

# Chapter 3

## $K_0$ -group for a unital $C^*$ -algebra

In this chapter, we associate with each unital  $C^*$ -algebra an Abelian group. This group will be constructed from equivalence classes of projections. The  $K_0$ -group for non-unital  $C^*$ -algebra will be described in the next Chapter.

### 3.1 Semigroups of projections

Let us start by introducing a semigroup of projections in a  $C^*$ -algebra, with or without a unit. For that purpose, let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an arbitrary  $C^*$ -algebra and set for  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$

$$\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{C}) := \mathcal{P}(M_n(\mathcal{C})) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{C}) := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{C}).$$

One can then define the relation  $\sim_0$  on  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ , namely for two elements  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$  one writes  $p \sim_0 q$  if there exists  $v \in M_{m,n}(\mathcal{C})$  such that  $p = v^*v \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{C})$  and  $q = vv^* \in \mathcal{P}_m(\mathcal{C})$ . Clearly,  $M_{m,n}(\mathcal{C})$  denotes the set of  $m \times n$  matrices with entries in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and the adjoint  $v^*$  of  $v \in M_{m,n}(\mathcal{C})$  is obtained by taking the transpose of the matrix, and then the adjoint of each entry.

One easily observes that the relation  $\sim_0$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ . It combines both the Murray-von Neumann equivalence relation  $\sim$  and the identification of projections in different sized matrix algebras over  $\mathcal{C}$ . For example, if  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{C})$  then  $p \sim_0 q$  if and only if  $p \sim q$ .

We also define a binary operation  $\oplus$  on  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$  by

$$p \oplus q = \text{diag}(p, q) := \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix},$$

so that  $p \oplus q$  belongs to  $\mathcal{P}_{m+n}(\mathcal{C})$  whenever  $p \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{C})$  and  $q \in \mathcal{P}_m(\mathcal{C})$ . We can now derive some of the properties of  $\sim_0$ .

**Proposition 3.1.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra, and let  $p, q, r, p', q'$  be elements of  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ . Then:*

- (i)  $p \sim_0 p \oplus 0_n$  for any natural number  $n$ , where  $0_n$  denotes the 0-element of  $M_n(\mathcal{C})$ ,
- (ii) If  $p \sim_0 p'$  and  $q \sim_0 q'$ , then  $p \oplus q \sim_0 p' \oplus q'$ ,
- (iii)  $p \oplus q \sim_0 q \oplus p$ ,
- (iv) If  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{C})$  such that  $pq = 0$ , then  $p + q \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{C})$  and  $p + q \sim_0 p \oplus q$ ,
- (v)  $(p \oplus q) \oplus r = p \oplus (q \oplus r)$ .

*Proof.* i) Let  $m, n$  be integers, and let  $p \in \mathcal{P}_m(\mathcal{C})$ . One then sets  $v := \begin{pmatrix} p \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{m+n, m}(\mathcal{C})$ , and one gets  $p = v^*v$  and  $vv^* = p \oplus 0_n$ .

ii) Let  $v, w$  such that  $p = v^*v$ ,  $p' = vv^*$ ,  $q = w^*w$  and  $q' = ww^*$ , and set  $u := \text{diag}(v, w)$ . Then  $p \oplus q = u^*u$  and  $p' \oplus q' = uu^*$ .

iii) Assume  $p \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{C})$  and  $q \in \mathcal{P}_m(\mathcal{C})$ , and set  $v := \begin{pmatrix} 0_{n,m} & q \\ p & 0_{m,n} \end{pmatrix}$ , with  $0_{k,l}$  the 0-matrix of size  $k \times l$ . Then one gets  $p \oplus q = v^*v$  and  $q \oplus p = vv^*$ .

iv) If  $pq = 0$  it is easily observe that  $p + q$  is itself a projection. Then, if one sets  $v := \begin{pmatrix} p \\ q \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2n, n}(\mathcal{C})$ , one gets  $p + q = v^*v$  and  $p \oplus q = vv^*$ .

