

Geometric derived Hall algebra

Shintarou Yanagida (Nagoya Univ.)

2019/05/24 @ ALTReT

The **derived Hall algebra** introduced by Toën (2006) is a version of Ringel-Hall algebra. Roughly it is a “Hall algebra for complexes”.

In the case of ordinary Ringel-Hall algebra, we know **Lusztig's geometric formulation** using the theory of equivariant derived categories on schemes.

I will explain a geometric formulation of derived Hall algebras using the theory of **derived categories of constructible sheaves** on **derived stacks**.

- Introduction
- ① Ringel-Hall algebra and derived Hall algebra
 - Ringel-Hall algebra
 - Derived Hall algebra
- ② Geometric derived Hall algebra
- ③ Moduli stack of perfect complexes
- ④ Constructible sheaves on derived stacks

§1.1 Ringel-Hall algebra

\mathcal{A} : an \mathbb{F}_q -linear abelian category of finite global dimension

$\mathbb{Q}_c(\mathcal{A})$: the linear space of \mathbb{Q} -valued func. on $\text{Iso}(\mathcal{A})$ w/ finite supports

$1_{[M]}$: the characteristic function of $[M] \in \text{Iso}(\mathcal{A})$

Fact (Ringel)

$\text{Hall}(\mathcal{A}) := (\mathbb{Q}_c(\mathcal{A}), *, 1_{[0]})$ is a unital associative \mathbb{Q} -algebra, where

$$1_{[M]} * 1_{[N]} := \sum_{[R] \in \text{Iso}(\mathcal{A})} g_{M,N}^R 1_{[R]},$$

$$g_{M,N}^R := a_M^{-1} a_N^{-1} e_{M,N}^R, \quad a_M := |\text{Aut}(M)|$$

$$e_{M,N}^R := |\{0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow R \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 \mid \text{exact in } \mathcal{A}\}|$$

Recall the following different definition of $g_{M,N}^R$:

$$g_{M,N}^R = |\mathcal{G}_{M,N}^R|, \quad \mathcal{G}_{M,N}^R := \{N' \subset R \mid N' \simeq N, R/N \simeq M\}.$$

Thus $g_{M,N}^R$ counts the number of the inclusion pairs $N \subset R$.

§1.2. Derived Hall algebra

Assume basic knowledge on the theory of model category

$C(\mathbb{F}_q)$: the model category of complexes of \mathbb{F}_q -modules

: a fibration is defined to be an epimorphism

a weak equivalence is defined to be a quasi-isomorphism

D: a dg-category over \mathbb{F}_q

$M(D)$: the model **dg-category of dg-modules** over D^{op}

: a dg-module means a $C(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -enriched functor $D^{\text{op}} \rightarrow C(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

: the model structure is induced by that of $C(\mathbb{F}_q)$

Assume basic knowledge on the simplicial homotopy theory

Set_Δ : the **category of simplicial sets** and simplicial maps

: having Kan model structure where a fibration is a Kan fibration and a weak equivalence is a homotopy equivalence of geom. realizations

\mathcal{H} : the homotopy category of the model category Set_Δ

: called the **homotopy category of spaces**

The category $M(D)$ is $C(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -enriched, so one can attach a simplicial set

$$\text{Map}_{M(D)}(X, Y) := N(\text{Hom}_{\text{Mod}(D)}(X, Y)) \in \text{Set}_\Delta$$

where N denotes the nerve construction.

Definition

A dg-module $X \in M(D)$ is **perfect** if for any filtered system $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$ in $M(D)$ the natural morphism

$$\varinjlim_{i \in I} \text{Map}_{M(D)}(X, Y_i) \longrightarrow \text{Map}_{M(D)}(X, \varinjlim_{i \in I} Y_i)$$

is an isomorphism in \mathcal{H} .

