## Capacity of Quantum Private Information Retrieval with Colluding Servers

## Seunghoan Song $^1$ , Masahito Hayashi $^{2,1}$

<sup>1</sup> Nagoya University,

 $^{\rm 2}$  Southern University of Science and Technology

AQIS2020





What is PIR? A retrieval protocol without revealing which message is requested. [Chor et al.95].



What is PIR? A retrieval protocol without revealing which message is requested. [Chor et al.95].





What is PIR? A retrieval protocol without revealing which message is requested. [Chor et al.95].





What is PIR? A retrieval protocol without revealing which message is requested. [Chor et al.95].



#### 1. One-server PIR

- PIR rate

$$R = \frac{\text{(Size of } M_K)}{\text{(Total download size)}} \le 1.$$

- PIR rate of trivial solution is  $\frac{1}{f}$ .
- Trivial solution is optimal [Chor et al.95].



#### 1. One-server PIR

- PIR rate

$$R = \frac{\text{(Size of } M_K)}{\text{(Total download size)}} \le 1.$$

- PIR rate of trivial solution is  $\frac{1}{f}$ .
- Trivial solution is optimal [Chor et al.95].

#### 2. Multi-server PIR

- User Secrecy: K is not leaked to each server.

#### PIR capacity [Sun-Jafar16]

$$\begin{split} C \coloneqq \sup R &= \sup \frac{(\text{Size of } M_K)}{(\text{Total download size})} \\ &= \frac{1 - n^{-1}}{1 - n^{-f}} \quad \text{ for n servers and f files} \end{split}$$



3. Multi-server QPIR [Song-Hayashi19]

Green: classical, Magenta: quantum.

- User Secrecy:  $\boldsymbol{K}$  is not leaked to each server.
- Server Secrecy: User only obtains  $M_K$ .

#### QPIR capacity [Song-Hayashi19]

$$C \coloneqq \sup \frac{\text{(Size of } M_K)}{\text{(Total download size)}}$$
  
= 1 for n ≥ 2 servers and f files



3. Multi-server QPIR [Song-Hayashi19]

Green: classical, Magenta: quantum.

- User Secrecy:  $\boldsymbol{K}$  is not leaked to each server.
- Server Secrecy: User only obtains  $M_K$ .

#### **QPIR capacity** [Song-Hayashi19]

$$C \coloneqq \sup \frac{(\text{Size of } M_K)}{(\text{Total download size})}$$

= 1 for 
$$n \ge 2$$
 servers and f files

- 4. t-Private QPIR [Our Result]  $(1 \le t \le n 1)$ - User t-Secrecy: K is secret to any t servers.
  - Server Secrecy

#### t-Private QPIR capacity [This Work]

$$C_{\mathsf{t}} \coloneqq \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathsf{t} \leq \frac{\mathsf{n}}{2}, \\ \frac{2(\mathsf{n} - \mathsf{t})}{\mathsf{n}} & \text{if } \mathsf{t} > \frac{\mathsf{n}}{2}. \end{cases} \text{ for $\mathsf{n}$ servers}$$

## **PIR Capacities**

(n servers, f files, t colluding servers)

	Secrecy Cond.	Classical Capacity	Quantum Capacity
PIR	User secrecy	$\frac{1-n^{-1}}{1-n^{-f}}$ [Sun-Jafar16]	$1^{ { imes}}$ [Song-Hayashi19]
Symmetric PIR	User secrecy, Server secrecy	$1-rac{1}{n}$ [Sun-Jafar17] $^{\dagger}$	
t-Private PIR	User t-secrecy	$\frac{1}{1-(t/n)^f} \left(\frac{n-t}{n}\right) [\text{Sun-Jafar16-2}]$	$1 \text{ for } t \le \frac{n}{2}, ^{\ddagger}$
t-Private symmetric PIR	User t-secrecy, Server secrecy	$\frac{n-t}{n}  _{[Wang-Skoglund17]} ^{\dagger}$	$2\left(\frac{n-t}{n}\right)$ for $t > \frac{n}{2}^{\ddagger}$

† Shared randomness among servers is necessary.

‡ Capacities are derived with the strong converse bounds.

# **Construction of t-Private QPIR Protocol**

# Construction of t-Private QPIR Protocol with optimal rate $\frac{2(n-t)}{n}$ for $t \ge \frac{n}{2}$

Are we skipping  $t < \frac{n}{2}$ ? No! It is automatically constructed.