v) This last statement is trivial. □

**Definition 3.1.2.** For any  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{C}$ , one sets

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}) := \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{C}) / \sim_0$$

which corresponds to the equivalent classes of elements of  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$  modulo the equivalence relation  $\sim_0$ . For any  $p \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$  one writes  $[p]_{\mathcal{D}} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$  for the equivalent class containing  $p$ . The set  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$  is endowed with a binary operation defined for any  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$  by

$$[p]_{\mathcal{D}} + [q]_{\mathcal{D}} = [p \oplus q]_{\mathcal{D}}. \quad (3.1)$$

Because of the previous proposition, one directly infers the following result:

**Lemma 3.1.3.** The pair  $(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}), +)$  defines an Abelian semigroup.

We end this section with two exercises dealing with projections.

**Exercise 3.1.4.** Let  $\text{tr} : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  denote the usual trace on square matrices, and let  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ . Show that the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $p \sim q$ ,
- (i)  $\text{tr}(p) = \text{tr}(q)$ ,
- (i)  $\dim(p(\mathbb{C}^n)) = \dim(q(\mathbb{C}^n))$ .

Use this to show that  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_+ \equiv \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  when  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  is equipped with the usual addition.

**Exercise 3.1.5.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be an infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space, and let  $p, q$  be projections in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ .

- (i) Show that  $p \sim q$  if and only if  $\dim(p(\mathcal{H})) = \dim(q(\mathcal{H}))$ ,
- (ii) Show that  $p \sim_u q$  if and only if  $\dim(p(\mathcal{H})) = \dim(q(\mathcal{H}))$  and  $\dim(p(\mathcal{H})^\perp) = \dim(q(\mathcal{H})^\perp)$ ,
- (iii) Infer that  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})) \cong \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{\infty\} \equiv \{0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty\}$ , where the usual addition on  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  is considered together with the addition  $n + \infty = \infty + n = \infty$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$ .

## 3.2 The $K_0$ -group

In this section we construct the  $K_0$ -group associated with a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{C}$ . This group is defined in terms of the Grothendieck construction applied to the Abelian semigroup  $(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}), +)$ . We first recall this construction in an abstract setting.

Let  $(\mathcal{D}, +)$  be an Abelian semigroup, and define on  $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$  the relation  $\sim$  by  $(x_1, y_1) \sim (x_2, y_2)$  if there exists  $z \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $x_1 + y_2 + z = x_2 + y_1 + z$ . This relation is clearly reflexive and symmetric. For the transitivity, suppose that  $(x_1, y_1) \sim (x_2, y_2)$  and that  $(x_2, y_2) \sim (x_3, y_3)$ . This means that there exist  $z, w \in \mathcal{D}$  such that

$$x_1 + y_2 + z = x_2 + y_1 + z \quad \text{and} \quad x_2 + y_3 + w = x_3 + y_2 + w.$$

It then follows that

$$x_1 + y_3 + (y_2 + z + w) = x_2 + y_1 + z + y_3 + w = x_3 + y_1 + (y_2 + z + w)$$

so that  $(x_1, y_1) \sim (x_3, y_3)$ . As a consequence,  $\sim$  defines an equivalence relation on  $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$ . The equivalence class containing  $(x, y)$  is denoted by  $\langle x, y \rangle$ , and we set  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{D})$  for the quotient  $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D} / \sim$ . Then, the operation

$$\langle x_1, y_1 \rangle + \langle x_2, y_2 \rangle = \langle x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2 \rangle$$

endows  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{D})$  with the structure of an Abelian group. Indeed, the inverse  $-\langle x, y \rangle$  of  $\langle x, y \rangle$  is given by  $\langle y, x \rangle$ , and  $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ , for any  $x, y \in \mathcal{D}$ . The pair  $(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{D}), +)$  is called *the Grothendieck group*.