$P(D)$: the sub-dg-cat. of $M(D)$ of cofibrant and perfect objects
and of weak equivalences

$G'(D) := \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, M(D))$ where $I = \Delta^1$ is the 1-simplex
: with the model structure induced levelwise by $M(D)$

$G(D)$: the sub-dg-cat. of $G'(D)$ of **cofibrant** and perfect objects
: considered as the **category of cofibrations** $X \hookrightarrow Y$

For an object $u : X \rightarrow Y$ in $G(D)$,

$$s(u) := X, \quad c(u) := Y, \quad t(u) := Y \prod^X 0,$$

which yield the diagram of dg-categories:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G(D) & \xrightarrow{c} & P(D) & & (X \hookrightarrow Y) & \dashrightarrow & Y \\
 \downarrow s \times t & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 P(D) \times P(D) & & & & (X, "Y/X") & &
 \end{array}$$

Define $X^{(0)}(\mathbf{D}), X^{(1)}(\mathbf{D}) \in \mathcal{H}$ by

$$X^{(0)}(\mathbf{D}) := [\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{dg}}(\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D}))], \quad X^{(1)}(\mathbf{D}) := [\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{dg}}(\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{D}))],$$

where N_{dg} denotes the dg nerve construction and $[\cdot] : \mathrm{Set}_{\Delta} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$.
Then we have the diagram of homotopy types

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^{(1)}(\mathbf{D}) & \xrightarrow{c} & X^{(0)}(\mathbf{D}) \\ s \times t \downarrow & & \\ X^{(0)}(\mathbf{D}) \times X^{(0)}(\mathbf{D}) & & \end{array}$$

Lemma

If the dg-category \mathbf{D} is locally finite, then c is proper and the homotopy types $X^{(i)}(\mathbf{D}) \in \mathcal{H}$ are locally finite.

In the above Lemma we used

Definition

A dg-category D is called locally finite if the chain complex $\mathrm{Hom}_D(x, y)$ is homologically bounded with finite-dimensional homology groups for any $x, y \in D$.

Definition

A homotopy type $X \in \mathcal{H}$ is called locally finite if for any $x \in X$ the group $\pi_i(X, x)$ is finite and there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\pi_i(X, x)$ is trivial for $i > n$.

$\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{lf}}$: the full subcategory of \mathcal{H} spanned by locally finite objects

For a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{H}^{lf} , define $f^* : \mathbb{Q}_c(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_c(X)$ by

$$f^*(\alpha)(x) := \alpha(f(x)) \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_c(Y), x \in \pi_0(X)).$$

Also define a linear map $f_! : \mathbb{Q}_c(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_c(Y)$ by

$$f_!(\alpha)(y) := \sum_{x \in \pi_0(X), f(x)=y} \alpha(x) \cdot \prod_{i>0} \left(|\pi_i(X, x)|^{(-1)^i} |\pi_i(Y, y)|^{(-1)^{i+1}} \right).$$

Fact (Toën 2006)

Let D be a locally finite dg-category over \mathbb{F}_q . Then

$$\text{Hall}(D) = \mathbb{Q}_c(X^{(0)}(D))$$

has a structure of a unital associative \mathbb{Q} -algebra with the multiplication

$$\mu := c_! \circ (s \times t)^* : \text{Hall}(D) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{Hall}(D) \longrightarrow \text{Hall}(D).$$

We call $\text{Hall}(D)$ the **derived Hall algebra** of D .

§2 Geometric derived Hall algebra

● Introduction

① Ringel-Hall algebra and derived Hall algebra

② Geometric derived Hall algebra

- Outline of the construction

③ Moduli stack of perfect complexes

④ Constructible sheaves on derived stacks

§2.1. Outline of the construction

D : a locally finite dg-category over \mathbb{F}_q

Fact (Toën-Vaquié (2009))

We have the **moduli stack** $\mathcal{P}(D)$ of **perfect dg-modules** over D^{op} .
It is a **derived stack**, locally geometric and locally of finite type.

We can also construct the moduli stack of cofibrations $X \rightarrow Y$ of perfect-modules over D^{op} , denoted by $\mathcal{G}(D)$.

There exist morphisms

$$s, c, t : \mathcal{G}(D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(D)$$

of derived stacks which send $u : X \rightarrow Y$ to

$$s(u) = X, \quad c(u) = Y, \quad t(u) = Y \coprod^X 0.$$

where s, t are smooth and c is proper.

Thus we have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{D}) & \xrightarrow{c} & \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}) \\ p \downarrow & & \\ \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}) \times \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}) & & \end{array}$$

of derived stacks with smooth $p := s \times t$ and proper c .

