f\|_q < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\|h\|_p = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h(X)|^p \, dX \right)^{1/p}, \quad \|f\|_q = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(X)|^q \, dX \right)^{1/q}.$$

The second inequality is called Hölder's inequality. When $p = 1$, we can replace $\|f\|_q$ by $\|f\|_\infty$ (which is finite due to the definition of Schwartz functions), so the argument is still valid for any $p \in [1, \infty)$.

Using ϕ from Section 1 with $\phi \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\gamma = (2, 2, \dots, 2) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we have for any $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(X)f(X) \, dX \right| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h(X)f(X)| \, dX \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h(X)\phi(X)| \frac{|f(X)|}{|\phi(X)|} \, dX \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h(X)\phi(X)| \sum_{|\beta| \leq |\gamma|} \|X^\beta f\|_\infty \, dX \\
&= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h(X)\phi(X)| \, dX \right) \sum_{|\beta| \leq |\gamma|} \|X^\beta f\|_\infty \\
&\leq \|h\|_p \|\phi\|_q \sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq |\gamma|} \|X^\beta \partial^\alpha f\|_\infty \\
&= c \sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq |\gamma|} \|X^\beta \partial^\alpha f\|_\infty,
\end{aligned}$$

where $c = \|h\|_p \|\phi\|_q$. Notice that $\text{Ran}(\phi) = (0, 1]$ so $\|\phi\|_\infty < \infty$ when $q = \infty$.

Because T_h is also linear, T_h is a tempered distribution by **Theorem 1.5.10** for any $h \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $p \in [1, \infty)$.

5 Exercise 1.5.12

1. We have for any $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathcal{F} \delta_0](f) &= \delta_0(\mathcal{F} f) = [\mathcal{F} f](0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i0 \cdot X} f(X) \, dX \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} f(X) \, dX \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \mathbf{1}(X) f(X) \, dX \\
&= T_{(2\pi)^{-n/2} \mathbf{1}}(f).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\mathcal{F} \delta_0 = T_{(2\pi)^{-n/2} \mathbf{1}}$.

2. For any $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathcal{F} T_1](f) &= T_1(\mathcal{F} f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}(X) [\mathcal{F} f](X) \, dX \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\mathcal{F} f](X) \, dX \\
&= (2\pi)^{n/2} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i0 \cdot X} [\mathcal{F} f](X) \, dX \\
&= (2\pi)^{n/2} [\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F} f)](0) \\
&= (2\pi)^{n/2} f(0) \\
&= (2\pi)^{n/2} \delta_0(f).
\end{aligned}$$

In the above calculation, we use the identity $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F} f) = f$ due to the fact that Fourier transform is an isomorphism on Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. As a result, $\mathcal{F} T_1 = (2\pi)^{n/2} \delta_0$.

3. Let $h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We have for any $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\mathcal{F} T_h(f) = T_h(\mathcal{F} f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(\xi) [\mathcal{F} f](\xi) \, d\xi = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(\xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\xi \cdot X} f(X) \, dX \, d\xi.$$

Because $h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h(\xi)e^{-i\xi \cdot X} f(X)| dX d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h(\xi)| d\xi \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(X)| dX < \infty.$$

Hence, we can apply the [Fubini theorem](#):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}T_h(f) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(\xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\xi \cdot X} f(X) dX d\xi = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(X) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\xi \cdot X} h(\xi) d\xi dX \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(X) \hat{h}(X) dX. \end{aligned}$$

As a result, $\mathcal{F}T_h = T_{\hat{h}}$ for any $h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

To extend this result to $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we need to use the fact that \mathcal{F} is a unitary map on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e. given the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ defined by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \overline{f(X)} g(X) dX,$$

we have

$$\langle f, \mathcal{F}g \rangle = \langle \mathcal{F}^{-1}f, g \rangle.$$

Using this information, we have for $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and any $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\mathcal{F}T_h(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(X) [\mathcal{F}f](X) dX = \langle \bar{h}, \mathcal{F}f \rangle = \langle \mathcal{F}^{-1}\bar{h}, f \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \overline{[\mathcal{F}^{-1}\bar{h}](X)} f(X) dX,$$

where

$$\overline{[\mathcal{F}^{-1}\bar{h}](X)} = \overline{\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\xi \cdot X} \bar{h}(\xi) d\xi} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\xi \cdot X} h(\xi) d\xi = \hat{h}(X).$$

Hence,

$$\mathcal{F}T_h(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{h}(X) f(X) dX = T_{\hat{h}}(f).$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{F}T_h = T_{\hat{h}}$ for any $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Comments

In physics, the results from 1. and 2. are usually written as (in 1-dimension)

$$[\mathcal{F}\delta](\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\xi x} \delta(x) dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}},$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\xi x} dx = \sqrt{2\pi} \delta(\xi).$$

Especially, the second result is usually rewritten as

$$\delta(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\xi x} d\xi,$$

which is sometimes treated as a "definition" of the delta Dirac function δ .

Besides, the results from 1. and 2. are useful mathematical relations that reflect the relationship between the position space and the momentum space in quantum mechanics.

Appendix

Hölder's inequality

For any $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p \in [1, \infty)$, define $\|\cdot\|_p$ by

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(X)|^p dX \right)^{1/p}.$$

For any $p, q \in [1, \infty)$ such that $1/p + 1/q = 1$, let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(X)g(X)| dX \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(X)|^p dX \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(X)|^q dX \right)^{1/q},$$

or equivalently,

$$\|fg\|_1 \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q.$$

Additionally, if $p = 1$ and $q = \infty$, then

$$\|fg\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_\infty.$$