For any fixed  $y \in \mathcal{D}$ , let us also define the map

$$\gamma_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{D} \ni x \mapsto \gamma_{\mathcal{D}}(x) := \langle x + y, y \rangle \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{D}),$$

and observe that this map does not depend on the choice of any specific  $y \in \mathcal{D}$ . Indeed, one easily observes that  $(x + y, y)$  and  $(x + y', y')$  define the same equivalence class since  $(x + y) + y' = (x + y') + y$ . The map  $\gamma_{\mathcal{D}}$  is called *the Grothendieck map*.

Finally, one says that the semigroup  $(\mathcal{D}, +)$  has the *cancellation property* if whenever the equality  $x + z = y + z$  holds, it follows that  $x = y$ . Let us now gather some additional information on this construction in the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.2.1.** *Let  $(\mathcal{D}, +)$  be an Abelian semigroup, and let  $(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{D}), +)$  and  $\gamma_{\mathcal{D}}$  be the corresponding Grothendieck group and Grothendieck map. Then:*

- (i) *Universal property: If  $H$  is an Abelian group and if  $\varphi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow H$  is an additive map, then there is one and only one group homomorphism  $\psi : \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow H$  making the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & H \\ & \searrow \gamma_{\mathcal{D}} & \uparrow \psi \\ & & \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{D}) \end{array}$$

*commutative,*

- (ii) *Functoriality: For every additive map  $\varphi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$  between semigroups there exists one and only one group morphism  $\mathcal{G}(\varphi) : \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{D}')$  making the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathcal{D}' \\ \gamma_{\mathcal{D}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma_{\mathcal{D}'} \\ \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{D}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}(\varphi)} & \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{D}') \end{array}$$

*commutative,*

- (iii)  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{D}) = \{\gamma_{\mathcal{D}}(x) - \gamma_{\mathcal{D}}(y) \mid x, y \in \mathcal{D}\}$ ,
- (iv) *For any  $x, y \in \mathcal{D}$  one has  $\gamma_{\mathcal{D}}(x) = \gamma_{\mathcal{D}}(y)$  if and only if  $x + z = y + z$  for some  $z \in \mathcal{D}$ ,*
- (v) *The Grothendieck map  $\gamma_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{D})$  is injective if and only if  $(\mathcal{D}, +)$  has the cancellation property,*
- (vi) *Let  $(H, +)$  be an Abelian group, and let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a non-empty subset of  $H$ . If  $\mathcal{D}$  is closed under addition, then  $(\mathcal{D}, +)$  is an Abelian semigroup with the cancellation property. In addition,  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{D})$  is isomorphic to the subgroup  $H_0$  generated by  $\mathcal{D}$ , and  $H_0 = \{x - y \mid x, y \in \mathcal{D}\}$ .*

The proofs of these statements can be found in [RLL00, Sec. 3.1.2]. Let us just mention the one of (iii): Since each element of  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{D})$  has the form  $\langle x, y \rangle$  for some  $x, y \in \mathcal{D}$ , it is sufficient to observe that

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \langle x + y, y \rangle - \langle x + y, x \rangle = \gamma_{\mathcal{D}}(x) - \gamma_{\mathcal{D}}(y).$$

We still illustrate the previous construction with two examples.

**Examples 3.2.2.** (i) *The Grothendieck group of the Abelian semigroup  $(\mathbb{Z}_+, +)$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ . Note that  $(\mathbb{Z}_+, +)$  has the cancellation property.*

(ii) The Grothendieck group of the Abelian semigroup  $(\mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{\infty\}, +)$  is  $\{0\}$ . Note that  $(\mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{\infty\}, +)$  does not possess the cancellation property.

We are now ready for the main definition of this chapter. Recall that for any  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{C}$ , the Abelian semigroup  $(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}), +)$  has been introduced in Definition 3.1.2, see also Lemma 3.1.3.

