

## Summary and Problems of Lecture 2 <sup>\*1</sup>

Shintaro Yanagida (office: A441)

yanagida [at] math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

<https://www.math.nagoya-u.ac.jp/~yanagida>

The assignments are Exercises 2.1–2.8. The deadline of the report is **October 29th (Monday)**.

## 2 Macdonald symmetric polynomials

$$\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(q, t), \mathbb{K}[x^{\pm 1}] = \mathbb{K}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}], W = S_n, P^+ = \mathcal{P}_n = \{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n\}.$$

### 2.1 The Macdonald difference operator and polynomials

**Definition.** The (first order) **Macdonald difference operator**  $D_x^{(1)} = D_x$  is defined to be

$$D_x := \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left( \prod_{j \neq k} (tx_k - x_j) / (x_k - x_j) \right) T_{q, x_k},$$

where  $T_{q, x_k} : \mathbb{K}[x^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[x^{\pm 1}]$ ,  $(T_{q, x_k} f)(x_1, \dots, x_n) := f(x_1, \dots, qx_k, \dots, x_n)$  is the  **$q$ -shift operator**.

**Proposition 2.1.**  $D_x$  satisfies the following properties.

- (1)  $D_x$  is  $W$ -invariant, i.e.,  $wD_x = D_x w$  for any  $w \in W$ .
- (2) Let  $\Delta(x) := \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i - x_j)$ . Then for any  $f(x) \in K[x]$  we have

$$(D_x f)(x) = (\Delta(x))^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta(x_1, \dots, tx_k, \dots, x_n) f(x_1, \dots, qx_k, \dots, x_n).$$

- (3)  $D_x$  preserves  $\mathbb{K}[x]^W$ , i.e.,  $D_x(\mathbb{K}[x]^W) \subset \mathbb{K}[x]^W$ .

**Exercise 2.1 (\*)**. Give a proof of Proposition 2.1.

**Theorem 2.2.** For each  $\lambda \in P^+$  there exists  $P_\lambda = P_\lambda(x; q, t) \in \mathbb{K}[x]^W$  such that

- (1)  $P_\lambda \in m_\lambda + \sum_{\mu < \lambda} \mathbb{K} m_\mu$ , where  $\lambda \geq \mu$  is the dominance ordering on  $P^+$ .
- (2)  $D_x P_\lambda(x; q, t) = P_\lambda(x; q, t) \cdot e_1(q^\lambda t^\delta)$ ,  $e_1(q^\lambda t^\delta) := \sum_{k=1}^n q^{\lambda_k} t^{n-k}$ .

Moreover such  $P_\lambda$  is uniquely determined. It is called **the Macdonald symmetric polynomials**.

**Corollary 2.3.**  $\{P_\lambda \mid \lambda \in P^+\}$  is a  $\mathbb{K}$ -basis of  $\mathbb{K}[x]^W$ .

**Exercise 2.2 (\*)**. Give a proof of Corollary 2.3 using Theorem 2.2.

**Exercise 2.3 (\*\*)**. Using Theorem 2.2, show that  $P_\lambda$  satisfies the following properties.

- (1) Let  $(x; q)_l := (1 - x)(1 - qx) \cdots (1 - q^{l-1}x)$  for  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $\lambda = (k)$  we have

$$\frac{(t; q)_k}{(q; q)_k} P_{(k)}(x; q, t) = \sum_m \frac{(t; q)_{m_1} \cdots (t; q)_{m_n}}{(q; q)_{m_1} \cdots (q; q)_{m_n}} x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_n^{m_n},$$

where  $m = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$  runs over  $\mathbb{N}^n$  with  $m_1 + \dots + m_n = k$ .

- (2)  $P_\lambda(x; q, 1) = m_\lambda(x)$ . (3)  $P_\lambda(x; q, q) = s_\lambda(x)$ .

### 2.2 Family of difference operators

**Definition.** We set  $D_x^{(0)} := 1$ , and for  $r = 1, \dots, n$

$$D_x^{(r)} := \sum_{I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}, |I|=r} A_I(x; t) T_{q, x}^I, \quad A_I(x; t) := t^{\binom{r}{2}} \prod_{i \in I, j \notin I} (tx_i - x_j) / (x_i - x_j).$$

---

<sup>\*1</sup> 2018/10/16, ver. 0.4.

$D^{(r)}$  is called the  **$r$ -th order Macdonald difference operator**. We also set  $D_x(u) := \sum_{r=0}^n (-u)^r D_x^{(r)}$ .