- 1) Our  $\frac{n}{2}$ -private protocol achieves the capacity 1.
- 2)  $\frac{n}{2}$ -private QPIR is also t-private QPIR for t <  $\frac{n}{2}$ .
- $\implies$  Our  $\frac{n}{2}$ -private protocol achieves t-private QPIR capacity 1 for t <  $\frac{n}{2}$ .

- Hilbert space  $(\mathbb{C}^q)^{\otimes n}$  is related to the finite field vector space  $\mathbb{F}_q^{2n}$ .
- Stabilizer is defined from  $V \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{2n}$  s.t.  $V \subset V^{\perp_s}$ .
- $\mathcal{H}^{V}$ : code space (stabilized by  $\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{v}) \coloneqq \mathsf{X}(v_{1})\mathsf{Z}(v_{n+1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathsf{X}(v_{n+1})\mathsf{Z}(v_{2n})$  ( $\forall \mathbf{v} \in V$ ))

$$\underbrace{\left(\rho \text{ on } \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{V}}\right)}_{\mathsf{Quantum operation } \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{s})} \underbrace{\mathsf{W}(\mathbf{s})\rho \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{s})^{\dagger}}_{\mathsf{Measurement}} \underbrace{\mathsf{Measurement}}_{\mathsf{S} + \mathrm{V}^{\perp_{\mathrm{S}}} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{2n}/\mathrm{V}^{\perp_{\mathrm{S}}} \simeq \mathrm{V}}$$

- Hilbert space (ℂ<sup>q</sup>)<sup>⊗n</sup> is related to the finite field vector space 𝔽<sup>2n</sup><sub>q</sub>.
- Stabilizer is defined from  $V \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{2n}$  s.t.  $V \subset V^{\perp_s}$ .
- $\mathcal{H}^{V}$ : code space (stabilized by  $\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{v}) \coloneqq \mathsf{X}(v_{1})\mathsf{Z}(v_{n+1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathsf{X}(v_{n+1})\mathsf{Z}(v_{2n}) \ (\forall \mathbf{v} \in V))$

$$\underbrace{\left(\rho \text{ on } \mathcal{H}^{V}\right)}^{\text{Quantum operation } \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{s})} \underbrace{\left(\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{s})\rho\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{s})^{\dagger}\right)}^{\text{Measurement}} \underbrace{\left(\mathbf{s} + V^{\perp_{s}} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{2n} / V^{\perp_{s}} \simeq V\right)}_{q}$$



- Hilbert space  $(\mathbb{C}^q)^{\otimes n}$  is related to the finite field vector space  $\mathbb{F}_q^{2n}$ .
- Stabilizer is defined from  $V \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{2n}$  s.t.  $V \subset V^{\perp_s}$ .
- $\mathcal{H}^{V}$ : code space (stabilized by  $\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{v}) \coloneqq \mathsf{X}(v_{1})\mathsf{Z}(v_{n+1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathsf{X}(v_{n+1})\mathsf{Z}(v_{2n}) \ (\forall \mathbf{v} \in V))$

$$\underbrace{\left(\rho \text{ on } \mathcal{H}^{V}\right)}^{\text{Quantum operation } \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{s})} \underbrace{\left(\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{s})\rho\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{s})^{\dagger}\right)}^{\text{Measurement}} \underbrace{\left(\mathbf{s} + V^{\perp_{s}} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{2n} / V^{\perp_{s}} \simeq V\right)}_{q}$$



- Hilbert space (ℂ<sup>q</sup>)<sup>⊗n</sup> is related to the finite field vector space 𝔽<sup>2n</sup><sub>q</sub>.
- Stabilizer is defined from  $V \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{2n}$  s.t.  $V \subset V^{\perp_s}$ .
- $\mathcal{H}^{V}$ : code space (stabilized by  $\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{v}) \coloneqq \mathsf{X}(v_{1})\mathsf{Z}(v_{n+1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathsf{X}(v_{n+1})\mathsf{Z}(v_{2n}) \ (\forall \mathbf{v} \in V))$

$$\underbrace{\left(\rho \text{ on } \mathcal{H}^{V}\right)}^{\text{Quantum operation } \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{s})} \underbrace{\left(\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{s})\rho\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{s})^{\dagger}\right)}^{\text{Measurement}} \underbrace{\left(\mathbf{s} + V^{\perp_{s}} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{2n} / V^{\perp_{s}} \simeq V\right)}_{q}$$