**Definition 3.2.3.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra, and let  $(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}), +)$  be the corresponding Abelian semigroup. The Abelian group  $K_0(\mathcal{C})$  is defined by

$$K_0(\mathcal{C}) := \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})).$$

One also set  $[\cdot]_0 : \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{C})$  for any  $p \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$  by

$$[p]_0 := \gamma([p]_{\mathcal{D}})$$

with  $\gamma : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{C})$  the Grothendieck map.

In the following two propositions, we provide a standard picture of the  $K_0$ -group for a unital  $C^*$ -algebra, and state some of its universal properties. Before them, we introduce one more equivalence relation on  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ , namely  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$  are *stable equivalent*, written  $p \sim_s q$ , if there exists  $r \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$  such that  $p \oplus r \sim_0 q \oplus r$ . Note that if  $\mathcal{C}$  is unital, then  $p \sim_s q$  if and only if  $p \oplus \mathbf{1}_n \sim_0 q \oplus \mathbf{1}_n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Indeed, if  $p \oplus r \sim_0 q \oplus r$  for some  $r \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{C})$ , then

$$p \oplus \mathbf{1}_n \sim_0 p \oplus r \oplus (\mathbf{1}_n - r) \sim_0 q \oplus r \oplus (\mathbf{1}_n - r) \sim_0 q \oplus \mathbf{1}_n,$$

where Proposition 3.1.1.(iv) has been used twice.

**Proposition 3.2.4.** For any unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{C}$  one has

$$K_0(\mathcal{C}) = \{[p]_0 - [q]_0 \mid p, q \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{C})\} = \{[p]_0 - [q]_0 \mid p, q \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{C}), n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}. \quad (3.2)$$

Moreover, one has

- (i)  $[p \oplus q]_0 = [p]_0 + [q]_0$  for any projections  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ ,
- (ii)  $[0_{\mathcal{C}}] = 0$ , where  $0_{\mathcal{C}}$  stands for the zero element of  $\mathcal{C}$ ,
- (iii) If  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{C})$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and if  $p \sim_n q \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{C})$ , then  $[p]_0 = [q]_0$ ,
- (iv) If  $p, q$  are mutually orthogonal projections in  $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{C})$ , then  $[p + q]_0 = [p]_0 + [q]_0$ ,
- (v) For all  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ , then  $[p]_0 = [q]_0$  if and only if  $p \sim_s q$ .

*Proof.* The first equality in (3.2) follows from Proposition 3.2.1.(iii). Hence, if  $g$  is an element of  $K_0(\mathcal{C})$  there exist  $p' \in \mathcal{P}_k(\mathcal{C})$  and  $q' \in \mathcal{P}_l(\mathcal{C})$  such that  $g = [p']_0 - [q']_0$ . Choose then  $n$  greater than  $k$  and  $l$ , and set  $p = p' \oplus 0_{n-k}$  and  $q := q' \oplus 0_{n-l}$ . Then  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{C})$  with  $p \sim_0 p'$  and  $q \sim_0 q'$  by Proposition 3.1.1.(i). It thus follows that  $g = [p]_0 - [q]_0$ .

i) One has by (3.1)

$$[p \oplus q]_0 = \gamma([p \oplus q]_{\mathcal{D}}) = \gamma([p]_{\mathcal{D}} + [q]_{\mathcal{D}}) = \gamma([p]_{\mathcal{D}}) + \gamma([q]_{\mathcal{D}}) = [p]_0 + [q]_0.$$

ii) Since  $0_{\mathcal{C}} \oplus 0_{\mathcal{C}} \sim_0 0_{\mathcal{C}}$ , point (i) yields that  $[0_{\mathcal{C}}]_0 + [0_{\mathcal{C}}]_0 = [0_{\mathcal{C}}]_0$ , which means that  $[0_{\mathcal{C}}]_0 = 0$ .

