

# The category of modules of Gorenstein dimension zero

RYO TAKAHASHI

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,  
Okayama University, Okayama 700-8530, Japan*

May 13, 2004

The contents of this note are entirely contained in the author's own papers [17], [18], and [19].

Let  $R$  be a commutative noetherian non-Gorenstein local ring. In this note, we consider the following problem:

- (A) There exist infinitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable  $R$ -modules of Gorenstein dimension zero provided there exists at least a non-free  $R$ -module of Gorenstein dimension zero.

We shall work on this problem from a categorical point of view. Denote by  $\text{mod}R$  the category of finitely generated  $R$ -modules, and by  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  the full subcategory of  $\text{mod}R$  consisting of all modules of Gorenstein dimension zero. The problem (A) is resolved if we can prove the following:

- (B) The category  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  is not contravariantly finite in  $\text{mod}R$  provided that the ring  $R$  has a non-free module in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ .

It is proved in this note that the problem (B) holds if  $R$  is a henselian local ring of depth at most two.

## 1 Introduction

Throughout this note, we assume that all rings are commutative noetherian rings and all modules are finitely generated modules.

Gorenstein dimension (G-dimension for short), which is a homological invariant for modules, was defined by Auslander [1] and was deeply studied by him and Bridger [2]. With that as a start, G-dimension has been studied by a lot of algebraists until now.

The notion of modules of finite G-dimension is a common generalization of that of modules of finite projective dimension and that of modules over Gorenstein local rings: over an arbitrary local ring all modules of finite projective dimension are also of finite G-dimension, and all modules over a Gorenstein local ring are of finite G-dimension. (Conversely, a local ring whose residue class

field has finite G-dimension is Gorenstein. In the next section, we will introduce several properties of G-dimension.)

Over a Gorenstein local ring, a module has G-dimension zero if and only if it is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay module. Hence it is natural to expect that modules of G-dimension zero over an arbitrary local ring may behave similarly to maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules over a Gorenstein local ring.

A Cohen-Macaulay local ring is called to be of finite Cohen-Macaulay representation type if it has only finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules. This kind of rings have been well researched for a long time. Under a few assumptions, Gorenstein local rings of finite Cohen-Macaulay representation type have been classified completely, and it is known that all isomorphism classes of indecomposable maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules over them can be described concretely; see [25] for the details.

Thus we are interested in non-Gorenstein local rings which have only finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable modules of G-dimension zero, especially interested in determining all isomorphism classes of indecomposable modules of G-dimension zero over such rings.

Here, it is natural to ask whether such a ring in fact exists or not. Such a ring does exist. For example, let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a non-Gorenstein local ring with  $\mathfrak{m}^2 = 0$ . Then every indecomposable  $R$ -module of G-dimension zero is isomorphic to  $R$  (cf. [27, Proposition 2.4]).

Thus, we would like to know whether there exists a non-Gorenstein local ring which has a non-free module of G-dimension zero and only finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable modules of G-dimension zero. Our guess is that such a ring can not exist:

**Conjecture 1.1** Let  $R$  be a non-Gorenstein local ring. Suppose that there exists a non-free  $R$ -module of G-dimension zero. Then there exist infinitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable  $R$ -modules of G-dimension zero.

Indeed, over a certain artinian local ring having a non-free module of G-dimension zero, Yoshino [27] has constructed a family of modules of G-dimension zero with continuous parameters.

The above conjecture is against our expectation that modules of G-dimension zero over an arbitrary local ring behave similarly to maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules over a Gorenstein local ring. Indeed, let  $S$  be a  $d$ -dimensional non-regular Gorenstein local ring of finite Cohen-Macaulay representation type. (Such a ring does exist; see [25].) Then the  $d$ th syzygy module of the residue class field of  $S$  is a non-free maximal Cohen-Macaulay  $S$ -module. Hence the above conjecture does not necessarily hold without the assumption that  $R$  is non-Gorenstein.

For a local ring  $R$ , we denote by  $\text{mod}R$  the category of finitely generated  $R$ -modules, and by  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  the full subcategory of  $\text{mod}R$  consisting of all  $R$ -modules of G-dimension zero. We guess that even the following statement that is stronger than Conjecture 1.1 is true. (It will be seen from Proposition 2.9 that Conjecture 1.2 implies Conjecture 1.1.)

**Conjecture 1.2** Let  $R$  be a non-Gorenstein local ring. Suppose that there exists a non-free  $R$ -module in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ . Then the category  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  is not contravariantly finite in  $\text{mod}R$ .