- Hilbert space (ℂ<sup>q</sup>)<sup>⊗n</sup> is related to the finite field vector space 𝔽<sup>2n</sup><sub>q</sub>.
- Stabilizer is defined from  $V \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{2n}$  s.t.  $V \subset V^{\perp_s}$ .
- $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{V}}$ : code space (stabilized by  $\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{v}) \coloneqq \mathsf{X}(v_1)\mathsf{Z}(v_{n+1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathsf{X}(v_{n+1})\mathsf{Z}(v_{2n}) \ (\forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathrm{V}))$





- Hilbert space (ℂ<sup>q</sup>)<sup>⊗n</sup> is related to the finite field vector space 𝔽<sup>2n</sup><sub>q</sub>.
- Stabilizer is defined from  $V \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{2n}$  s.t.  $V \subset V^{\perp_s}$ .
- $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{V}}$ : code space (stabilized by  $\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{v}) \coloneqq \mathsf{X}(v_1)\mathsf{Z}(v_{n+1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathsf{X}(v_{n+1})\mathsf{Z}(v_{2n}) \ (\forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathrm{V}))$

$$\underbrace{\left(\rho \text{ on } \mathcal{H}^{V}\right)}^{\text{Quantum operation } \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{s})} \underbrace{\left(\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{s})\rho\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{s})^{\dagger}\right)}^{\text{Measurement}} \underbrace{\left(\mathbf{s} + V^{\perp_{s}} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{2n}/V^{\perp_{s}} \simeq V\right)}_{q}$$



- Hilbert space (ℂ<sup>q</sup>)<sup>⊗n</sup> is related to the finite field vector space 𝔽<sup>2n</sup><sub>q</sub>.
- Stabilizer is defined from  $V \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{2n}$  s.t.  $V \subset V^{\perp_s}$ .
- $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{V}}$ : code space (stabilized by  $\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{v}) \coloneqq \mathsf{X}(v_1)\mathsf{Z}(v_{n+1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathsf{X}(v_{n+1})\mathsf{Z}(v_{2n}) \ (\forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathrm{V}))$





#### **Stabilizer Formalism**

- Hilbert space (ℂ<sup>q</sup>)<sup>⊗n</sup> is related to the finite field vector space 𝔽<sup>2n</sup><sub>q</sub>.
- Stabilizer is defined from  $V \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{2n}$  s.t.  $V \subset V^{\perp_s}$ .
- $\mathcal{H}^{V}$ : code space (stabilized by  $\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{v}) \coloneqq \mathsf{X}(v_{1})\mathsf{Z}(v_{n+1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathsf{X}(v_{n+1})\mathsf{Z}(v_{2n}) \ (\forall \mathbf{v} \in V)$ )

$$\underbrace{\left(\rho \text{ on } \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{V}}\right)}_{\mathsf{Quantum operation } \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{s})} \underbrace{\mathsf{W}(\mathbf{s})\rho \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{s})^{\dagger}}_{\mathsf{W}(\mathbf{s})\rho \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{s})^{\dagger}} \underbrace{\mathsf{Measurement}}_{\mathsf{S} + \mathrm{V}^{\perp_{\mathrm{S}}} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{2n}/\mathrm{V}^{\perp_{\mathrm{S}}} \simeq \mathrm{V}}$$



← This is not yet QPIR protocol!

QPIR protocol should satisfy i)  $\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{V}^{\perp_{\mathbf{s}}} \simeq M_K$ ,

ii) user secrecy and server secrecy.

#### **Stabilizer Formalism**

- Hilbert space (ℂ<sup>q</sup>)<sup>⊗n</sup> is related to the finite field vector space 𝔽<sup>2n</sup><sub>q</sub>.
- Stabilizer is defined from  $V \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{2n}$  s.t.  $V \subset V^{\perp_s}$ .
- $\mathcal{H}^{V}$ : code space (stabilized by  $\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{v}) \coloneqq \mathsf{X}(v_{1})\mathsf{Z}(v_{n+1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathsf{X}(v_{n+1})\mathsf{Z}(v_{2n}) \ (\forall \mathbf{v} \in V)$ )

$$\overbrace{\rho \text{ on } \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{V}}}^{\text{Quantum operation } \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{s})} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{W}(\mathbf{s})_{\rho} \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{s})^{\dagger}} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{Measurement}} \underbrace{\mathbf{s} + \mathrm{V}^{\perp_{\mathrm{S}}} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{2n} / \mathrm{V}^{\perp_{\mathrm{S}}} \simeq \mathrm{V}}_{q}$$



← This is not yet QPIR protocol!