iii) This statement follows from the implications

$$p \sim_h q \Rightarrow p \sim q \Rightarrow p \sim_0 q \Leftrightarrow [p]_{\mathcal{D}} = [q]_{\mathcal{D}} \Rightarrow [p]_0 = [q]_0,$$

where the first two relations are defined only when  $p$  and  $q$  are in the same matrix algebra over  $\mathcal{C}$ , while the three other implications hold for any  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_{\infty}(\mathcal{C})$ . Note that the first implication is due to Lemma 2.2.9.

iv) By Proposition 3.1.1.(iv), one has  $p + q \sim_0 p \oplus q$ , and therefore  $[p + q]_0 = [p \oplus q]_0 = [p]_0 + [q]_0$  by (i).

v) If  $[p]_0 = [q]_0$ , then by Proposition 3.2.1.(iv) there exists  $r \in \mathcal{P}_{\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  such that  $[p]_{\mathcal{D}} + [r]_{\mathcal{D}} = [q]_{\mathcal{D}} + [r]_{\mathcal{D}}$ . Hence  $[p \oplus r]_{\mathcal{D}} = [q \oplus r]_{\mathcal{D}}$ , and then  $p \oplus r \sim_0 q \oplus r$ . It thus follows that  $p \sim_s q$ .

Conversely, if  $p \sim_s q$ , then there exists  $r \in \mathcal{P}_{\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  such that  $p \oplus r \sim_0 q \oplus r$ . By (i) one gets that  $[p]_0 + [r]_0 = [q]_0 + [r]_0$ , and because  $K_0(\mathcal{C})$  is a group we conclude that  $[p]_0 = [q]_0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.2.5** (Universal property of  $K_0$ ). *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra, and let  $H$  be an Abelian group. Suppose that there exists  $\nu : \mathcal{P}_{\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H$  satisfying the three conditions:*

$$(i) \quad \nu(p \oplus q) = \nu(p) + \nu(q) \text{ for any } p, q \in \mathcal{P}_{\infty}(\mathcal{C}),$$

$$(ii) \quad \nu(0_{\mathcal{C}}) = 0,$$

$$(iii) \quad \text{If } p, q \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{C}) \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}^* \text{ and if } p \sim_h q \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{C}), \text{ then } \nu(p) = \nu(q).$$

Then there exists a unique group homomorphism  $\alpha : K_0(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}_{\infty}(\mathcal{C}) & & \\ \downarrow [\cdot]_0 & \searrow \nu & \\ K_0(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & H \end{array}$$

is commutative.

The proof of this statement is provided the proof of [RLL00, Prop. 3.1.8] to which we refer.

### 3.3 Functoriality of $K_0$

Let us now consider two unital  $C^*$ -algebras  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$ , and let  $\varphi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  be a  $*$ -homomorphism. As already seen in Section 1.3,  $\varphi$  extends to a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\varphi : M_n(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathcal{Q})$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Again, the same notation is used for the original morphism and for its extensions. Since  $*$ -homomorphisms map projections to projections, one infers that  $\varphi$  maps  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$  into  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{Q})$ . Let us then define the map  $\nu : \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{Q})$  by  $\nu(p) := [\varphi(p)]_0$  for any  $p \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ . Since  $\nu$  satisfies the three conditions of Proposition 3.2.5 with  $H = K_0(\mathcal{Q})$  one infers that there exists a unique group homomorphism  $K_0(\varphi) : K_0(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{Q})$  given by

$$K_0(\varphi)([p]_0) = [\varphi(p)]_0 \quad (3.3)$$

for any  $p \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ . In other words, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{Q}) \\ \downarrow [\cdot]_0 & & \downarrow [\cdot]_0 \\ K_0(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{K_0(\varphi)} & K_0(\mathcal{Q}). \end{array}$$

With this construction at hand, we can now state and prove the main result on functoriality. Here, the functor  $K_0$  associates with any unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{C}$  the Abelian group  $K_0(\mathcal{C})$ . For two unital  $C^*$ -algebras  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  one sets  $0_{\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}}$  for the map sending all elements of  $\mathcal{C}$  to  $0 \in \mathcal{Q}$ , and  $0_{K_0(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{Q})}$  for the map sending all elements of  $K_0(\mathcal{C})$  to the identity element in  $K_0(\mathcal{Q})$ .

