

Report

Li Yucheng

November 2022

1 Proof of Theorem 2.5.6 Selection Rule

Let (\mathcal{H}, U) be a unitary representation which can be decomposed into $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_j \nu_j \mathcal{H}^j$, $U = \bigoplus_j \nu_j U^j$. Then, we define \mathcal{U} by $\mathcal{U}(a)T := U(a)TU(a)^{-1}$. Note that $\mathcal{U} : G \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ defines a representation since $\mathcal{U}(e)T = U(e)TU(e)^{-1} = T \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(e) = \mathbb{1}$ and $\mathcal{U}(ab)T = U(ab)TU(ab)^{-1} = U(a)U(b)TU(b)^{-1}U(a)^{-1} = \mathcal{U}(a)U(b)TU(b)^{-1} = \mathcal{U}(a)\mathcal{U}(b)T \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(ab) = \mathcal{U}(a)\mathcal{U}(b)$.

Now we decompose this representation into irreducible representation $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})) = \bigoplus_l \mu_l \mathcal{L}^l$, $\mathcal{U} = \bigoplus_l \mu_l \mathcal{U}^l$, where \mathcal{L} is the vector space of elements of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then, according to Lemma 2.3.6 (Schur lemma), we can define a similarity transformation $\tau_l : \mathcal{H}^l \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^l$ such that

$$\tau_l U^l(a) = \mathcal{U}^l(a) \tau_l. \quad (1)$$

Thus, for any $f \in \mathcal{H}^l$ and any $a \in G$, we have

$$\tau_l(U^l(a)f) = \mathcal{U}^l(a)\tau_l(f) = U(a)\tau_l(f)U(a)^{-1}. \quad (2)$$

Set $\mathcal{H}^{j,\nu}$ as one irreducible subspace of $\bigoplus_j \nu_j \mathcal{H}^j$ with $\nu \in 1, \dots, \nu_j$. We define $\mathcal{M}_i^{j,\nu} := \{\tau_l(f)\psi \mid f \in \mathcal{H}^l, \psi \in \mathcal{H}^{j,\nu}\}$. This is invariant under the action of $U(a)$ for any $a \in G$ since $U(a)\mathcal{M}_i^{j,\nu} = U(a)\tau_l(\mathcal{H}^l)\mathcal{H}^{j,\nu} = \tau_l(U^l(a)\mathcal{H}^l)U(a)\mathcal{H}^{j,\nu} = \tau_l(\mathcal{H}^l)\mathcal{H}^{j,\nu} = \mathcal{M}_i^{j,\nu}$ due to eq. (2). This means that $\mathcal{M}_i^{j,\nu} = \bigoplus_j \nu'_j \mathcal{H}^j$, where $\nu'_j \leq \nu_j$.

Consider the tensor product $(\mathcal{H}^l \otimes \mathcal{H}^j, U^l \otimes U^j)$ being a representation of G . Define the map $Z : \mathcal{H}^l \otimes \mathcal{H}^j \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_i^{j,\nu}$, $Z(f \otimes \psi) = \tau_l(f)\psi$, where $f \in \mathcal{H}^l, \psi \in \mathcal{H}^j$. The $\tilde{\psi}$ is ψ in $\mathcal{H}^{j,\nu}$. The image of Z is dense in $\mathcal{H}^{j,\nu}$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} Z(U^l(a) \otimes U^j(a) \quad f \otimes \psi) &= Z(U^l(a)f \otimes U^j(a)\psi) \\ &= \tau_l(U^l(a)f)\widetilde{U^j(a)\psi} \\ &= U(a)\tau_l(f)U(a)^{-1}U^j(a)\tilde{\psi} \\ &= U(a)\tau_l(f)\tilde{\psi} \\ &= U(a)Z(f \otimes \psi), \end{aligned}$$

where in the third equality we used eq. (2) and that U^j acts as $U(a)$ on $\mathcal{H}^{j,\nu}$. Note that the image of Z is on $\mathcal{M}_i^{j,\nu}$, we can then get the conclusion

$$Z \quad U^l \otimes U^j = U|_{\mathcal{M}_i^{j,\nu}} \quad Z. \quad (3)$$

Decompose $(\mathcal{H}^l \otimes \mathcal{H}^j, U^l \otimes U^j) = (\bigoplus_i \gamma_i \mathcal{H}^i, \bigoplus_i \gamma_i U^i)$. For one irreducible representation (\mathcal{H}^i, U^i) of this decomposition, we define the restricted version of Z on \mathcal{H}^i by $Z_i = Z|_{\mathcal{H}^i}$.

Thus, by replacing $U^l \otimes U^j$ with U^i and replacing Z with Z_i in eq. (3), we have $Z_i \upharpoonright_{U|_{\mathcal{M}_i^{j,\nu}}} U^i = U|_{\mathcal{M}_i^{j,\nu}} Z_i$.

According to Proposition 2.15 in Amrein's note, if $\ker Z_i \neq \mathcal{H}^i$, then Z_i is a similarity transformation, so that $(Z_i \mathcal{H}^i, U|_{Z_i \mathcal{H}^i})$ and (\mathcal{H}^i, U^i) should be in the same class η_i . Thus, (recall that in paragraph 3 we decomposed $\mathcal{M}_i^{j,\nu}$ and there are ν_i representations of class η_i), there should be at least one subspace in $\mathcal{M}_i^{j,\nu}$ in the class η_i , which means that $\mathcal{H}^l \otimes \mathcal{H}^j$ and $\mathcal{M}_i^{j,\nu}$ have at least one representation in common (in the class η_i).

Then, when constructing the inner product $\langle \phi, \tau_l(f)\psi \rangle$, $\phi \in \mathcal{H}^i$ of an element of \mathcal{H}^i with i being arbitrary and an element of $\mathcal{M}_i^{j,\nu}$, the result will depend on whether there is a representation of the same class in the decomposition of $(\mathcal{H}^l \otimes \mathcal{H}^j, U^l \otimes U^j)$. If there exists, then the inner product will not be 0 in general, but if there does not, it will be 0 due to the orthogonality of the decomposition.