**Exercise 2.4 (\*)**. Show that  $A_I(x; t) = (T_{t,x}^I \Delta(x)) / \Delta(x)$ .

**Proposition 2.4**. Let  $\delta = (\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n) := (n-1, n-2, \dots, 0)$ . Then we have

$$D_x(u)m_\lambda(x) \in (1 - uq^{\lambda_1}t^{\delta_1}) \cdots (1 - uq^{\lambda_n}t^{\delta_n})m_\lambda(x) + \sum_{\mu < \lambda} \mathbb{K}m_\mu(x).$$

**Corollary 2.5**.  $D_x^{(r)}m_\lambda(x) = e_r(q^\lambda t^\delta)m_\lambda(x) + (\text{lower order terms})$ , and  $D_x^{(1)} = D_x$  satisfies

$$\forall \lambda \in P^+ \quad \Phi m_\lambda = c_{\lambda\lambda}m_\lambda + \sum_{\mu < \lambda} c_{\lambda\mu}m_\mu, \quad c_{\lambda\lambda} \neq 0; \quad \forall \mu < \lambda \quad c_{\mu\mu} \neq c_{\lambda\lambda}.$$

**Exercise 2.5 (\*)**. Check Corollary 2.5 using Proposition 2.4.

## 2.3 Commutativity of Macdonald difference operators

**Theorem 2.6**. (1) The difference operators  $D_x^{(r)}$  are commutative:

$$[D_x^{(r)}, D_x^{(s)}] = 0 \quad (r, s = 0, \dots, n).$$

(2)  $P_\lambda$  is a joint eigenfunction of the operators  $D_x^{(r)}$  ( $r = 0, \dots, n$ ). More precisely, we have

$$D_x^{(r)}P_\lambda(x) = P_\lambda(x)e_r(q^\lambda t^\delta).$$

Assume either of the following two conditions is satisfied.

- $q, t \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $0 < |q|, |t| < 1$ .      •  $t = q^k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Define the pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{q,t}$  on  $\mathbb{K}[x^{\pm 1}]^W$  by

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{q,t} := |W|^{-1} \text{C.T.}[f(x^{-1})g(x)w(x; q, t)],$$

where  $\text{C.T.}[\varphi(x)]$  denotes the constant term of the Laurent expansion of  $\varphi(x)$  in terms of  $x$ , and

$$w(x; q, t) := \prod_{i \neq j} (x_i/x_j; q)_\infty / (tx_i/x_j; q)_\infty, \quad (a; q)_\infty := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a; q)_n = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^i).$$

**Proposition 2.7**. (1)  $\langle D^{(r)}f, g \rangle_{q,t} = \langle f, D^{(r)}g \rangle_{q,t}$ . for any  $f, g \in \mathbb{K}[x^{\pm 1}]^W$ .

(2) For  $\lambda, \mu \in P^+$  with  $\lambda \neq \mu$  we have  $\langle P_\lambda, P_\mu \rangle_{q,t} = 0$ .

**Exercise 2.6 (\*\*)**. Prove Proposition 2.7.

## 2.4 Macdonald-Cauchy kernel function

**Theorem 2.8**. Set  $\Pi(x, y) = \Pi(x, y; q, t) := \prod_{i,j=1}^n (tx_i y_j; q)_\infty / (x_i y_j; q)_\infty$ . Then

$$\Pi(x, y) = \sum_{\lambda \in P^+} b_\lambda P_\lambda(x) P_\lambda(y), \quad b_\lambda := \prod_{s \in \lambda} (1 - q^{a(s)} t^{l(s)+1}) / (1 - q^{a(s)+1} t^{l(s)}).$$

The function  $\Pi(x, y)$  is called **the Macdonald-Cauchy kernel function**.

**Exercise 2.7 (\*)**. Check that Theorem 2.8 in the case  $t = q$  reduces to the Schur case.

**Exercise 2.8 (\*\*\*)**. Give a proof of Theorem 2.8 by taking the following steps.

- (1) Using Proposition 2.7 check that  $\Pi(x, y) = \sum_{\lambda \in P^+} c_\lambda P_\lambda(x) P_\lambda(y)$  with some  $c_\lambda \in \mathbb{K} \iff D_x(u)\Pi(x, y) = D_y(u)\Pi(x, y)$ .
- (2) Check that the equality  $D_x(u)\Pi(x, y; q, t) = D_y(u)\Pi(x, y; q, t)$  can be reduced to a  $q$ -independent identity, which is nothing but the Schur case. (Since the Schur case is already known, the proof is completed.)