**Proposition 3.3.1** (Functoriality of  $K_0$  (unital case)). *Let  $\mathcal{J}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  be unital  $C^*$ -algebras. Then*

(i)  $K_0(\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}) = \text{id}_{K_0(\mathcal{C})}$ ,

(ii) If  $\varphi : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  and  $\psi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  are  $*$ -homomorphisms, then

$$K_0(\psi \circ \varphi) = K_0(\psi) \circ K_0(\varphi),$$

(iii)  $K_0(\{0\}) = \{0\}$ ,

(iv)  $K_0(0_{\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}}) = 0_{K_0(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{Q})}$ .

*Proof.* By using (3.3) one can check that for any  $p \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$  and any  $q \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{J})$  the equalities

$$K_0(\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}})([p]_0) = [p]_0, \quad K_0(\psi \circ \varphi)([q]_0) = (K_0(\psi) \circ K_0(\varphi))([q]_0)$$

hold. Then, by taking the standard picture of  $K_0$  (equality (3.2)) into account, one readily deduces the statement (i) and (ii).

iii) One has  $\mathcal{P}_n(\{0\}) = \{0_n\}$ , with  $0_n$  the zero (and single) element of  $M_n(\{0\})$ . Since the zero projections  $0 = 0_1, 0_2, \dots$  are all  $\sim_0$ -equivalent, it follows that  $\mathcal{D}(\{0\}) = \{[0]_{\mathcal{D}}\}$ . As a consequence, one deduces that  $K_0(\{0\}) = \mathcal{G}(\{[0]_{\mathcal{D}}\}) = \{0\}$ .

iv) Since  $0_{\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}} = 0_{0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}} \circ 0_{\mathcal{C} \rightarrow 0} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ , the statement (iv) can be deduced from (ii) and (iii).  $\square$

For two  $C^*$ -algebras  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$ , two  $*$ -homomorphisms  $\varphi_0 : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  and  $\varphi_1 : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  are said to be *homotopic*, written  $\varphi_0 \sim_h \varphi_1$ , if there exists a path of  $*$ -homomorphisms  $t \mapsto \varphi(t)$  with  $\varphi(0) = \varphi_0$  and  $\varphi(1) = \varphi_1$  such that for any  $a \in \mathcal{C}$  the map  $[0, 1] \ni t \mapsto [\varphi(t)](a) \in \mathcal{Q}$  is continuous. In this case, one also says that  $t \mapsto \varphi(t)$  is *pointwise continuous*. The two  $C^*$ -algebras  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  are said to be *homotopy equivalent* if there exist two  $*$ -homomorphisms  $\varphi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  and  $\psi : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  such that  $\psi \circ \varphi \sim_h \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $\varphi \circ \psi \sim_h \text{id}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ . In this case one says that

$$\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{Q} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathcal{C} \quad (3.4)$$

is a *homotopy* between  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

**Proposition 3.3.2** (Homotopy invariance of  $K_0$  (unital case)). *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  be unital  $C^*$ -algebras.*

- (i) *If  $\varphi, \psi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  are homotopic  $*$ -homomorphisms, then  $K_0(\varphi) = K_0(\psi)$ ,*
- (ii) *If  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  are homotopy equivalent, then  $K_0(\mathcal{C})$  is isomorphic to  $K_0(\mathcal{Q})$ . More specifically, if (3.4) is a homotopy between  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$ , then  $K_0(\varphi) : K_0(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{Q})$  and  $K_0(\psi) : K_0(\mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{C})$  are isomorphisms, with  $K_0(\varphi)^{-1} = K_0(\psi)$ .*

**Exercise 3.3.3.** *Provide a proof of Proposition 3.3.2, with the possible help of [RLL00, Prop. 3.2.6].*

Our next aim is to show that  $K_0$  preserves exactness of the short exact sequence obtained by adjoining a unit to a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. This result will be useful when defining the  $K_0$ -group for a non-unital  $C^*$ -algebra.