The purpose of this note is to prove that Conjecture 1.2 is true if  $R$  is a henselian local ring of depth at most two:

**Theorem 1.3** *Let  $R$  be a henselian non-Gorenstein local ring of depth at most two. Suppose that there exists a non-free  $R$ -module in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ . Then the category  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  is not contravariantly finite in  $\text{mod}R$ .*

We should remark that the above theorem especially asserts that Conjecture 1.2 holds if  $R$  is an artinian local ring and hence that the above theorem extends [27, Theorem 6.1].

In Section 2, we introduce several notions for later use. In Section 3, 4, and 5, we shall prove Theorem 1.3 when  $R$  has depth zero, one, and two, respectively.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall the definitions of G-dimension and a (pre)cover, and give several preliminary lemmas involving Wakamatsu's Lemma, which plays a key role for proving Theorem 1.3.

Throughout this section, let  $R$  be a commutative noetherian local ring with unique maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Let  $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$  be the residue class field of  $R$ . All  $R$ -modules in this section are assumed to be finitely generated.

First of all, we recall the definition of G-dimension. Put  $M^* = \text{Hom}_R(M, R)$  for an  $R$ -module  $M$ .

**Definition 2.1** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module.

- (1) If the following conditions hold, then we say that  $M$  has *G-dimension zero*, and write  $\text{G-dim}_R M = 0$ .
  - i) The natural homomorphism  $M \rightarrow M^{**}$  is an isomorphism.
  - ii)  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) = 0$  for every  $i > 0$ .
  - iii)  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M^*, R) = 0$  for every  $i > 0$ .
- (2) If  $n$  is a non-negative integer such that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow G_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

of  $R$ -modules with  $\text{G-dim}_R G_i = 0$  for every  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , then we say that  $M$  has *G-dimension at most  $n$* , and write  $\text{G-dim}_R M \leq n$ . If such an integer  $n$  does not exist, then we say that  $M$  has *infinite G-dimension*, and write  $\text{G-dim}_R M = \infty$ .

- (3) If  $M$  has G-dimension at most  $n$  but does not have G-dimension at most  $n - 1$ , then we say that  $M$  has *G-dimension  $n$*  and write  $\text{G-dim}_R M = n$ .

For an  $R$ -module  $M$ , we denote by  $\Omega^n M$  the  $n$ th syzygy module of  $M$ , and set  $\Omega M = \Omega^1 M$ . G-dimension is a homological invariant for modules sharing a lot of properties with projective dimension. We state here just the properties that will be used later.

**Proposition 2.2** (1) *The following conditions are equivalent.*

- i)  $R$  is Gorenstein.
- ii)  $\text{G-dim}_R M < \infty$  for any  $R$ -module  $M$ .
- iii)  $\text{G-dim}_R k < \infty$ .

(2) Let  $M$  be a non-zero  $R$ -module with  $\text{G-dim}_R M < \infty$ . Then

$$\text{G-dim}_R M = \text{depth } R - \text{depth}_R M.$$

(3) Let  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$  be a short exact sequence of  $R$ -modules. If two of  $L, M, N$  have finite G-dimension, then so does the third.

(4) Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Then

$$\text{G-dim}_R(\Omega^n M) = \sup\{\text{G-dim}_R M - n, 0\}$$

for any  $n \geq 0$ .

(5) Let  $M, N$  be  $R$ -modules. Then

$$\text{G-dim}_R(M \oplus N) = \sup\{\text{G-dim}_R M, \text{G-dim}_R N\}.$$

The proof of this proposition and other properties of G-dimension are stated in detail in [2, Chapter 3,4] and [9, Chapter 1].

We denote by  $\text{mod } R$  the category of finitely generated  $R$ -modules, and by  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  the full subcategory of  $\text{mod } R$  consisting of all  $R$ -modules of G-dimension zero. For the minimal free presentation

$$F_1 \xrightarrow{\partial} F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

of an  $R$ -module  $M$ , we denote by  $\text{tr}M$  the cokernel of the dual homomorphism  $\partial^* : F_0^* \rightarrow F_1^*$ . The following result follows directly from Proposition 2.2.

**Corollary 2.3** *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. The category  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  has the following properties.*

- (1) *If  $M$  belongs to  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ , then so do  $M^*$ ,  $\Omega M$ ,  $\text{tr}M$ , and any direct summand of  $M$ .*
- (2) *Let  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules. If  $L$  and  $N$  belong to  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ , then so does  $M$ .*

Now we introduce the notion of a cover of a module.