QPIR protocol should satisfy i)  $\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{V}^{\perp_{\mathbf{s}}} \simeq M_K$ ,

ii) user secrecy and server secrecy.

i), ii) are satisfied by finding good V.

Good stabilizer V is chosen by the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.2:** There exists a matrix  $D_1 = (\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{2t}) = (\mathbf{w}_1^{\mathsf{T}}, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{2n}^{\mathsf{T}})^{\mathsf{T}} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{2n \times 2t}$  satisfying the following conditions.

(a)  $V = \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{2n-2t}\}, V^{\perp_s} = \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{2t}\}, \text{ and } V \subset V^{\perp_s}.$ (b)  $\mathbf{w}_{\pi(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{\pi(t)}, \mathbf{w}_{\pi(1)+n}, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{\pi(t)+n}$  are linearly independent for any  $\pi \in \operatorname{perm}(\mathsf{n}).$ 

Good stabilizer  ${\rm V}$  is chosen by the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.2:** There exists a matrix  $D_1 = (\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{2t}) = (\mathbf{w}_1^{\mathsf{T}}, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{2n}^{\mathsf{T}})^{\mathsf{T}} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{2n \times 2t}$  satisfying the following conditions. (a)  $V = \operatorname{span}{\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{2n-2t}\}}, V^{\perp_s} = \operatorname{span}{\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{2t}\}}, \text{ and } V \subset V^{\perp_s}.$ 

(b)  $\mathbf{w}_{\pi(1)}, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_{\pi(t)}, \mathbf{w}_{\pi(1)+n}, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_{\pi(t)+n}$  are linearly independent for any  $\pi \in \text{perm}(n)$ .

- (a) defines stabilizer
- (b) is used for secrecy in our protocol.

Good stabilizer  ${\rm V}$  is chosen by the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.2:** There exists a matrix  $D_1 = (\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{2t}) = (\mathbf{w}_1^{\mathsf{T}}, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{2n}^{\mathsf{T}})^{\mathsf{T}} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{2n \times 2t}$  satisfying the following conditions. (a)  $V = \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{2n-2t}\}, V^{\perp_s} = \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{2t}\}, \text{ and } V \subset V^{\perp_s}.$ 

(b)  $\mathbf{w}_{\pi(1)}, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_{\pi(t)}, \mathbf{w}_{\pi(1)+n}, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_{\pi(t)+n}$  are linearly independent for any  $\pi \in \operatorname{perm}(n)$ .

- (a) defines stabilizer
- (b) is used for secrecy in our protocol.

**Classical Version of Lemma 5.2:** (b') Any t rows of  $D \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n \times t}$  are linearly independent.

Good stabilizer  ${\rm V}$  is chosen by the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.2:** There exists a matrix  $D_1 = (\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{2t}) = (\mathbf{w}_1^{\mathsf{T}}, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{2n}^{\mathsf{T}})^{\mathsf{T}} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{2n \times 2t}$  satisfying the following conditions. (a)  $V = \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{2n-2t}\}, V^{\perp_s} = \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{2t}\}, \text{ and } V \subset V^{\perp_s}.$ 

(b)  $\mathbf{w}_{\pi(1)}, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_{\pi(t)}, \mathbf{w}_{\pi(1)+n}, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_{\pi(t)+n}$  are linearly independent for any  $\pi \in \operatorname{perm}(n)$ .

- (a) defines stabilizer
- (b) is used for secrecy in our protocol.

**Classical Version of Lemma 5.2:** (b') Any t rows of  $D \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n \times t}$  are linearly independent.

(b') is used for crypto. protocols (e.g., PIR, secret sharing).
 These protocols transmits (n - t) symbols by using n symbols.

Good stabilizer V is chosen by the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.2:** There exists a matrix  $D_1 = (\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{2t}) = (\mathbf{w}_1^{\mathsf{T}}, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{2n}^{\mathsf{T}})^{\mathsf{T}} \in \mathbb{F}_a^{2n \times 2t}$  satisfying the following conditions. (a)  $V = \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{2n-2t}\}, V^{\perp_s} = \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{2t}\}, \text{ and } V \subset V^{\perp_s}.$ 

(b)  $\mathbf{w}_{\pi(1)}, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_{\pi(t)}, \mathbf{w}_{\pi(1)+n}, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_{\pi(t)+n}$  are linearly independent for any  $\pi \in \operatorname{perm}(n)$ .

- (a) defines stabilizer
- (b) is used for secrecy in our protocol.