For two  $C^*$ -algebras  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$ , two  $*$ -homomorphisms  $\varphi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  and  $\psi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  are said to be *orthogonal to each other* or *mutually orthogonal*, written  $\varphi \perp \psi$ , if  $\varphi(a)\psi(b) = 0$  for any  $a, b \in \mathcal{C}$ .

**Lemma 3.3.4.** *If  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  are unital  $C^*$ -algebras, and if  $\varphi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  and  $\psi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  are mutually orthogonal  $*$ -homomorphisms, then  $\varphi + \psi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism, and  $K_0(\varphi + \psi) = K_0(\varphi) + K_0(\psi)$ .*

*Proof.* One readily check that  $\varphi + \psi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism. In addition, the  $*$ -homomorphism  $\varphi : M_n(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathcal{Q})$  and  $\psi : M_n(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathcal{Q})$  are also orthogonal, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . By using then Proposition 3.2.4.(iv) we obtain for any  $p \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{C})$ :

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(\varphi + \psi)([p]_0) &= [(\varphi + \psi)(p)]_0 = [\varphi(p) + \psi(p)]_0 \\ &= [\varphi(p)]_0 + [\psi(p)]_0 = K_0(\varphi)([p]_0) + K_0(\psi)([p]_0). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $K_0(\varphi + \psi) = K_0(\varphi) + K_0(\psi)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.5.** *For any unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{C}$ , the split exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\iota} \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\pi} \\ \xleftarrow{\lambda} \end{array} \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow 0$$

*induces a split exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow K_0(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{K_0(\iota)} K_0(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{K_0(\pi)} \\ \xleftarrow{K_0(\lambda)} \end{array} K_0(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (3.5)$$

*Proof.* Recall from the proof of Lemma 2.2.4 that if  $\tilde{\mathbf{1}}$  denotes the unit of  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  and if  $\mathbf{1}$  denotes the unit of  $\mathcal{C}$ , then  $1 := \tilde{\mathbf{1}} - \mathbf{1}$  is a projection in  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ . In addition,  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}1$ , with  $a1 = 1a = 0$  for any  $a \in \mathcal{C}$ . Let us then define the  $*$ -homomorphisms  $\mu : \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  and  $\lambda' : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  by  $\mu(a + \alpha 1) := a$  and  $\lambda'(\alpha) := \alpha 1$  for any  $a \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . One readily infers that

$$\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} = \mu \circ \iota, \quad \text{id}_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}} = \iota \circ \mu + \lambda' \circ \pi, \quad \pi \circ \iota = 0_{\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}}, \quad \pi \circ \lambda = \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}},$$

and the  $*$ -homomorphisms  $\iota \circ \mu$  and  $\lambda' \circ \pi$  are orthogonal to each other. Proposition 3.3.1 and Lemma 3.3.4 then lead to

$$0_{K_0(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathbb{C})} = K_0(0_{\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}}) = K_0(\pi) \circ K_0(\iota), \quad (3.6)$$

$$\text{id}_{K_0(\mathbb{C})} = K_0(\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}}) = K_0(\pi \circ \lambda) = K_0(\pi) \circ K_0(\lambda), \quad (3.7)$$