**Definition 2.4** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a full subcategory of  $\text{mod } R$ .

- (1) Let  $\phi : X \rightarrow M$  be a homomorphism from  $X \in \mathcal{X}$  to  $M \in \text{mod } R$ .
  - i) We call  $\phi$  an  $\mathcal{X}$ -precover of  $M$  if for any homomorphism  $\phi' : X' \rightarrow M$  with  $X' \in \mathcal{X}$  there exists a homomorphism  $f : X' \rightarrow X$  such that  $\phi' = \phi f$ .
  - ii) Assume that  $\phi$  is an  $\mathcal{X}$ -precover of  $M$ . We call  $\phi$  an  $\mathcal{X}$ -cover of  $M$  if any endomorphism  $f$  of  $X$  with  $\phi = \phi f$  is an automorphism.

- (2) The category  $\mathcal{X}$  is said to be *contravariantly finite* if every  $M \in \text{mod}R$  has an  $\mathcal{X}$ -precover.

An  $\mathcal{X}$ -precover (resp. an  $\mathcal{X}$ -cover) is often called a right  $\mathcal{X}$ -approximation (resp. a right minimal  $\mathcal{X}$ -approximation).

**Proposition 2.5** [26, Lemma (2.2)] *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a full subcategory of  $\text{mod}R$ . Suppose that  $R$  is henselian.*

- (1) *Let  $0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\psi} X \xrightarrow{\phi} M$  be an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules where  $\phi$  is an  $\mathcal{X}$ -precover. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*
- i)  *$\phi$  is not an  $\mathcal{X}$ -cover.*
  - ii) *There exists a non-zero submodule  $L$  of  $N$  such that  $\psi(L)$  is a direct summand of  $X$ .*
- (2) *The following conditions are equivalent for an  $R$ -module  $M$ .*
- i)  *$M$  has an  $\mathcal{X}$ -precover.*
  - ii)  *$M$  has an  $\mathcal{X}$ -cover.*

PROOF (1) ii)  $\implies$  i): Let  $X'$  be the complement of  $\psi(L)$  in  $X$ . Let  $\theta : X' \rightarrow X$  (resp.  $\pi : X \rightarrow X'$ ) be the natural inclusion (resp. the natural projection), and set  $f = \theta\pi$ . We easily see that  $\phi = \phi f$ . Suppose that  $\phi$  is an  $\mathcal{X}$ -cover. Then the endomorphism  $f$  of  $X$  is an isomorphism, and hence  $\theta$  and  $\pi$  are isomorphisms. Therefore we have  $\psi(L) = 0$ . Since  $\psi$  is an injection, we have  $L = 0$ , which is contradiction. Thus  $\phi$  is not an  $\mathcal{X}$ -cover.

i)  $\implies$  ii): There exists a non-isomorphism  $f \in \text{End}_R X$  such that  $\phi = \phi f$ . Let  $S = R[f]$  be the subalgebra of  $\text{End}_R X$  generated by  $f$  over  $R$ . Note that  $S$  is a commutative ring.

Assume that  $S$  is a local ring. Let  $\mathfrak{n}$  be the unique maximal ideal of  $S$ . Noting that  $S$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module, we see that the factor ring  $S/\mathfrak{m}S$  is an artinian local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{m}S$ . Hence  $n^r \subseteq \mathfrak{m}S$  for some integer  $r$ . Since  $f \in \mathfrak{n}$ , we have

$$f^r = a_0 + a_1 f + \cdots + a_s f^s$$

with  $a_i \in \mathfrak{m}$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq s$ . Noting that  $\phi = \phi f$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= \phi f^r \\ &= (a_0 + a_1 f + \cdots + a_s f^s) \phi \\ &\in \mathfrak{m} \phi. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Nakayama's Lemma that  $\phi = 0$ , i.e., the homomorphism  $\psi : N \rightarrow X$  is isomorphic. Since  $f$  is not an isomorphism, we especially have  $X \neq 0$ , and hence  $N \neq 0$ . The module  $L := N$  satisfies the condition ii).

Thus, it is enough to consider the case that  $S$  is not a local ring. Since  $R$  is henselian, the finite  $R$ -algebra  $S$  is a product of local rings, and hence there is a non-trivial idempotent  $e$  in  $S$ . Write

$$e = b_0 + b_1 f + \cdots + b_t f^t$$

with  $b_i \in R$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq t$ , and put  $b = b_0 + b_1 + \cdots + b_t$ . Taking  $1 - e$  instead of  $e$  when  $b$  is an element in  $\mathfrak{m}$ , we may assume that  $b$  is not an element in  $\mathfrak{m}$ , i.e.,  $b$  is a unit of  $R$ .