Next let $\Lambda := \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ where ℓ and q are assumed to be coprime.

We have the **derived category** $D_c^b(\mathcal{X}, \Lambda)$ of **constructible lisse-étale Λ -sheaves** over a locally geometric derived stack \mathcal{X} .

We also have derived functors (§4).

Applying the general theory to the present situation, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_c^b(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{D}), \Lambda) & \xrightarrow{c_!} & D_c^b(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}), \Lambda) \\ p^* \uparrow & & \\ D_c^b(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}) \times \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}), \Lambda) & & \end{array}$$

Now we set

$$\mu : D_c^b(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}) \times \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}), \Lambda) \longrightarrow D_c^b(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}), \Lambda), \quad M \longmapsto c_! p^*(M)[\dim p]$$

Theorem

μ is associative.

§3 Moduli stack of complexes

● Introduction

① Ringel-Hall algebra and derived Hall algebra

② Geometric derived Hall algebra

③ **Moduli stack of perfect complexes**

- Derived stacks
- Geometric derived stacks

④ Constructible sheaves on derived stacks

§3.1. Derived stacks

Assume basic knowledge on the theory of ∞ -categories and ∞ -topoi.

- $\Lambda_j^n \subset \Delta^n$ denotes the j -th horn of the n -simplex Δ^n ($0 \leq j \leq n$).
- An **∞ -category** is a simplicial set K such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $0 < i < n$, any map $f_0 : \Lambda_i^n \rightarrow K$ of simplicial sets admits an extension $f : \Delta^n \rightarrow K$.

k : a fixed commutative ring

sCom : the category of simplicial commutative k -algebra

sCom_∞ : the ∞ -category obtained by localizing sCom

by the set of weak equivalences in $\text{sCom} \subset \text{Set}_\Delta$

Definition

We call $\text{dAff}_\infty := (\text{sCom}_\infty)^{\text{op}}$ the ∞ -category of **affine derived schemes**.

A rough explanation of [derived schemes](#)

$\mathrm{sCom}_\infty(X)$: the ∞ -category of sheaves on a topological space X with coefficients in sCom_∞

dRgSp_∞ : the ∞ -category of [derived ringed spaces](#)

whose object is a pair (X, \mathcal{O}_X) of a topological space X and $\mathcal{O}_X \in \mathrm{sCom}_\infty(X)$

dSch_∞ : the ∞ -category of [derived schemes](#)

: the full sub- ∞ -category of dRgSp_∞ spanned by (X, \mathcal{O}_X) s.t.

- the truncation $(X, \pi_0(\mathcal{O}_X))$ is a scheme
- $\pi_n(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is a quasi-coherent sheaf of $\pi_0(\mathcal{O}_X)$ -modules for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Turn to the definition of derived stacks.

A morphism $A \rightarrow B$ in sCom_∞ is called **étale** [**smooth**] if

- the induced $\pi_0(A) \rightarrow \pi_0(B)$ is an étale [smooth] map of com. k -alg.,
- the induced $\pi_i(A) \otimes_{\pi_0(A)} \pi_0(B) \rightarrow \pi_i(B)$ is an isomorphism for any i .

Étale morphisms endow dAff_∞ with a Grothendieck topology **et**.

Definition

The **∞ -category of derived stacks** is defined to be

$$\text{dSt}_\infty := \text{Sh}_{\infty, \text{et}}(\text{dAff}_\infty)^\wedge \subset \text{PSh}_\infty(\text{dAff}_\infty) := \text{Fun}_\infty((\text{dAff}_\infty)^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{S})$$

with \mathcal{S} the **∞ -category of spaces**. Its object is called a derived stack.

§3.2. Geometric derived stacks

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}$, we define an n -geometric derived stack, inductively on n . At the same time we also define an n -atlas, a n -representable morphism and a n -smooth morphism of derived stacks.

- Let $n = -1$.
 - ① A (-1) -geometric derived stack is defined to be an affine derived scheme.
 - ② A morphism $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ of derived stacks is called (-1) -representable if for any affine derived scheme U and any morphism $U \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ of derived stacks, the pullback $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} U$ is an affine derived scheme.
 - ③ A morphism $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ of derived stacks is called (-1) -smooth if it is (-1) -representable, and if for any affine scheme U and any morphism $U \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ of derived stacks, the induced morphism $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} U \rightarrow U$ is a smooth morphism of affine derived schemes.
 - ④ A (-1) -atlas of a stack \mathcal{X} is defined to be the one-member family $\{\mathcal{X}\}$.

- Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
 - ① Let \mathcal{X} be a derived stack. An n -atlas of \mathcal{X} is a small family $\{U_i \rightarrow \mathcal{X}\}_{i \in I}$ of morphisms of derived stacks satisfying the following three conditions.
 - Each U_i is an affine derived scheme.
 - Each morphism $U_i \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is $(n - 1)$ -smooth.
 - The morphism $\coprod_{i \in I} U_i \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is an epimorphism.
 - ② A derived stack \mathcal{X} is called n -geometric if the following two conditions are satisfied.
 - The diagonal morphism $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ is $(n - 1)$ -representable.
 - There exists an n -atlas of \mathcal{X} .
 - ③ A morphism $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ of derived stacks is called n -representable if for any affine derived scheme U and for any morphism $U \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ of derived stacks, the derived stack $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} U$ is n -geometric.
 - ④ A morphism $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ of derived stacks is called n -smooth if for any derived affine scheme U and any morphism $U \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ of stacks, there exists an n -atlas $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} U$ such that for each $i \in I$ the composition $U_i \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} U \rightarrow U$ is a smooth morphism of affine derived schemes.

To an algebraic stack \mathcal{X} in the ordinary sense, one can attach a derived stack $j(\mathcal{X})$ functorially.

Fact (Toën-Vezzosi (2008))

For an algebraic stack \mathcal{X} , the derived stack $j(\mathcal{X})$ is 1-geometric.

§4 Constructible sheaves on derived stacks

● Introduction

1 Ringel-Hall algebra and derived Hall algebra

2 Geometric derived Hall algebra

3 Moduli stack of perfect complexes

4 Constructible sheaves on derived stacks

- Lisse-étale ∞ -site
- Constructible lisse-étale sheaves
- Derived categories and derived functors

§4.1. Lisse-étale ∞ -site

We will introduce the **lisse-étale ∞ -site** for a geometric derived stack, which is an analogue of the lisse-étale site for an algebraic stack introduced by Laumon and Moret-Bailly (2000).

$\mathrm{dAff}_\infty/\mathcal{X}$: the full sub- ∞ -category of the over- ∞ -category $(\mathrm{dSt}_\infty)_{/\mathcal{X}}$
spanned by affine derived schemes

Definition

Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}$ and \mathcal{X} be an n -geometric derived stack.

The **lisse-étale ∞ -site**

$$\mathrm{Lis}\text{-}\mathrm{Et}_\infty^n(\mathcal{X}) = (\mathrm{Lis}_\infty^n(\mathcal{X}), \mathrm{lis}\text{-}\mathrm{et})$$

on \mathcal{X} is the ∞ -site given by the following description.

- $\mathrm{Lis}_\infty^n(\mathcal{X})$ is the full sub- ∞ -category of $\mathrm{dAff}_\infty/\mathcal{X}$ spanned by (U, u) where the morphism $u : U \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is **n -smooth**.
- The set $\mathrm{Cov}_{\mathrm{lis}\text{-}\mathrm{et}}(U, u)$ of covering sieves on (U, u) consists of $\{(U_i, u_i) \rightarrow (U, u)\}_{i \in I}$ in $\mathrm{Lis}_\infty^n(\mathcal{X})$ s.t. $\{U_i \rightarrow U\}_{i \in I}$ is **étale covering**.

§4.2. Constructible lisse-étale sheaves

Recall the notion of a **constructible sheaf** on an ordinary scheme:

A sheaf \mathcal{F} on a scheme X is called constructible if for any affine Zariski open $U \subset X$ there is a finite decomposition $U = \cup_i U_i$ into constructible locally closed subschemes U_i such that $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i}$ is a locally constant sheaf with value in a finite set.

We will introduce a derived analogue of this notion.

Let \mathcal{X} be a geometric derived stack.