$$\text{id}_{K_0(\mathcal{C})} = K_0(\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}) = K_0(\mu \circ \iota) = K_0(\mu) \circ K_0(\iota), \quad (3.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{id}_{K_0(\tilde{\mathcal{C}})} &= K_0(\text{id}_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}) = K_0(\iota \circ \mu + \lambda' \circ \pi) \\ &= K_0(\iota) \circ K_0(\mu) + K_0(\lambda') \circ K_0(\pi). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Now, the split exactness of (3.5) follows from these equalities. Indeed, the injectivity of  $K_0(\iota)$  follows from (3.8). If  $g \in \text{Ker}(K_0(\pi))$ , one infers from (3.9) that  $g = K_0(\iota)(K_0(\mu)(g))$ , which shows that  $g$  belongs to  $\text{Ran}(K_0(\iota))$ . Since by (3.6) one also gets  $\text{Ran}(K_0(\iota)) \subset \text{Ker}(K_0(\pi))$ , these two inclusions mean that  $\text{Ran}(K_0(\iota)) = \text{Ker}(K_0(\pi))$ . Finally, the surjectivity of  $K_0(\pi)$  is a by-product of (3.7), from which one also infers the splitness.  $\square$

## 3.4 Examples

In this section, we introduce the examples discussed in [RLL00, Sec. 3.3] and refer to this book for the proofs.

Consider first a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{C}$  endowed with a bounded trace  $\tau$ , i.e.  $\tau : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a bounded linear map satisfying the *trace property*

$$\tau(ab) = \tau(ba), \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{C}.$$

This trace property implies in particular that  $\tau(p) = \tau(q)$  whenever  $p, q$  are Murray-von Neumann equivalent projections in  $\mathcal{C}$ . This trace is also called *positive* if  $\tau(a) \geq 0$  whenever  $a \in \mathcal{C}^+$ . If  $\mathcal{C}$  is unital and if  $\tau(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}) = 1$ , then  $\tau$  is called a *tracial state*.

For any trace  $\tau$  on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{C}$ , one defines a trace on  $M_n(\mathcal{C})$  by setting

$$\tau \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{j=1}^n \tau(a_{jj}).$$

It thus endows  $\mathcal{P}_{\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  with a map  $\tau : \mathcal{P}_{\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , and this map satisfies the three conditions of Proposition 3.2.5. For the last one, recall that the homotopy equivalence implies the Murray-von Neumann equivalence, see Lemma 2.2.9. As a consequence, one infers that there exists a unique group homomorphism  $K_0(\tau) : K_0(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying for any  $p \in \mathcal{P}_{\infty}(\mathcal{C})$

$$K_0(\tau)([p]_0) = \tau(p). \quad (3.10)$$

Note that if  $\tau$  is positive, then the r.h.s. of (3.10) is a positive real number, and  $K_0(\tau)$  maps  $K_0(\mathcal{C})$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Example 3.4.1.** For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , one has

$$K_0(M_n(\mathbb{C})) \cong \mathbb{Z}. \quad (3.11)$$

In fact, if  $\text{tr}$  denotes the usual trace already introduced in Exercise 3.1.4, then

$$K_0(\text{tr}) : K_0(M_n(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad (3.12)$$

is an isomorphism.

**Example 3.4.2.** If  $\mathcal{H}$  is an infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space, then we have

$$K_0(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})) = \{0\}.$$

Note that this fact is related to the content of Exercise 3.1.5.

**Example 3.4.3.** If  $\Omega$  is a compact, connected and Hausdorff space, then there exists a surjective group homomorphism

$$\dim : K_0(C(\Omega)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad (3.13)$$

which satisfies for  $p \in \mathcal{P}_{\infty}(C(\Omega))$  and  $x \in \Omega$

$$\dim([p]_0) = \text{tr}(p(x)).$$

Note that by continuity this number is independent of  $x$ . Note also that if  $\Omega$  is contractible<sup>1</sup> then the map (3.13) is an isomorphism.

**Exercise 3.4.4.** Provide the proofs for the statements of Examples 3.4.1, 3.4.2 and 3.4.3.

**Extension 3.4.5.** Study the  $K$ -theory for topological spaces, as presented for example in [RLL00, Sec. 3.3.7].

---

<sup>1</sup>The space  $\Omega$  is contractible if there exists  $x_0 \in \Omega$  and a continuous map  $\alpha : [0, 1] \times \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  such that  $\alpha(1, x) = x$  and  $\alpha(0, x) = x_0$  for any  $x \in \Omega$ .