It is easy to see that we have a direct sum decomposition

$$X = \text{Ker } e \oplus \text{Im } e.$$

Since  $e$  is not an isomorphism, we have  $\text{Ker } e \neq 0$ . Noting that  $\phi e = b\phi$  and that  $b$  is a unit of  $R$ , we obtain  $\text{Ker } e \subseteq \text{Im } \psi$ . Thus  $L := \psi^{-1}(\text{Ker } e)$  satisfies the condition ii).

(2) It is obvious that ii) implies i). Let  $\phi : X \rightarrow M$  be an  $\mathcal{X}$ -precover of  $M$ . Putting  $N = \text{Ker } \phi$ , we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\psi} X \xrightarrow{\phi} M,$$

where  $\psi$  is the natural inclusion. Suppose that  $\phi$  is not an  $\mathcal{X}$ -cover. Then it follows from (1) that there exists a non-zero submodule  $L$  of  $N$  such that  $\psi(L)$  is a direct summand of  $X$ . Note that  $L$  is a direct summand of  $N$ . Let  $N'$  (resp.  $X'$ ) be the complement of  $L$  (resp.  $\psi(L)$ ) in  $N$  (resp.  $X$ ). Then  $X'$  belongs to  $\mathcal{X}$  by the assumption, and an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N' \xrightarrow{\psi'} X' \xrightarrow{\phi'} M$$

is induced. It is easily seen that  $\phi'$  is an  $\mathcal{X}$ -precover of  $M$ . Since the minimal number of generators of  $X'$  is smaller than that of  $X$ , repeating the same argument, we eventually obtain an  $\mathcal{X}$ -cover of  $M$ .  $\square$

We say that a full subcategory  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $\text{mod}R$  is closed under direct summands if any direct summand of any  $R$ -module belonging to  $\mathcal{X}$  also belongs to  $\mathcal{X}$ . Note by Corollary 2.3(1) that  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  is closed under direct summands.

**Remark 2.6** Under the assumption of the above proposition, suppose that  $\mathcal{X}$  is closed under direct summands. Let  $0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\psi} X \xrightarrow{\phi} M$  be an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules where  $\phi$  is an  $\mathcal{X}$ -precover. It is seen from the proof of the second statement in the above proposition that there exists a direct summand  $L$  of  $N$  satisfying the following conditions:

- i)  $\psi(L)$  is a direct summand of  $X$ .
- ii) Let  $N'$  (resp.  $X'$ ) be the complement of  $L$  (resp.  $\psi(L)$ ) in  $N$  (resp.  $X$ ), and let  $0 \rightarrow N' \xrightarrow{\psi'} X' \xrightarrow{\phi'} M$  be the induced exact sequence. Then  $\phi'$  is an  $\mathcal{X}$ -cover of  $M$ .

We say that a full subcategory  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $\text{mod}R$  is closed under extensions provided that for any short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$  in  $\text{mod}R$ , if  $L, N \in \mathcal{X}$  then  $M \in \mathcal{X}$ . Note by Corollary 2.3(2) that  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  is closed under extensions. The lemma below is so-called Wakamatsu's Lemma, which plays an important role in the notion of a cover. For the proof, see [22] or [23, Lemma 2.1.1].

**Lemma 2.7 (Wakamatsu)** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a full subcategory of  $\text{mod}R$  which is closed under extensions, and let  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{\phi} M$  be an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules where  $\phi$  is an  $\mathcal{X}$ -cover. Then  $\text{Ext}_R^1(Y, N) = 0$  for every  $Y \in \mathcal{X}$ .*

For  $R$ -modules  $M, N$ , we define a homomorphism  $\lambda_M(N) : M \otimes_R N \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M^*, N)$  of  $R$ -modules by  $\lambda_M(N)(m \otimes n)(f) = f(m)n$  for  $m \in M$ ,  $n \in N$  and  $f \in M^*$ .

**Proposition 2.8** [2, Proposition 2.6] *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. There is an exact sequence*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_R^1(\text{tr}M, -) & \longrightarrow & M \otimes_R - & \xrightarrow{\lambda_M(-)} & \text{Hom}_R(M^*, -) \\ & & \longrightarrow & & \text{Ext}_R^2(\text{tr}M, -) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

of functors from  $\text{mod}R$  to itself.

We close this section by showing the following proposition, which proves that Conjecture 1.2 implies Conjecture 1.1.