**Classical Version of Lemma 5.2:** (b') Any t rows of  $D \in \mathbb{F}_a^{n \times t}$  are linearly independent.

- (b') is used for crypto. protocols (e.g., PIR, secret sharing). These protocols transmits (n - t) symbols by using n symbols.
- In quantum case, we expect that 2(n t) symbols are transmitted.
  - (: we can use both *bit* and *phase* information)

Good stabilizer  ${\rm V}$  is chosen by the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.2:** There exists a matrix  $D_1 = (\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{2t}) = (\mathbf{w}_1^{\mathsf{T}}, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{2n}^{\mathsf{T}})^{\mathsf{T}} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{2n \times 2t}$  satisfying the following conditions. (a)  $V = \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{2n-2t}\}, V^{\perp_s} = \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{2t}\}, \text{ and } V \subset V^{\perp_s}.$ 

(b)  $\mathbf{w}_{\pi(1)}, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_{\pi(t)}, \mathbf{w}_{\pi(1)+n}, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_{\pi(t)+n}$  are linearly independent for any  $\pi \in \operatorname{perm}(n)$ .

- (a) defines stabilizer
- (b) is used for secrecy in our protocol.

**Classical Version of Lemma 5.2:** (b') Any t rows of  $D \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n \times t}$  are linearly independent.

- (b') is used for crypto. protocols (e.g., PIR, secret sharing).
   These protocols transmits (n t) symbols by using n symbols.
- In quantum case, we expect that 2(n − t) symbols are transmitted.
   (∵ we can use both *bit* and *phase* information)
- We construct a QPIR protocol that achieves QPIR capacity  $\frac{2(n-t)}{n}$ .

## **Converse Bounds**

• Two converse bounds

- 
$$C_t \le 1$$
 for  $t < \frac{n}{2}$ ,  
-  $C_t \le \frac{2(n-t)}{n}$  for  $t \ge \frac{n}{2}$ .

(n servers & t colluding servers)

```
Converse for t \le n/2: C_t \le 1
```



• Noting on the download step, QPIR protocol is reduced to the quantum channel coding.

```
\implies \log (\text{Size of } M_K) \le \log (\text{Dimension of } \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{A}_n)\implies C_t = \sup \frac{\log (\text{Size of } M_K)}{\log (\text{Dimension of } \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{A}_n)} \le 1.
```

**Converse for** t > n/2:  $C_t \le \frac{2(n-t)}{n}$ 

Give the user power to distinguish colluding servers.



• From secrecy conditions,  $\mathcal{A}_{\pi,t}$  can be considered as shared entanglement.

**Converse for** t > n/2:  $C_t \le \frac{2(n-t)}{n}$ 

Give the user power to distinguish colluding servers.



• From secrecy conditions,  $\mathcal{A}_{\pi,t}$  can be considered as shared entanglement.

 $\implies$  log (Size of  $M_K$ )  $\leq 2 \log$  (Dimension of  $\mathcal{A}_{\pi,t^c}$ ) =  $2(n-t) \log \dim \mathcal{A}_1$ 

$$\implies C_{\mathsf{t}} = \sup \frac{\log \left( \mathsf{Size of } M_K \right)}{\log \left( \mathsf{Dim. of } \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{A}_n \right)} \leq \frac{2 \log \left( \mathsf{Dim. of } \mathcal{A}_{\pi, \mathsf{t}^c} \right)}{\log \left( \mathsf{Dim. of } \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{A}_n \right)} = \frac{2(\mathsf{n} - \mathsf{t})}{\mathsf{n}}.$$

## Conclusion

- t-private QPIR capacity is  $\min\left\{1, \frac{2(n-t)}{n}\right\}$ .
- We constructed an optimal QPIR protocol with colluding servers.

	Secrecy Cond.	Classical Capacity	Quantum Capacity
PIR	User secrecy	$\frac{1-n^{-1}}{1-n^{-f}}  \text{[Sun-Jafar16]}$	1 [Song-Hayashi19]
Symmetric PIR	User secrecy, Server secrecy	$1-rac{1}{n}$ [Sun-Jafar17]	
t-Private PIR	User t-secrecy	$\frac{1}{1-(t/n)^f} \left(\frac{n-t}{n}\right) \text{[Sun-Jafar16-2]}$	$\min\left\{1, 2\left(\frac{n-t}{-t}\right)\right\}$
t-Private	User t-secrecy,	n – t	(' ( n /)
symmetric PIR	Server secrecy	[Wang-Skoglund17]	

#### **Open Questions**

- Trade-off between the QPIR capacity and the amount of entanglement.
- Quantum extensions of many classical PIR results.
- Application of QPIR to other problems.