Definition

An object of the ∞ -category $\mathrm{Sh}_{\infty, \mathrm{lis-et}}(\mathrm{Lis}_{\infty}(\mathcal{X}))$ is called a **lisse-étale sheaf**.

For an affine derived scheme U , we denote by $\pi_0(U)$ the associated affine scheme.

Definition

A lisse-étale sheaf \mathcal{F} on \mathcal{X} is called **constructible** if it is cartesian and for any $U \in \mathrm{Lis-Et}_{\infty}(\mathcal{X})$ the restriction $\pi_0(\mathcal{F})|_{\pi_0(U)}$ is a constructible sheaf on $\pi_0(U)$.

Let Λ be a commutative ring.

Definition

A **lisse-étale sheaf of simplicial Λ -modules** is an object of the ∞ -category

$$\mathrm{Mod}_{\infty}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{lis-et}}, \Lambda) := \mathrm{Sh}_{\infty, \mathrm{lis-et}}(\mathrm{Lis}_{\infty}(\mathcal{X}), \Lambda\text{-sMod}_{\infty})$$

For $* \in \{+, -, b\}$ we denote by

$$\mathrm{Mod}_{\infty}^*(\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{lis-et}}, \Lambda) \subset \mathrm{Mod}_{\infty}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{lis-et}}, \Lambda)$$

the full sub- ∞ -category spanned by sheaves with homologies left bounded (resp. right bounded, resp. bounded).

A **constructible lisse-étale sheaf of simplicial Λ -modules** is similarly defined. The ∞ -category of constructible lisse-étale sheaves of simplicial Λ -modules is denoted by $\mathrm{Mod}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{c}}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{lis-et}}, \Lambda)$. We also define

$$\mathrm{Mod}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{c},*}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{lis-et}}, \Lambda) := \mathrm{Mod}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{c}}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{lis-et}}, \Lambda) \cap \mathrm{Mod}_{\infty}^*(\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{lis-et}}, \Lambda).$$

§4.3. Derived categories and derived functors

Proposition

The ∞ -category $\mathrm{Mod}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{c},*}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{lis-et}}, \Lambda)$ is stable in the sense of Lurie.

Thus the homotopy category $\mathrm{hMod}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{c},*}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{lis-et}}, \Lambda)$ has a structure of a triangulated category.

Definition

For a commutative ring Λ , we set

$$D_{\mathrm{c}}^*(\mathcal{X}, \Lambda) := \mathrm{hMod}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{c},*}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{lis-et}}, \Lambda) \quad (* \in \{\emptyset, +, -, b\})$$

and call it the (resp. left bounded, resp. right bounded, resp. bounded) **derived category of constructible sheaves of Λ -modules** on \mathcal{X} .

Using this derived category one can construct an analogue of Grothendieck's six derived functors. For a morphism $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ of geometric derived stacks (which are locally of finite type) we have

$$\begin{aligned} Rf_* : D_c^+(\mathcal{X}) &\longrightarrow D_c^+(\mathcal{Y}), & Rf_! : D_c^-(\mathcal{X}) &\longrightarrow D_c^-(\mathcal{Y}), \\ Lf^* : D_c(\mathcal{Y}) &\longrightarrow D_c(\mathcal{X}), & Rf^! : D_c(\mathcal{Y}) &\longrightarrow D_c(\mathcal{X}). \end{aligned}$$

Also we have RHom and \otimes^L .

By construction these derived categories and functors are compatible with those for algebraic stacks developed by Laszlo and Olsson (2008).

Remark

On the construction of pullback Lf^* for general f .

For $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, we have an adjunction

$$f^{-1} : \text{Lis-Et}_\infty(\mathcal{Y}) \rightleftarrows \text{Lis-Et}_\infty(\mathcal{X}) : f_*$$

where f_* sends a sheaf M to the sheaf $U \mapsto M(U \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X})$ and f^{-1} sends a sheaf N to the sheaf

$$V \mapsto \varinjlim_{(V \rightarrow U) \in (\text{dSt}_\infty)_{/f}} N(U).$$

It turns out that f^{-1} is not left exact, so that the pair (f^{-1}, f_*) is not a geometric morphism of ∞ -topoi in the sense of Lurie.

Quite the same phenomenon occurs in the non-derived case, which causes a little bit complication in the construction of Lf^* .