**Proposition 2.9** *Suppose that there exist only finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable  $R$ -modules of  $G$ -dimension zero. Then  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  is a contravariantly finite subcategory of  $\text{mod}R$ .*

PROOF We can show this by means of the idea appearing in the proof of [4, Proposition 4.2]. Fix an  $R$ -module  $M$ . Let  $X$  be the direct sum of the complete representatives of the isomorphism classes of indecomposable  $R$ -modules of  $G$ -dimension zero. Note that the  $R$ -module  $X$  is finitely generated. Taking a system of generators  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n$  of the  $R$ -module  $\text{Hom}_R(X, M)$ , we easily see that the homomorphism  $(\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n) : X^n \rightarrow M$  is a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -precover of  $M$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  is contravariantly finite in  $\text{mod}R$ .  $\square$

### 3 The depth zero case

Throughout this section,  $R$  is always a local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  and with residue class field  $k$ . We assume that all  $R$ -modules in this section are finitely generated.

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $R$  be a henselian non-Gorenstein local ring of depth zero. Suppose that there exists a non-free  $R$ -module in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ . Then the residue class field of  $R$  does not admit a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -precover. In particular, the category  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  is not contravariantly finite in  $\text{mod}R$ .*

PROOF Suppose that the residue field  $k$  of  $R$  has a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -precover as an  $R$ -module. We want to derive contradiction. Proposition 2.5(2) implies that  $k$  has a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -cover  $\pi : Z \rightarrow k$ . Since  $R \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ , every homomorphism  $R \rightarrow k$  is factored as  $R \rightarrow Z \xrightarrow{\pi} k$ , that is, the homomorphism  $\pi$  is surjective. Hence there exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{\theta} Z \xrightarrow{\pi} k \rightarrow 0$$

of  $R$ -modules. Dualizing this sequence, we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow k^* \xrightarrow{\pi^*} Z^* \xrightarrow{\theta^*} L^*.$$

Set  $C = \text{Im}(\theta^*)$ , and let  $\alpha : Z^* \rightarrow C$  be the map induced by  $\theta^*$  and  $\beta : C \rightarrow L^*$  be the natural embedding.

We shall show that the surjective homomorphism  $\alpha : Z^* \rightarrow C$  is a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -cover of  $C$ . Fix  $X \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ . To prove that any homomorphism  $X \rightarrow C$  is factored as  $X \rightarrow Z^* \xrightarrow{\alpha} C$ , we may assume that  $X$  is non-free and indecomposable. Applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_R(X, -)$  to the above exact sequence, we get an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(X, k^*) \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_R(X, \pi^*)} \text{Hom}_R(X, Z^*) \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_R(X, \theta^*)} \text{Hom}_R(X, L^*).$$

Here we establish a claim.

**Claim** *The homomorphism  $\text{Hom}_R(X, \theta^*)$  is a split epimorphism.*

PROOF Note from Corollary 2.3(1) that  $\text{tr}X$  and  $\Omega\text{tr}X$  belong to  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ . Applying Lemma 2.7, we see that  $\text{Ext}_R^1(\text{tr}X, L) = 0$  and  $\text{Ext}_R^2(\text{tr}X, L) \cong \text{Ext}_R^1(\Omega\text{tr}X, L) = 0$ . Hence Proposition 2.8 shows that  $\lambda_X(L)$  is an isomorphism. On the other hand, noting that  $X$  is non-free and indecomposable, we easily see that  $\lambda_X(k)$  is the zero map. Thus we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \\ & X \otimes_R L & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\lambda_X(L)} \text{Hom}_R(X^*, L) \\ X \otimes_R \theta \downarrow & & \text{Hom}_R(X^*, \theta) \downarrow \\ & X \otimes_R Z & \xrightarrow{\lambda_X(Z)} \text{Hom}_R(X^*, Z) \\ X \otimes_R \pi \downarrow & & \text{Hom}_R(X^*, \pi) \downarrow \\ & X \otimes_R k & \xrightarrow[0]{\lambda_X(k)} \text{Hom}_R(X^*, k) \\ & \downarrow & \\ & 0 & \end{array}$$

with exact columns.

Since  $\text{Hom}_R(X^*, \pi) \cdot \lambda_X(Z) = 0$ , there exists a homomorphism  $\rho : X \otimes_R Z \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(X^*, L)$  such that  $\text{Hom}_R(X^*, \theta) \cdot \rho = \lambda_X(Z)$ . Therefore we have  $\rho \cdot (X \otimes_R \theta) = \lambda_X(L)$  because  $\text{Hom}_R(X^*, \theta)$  is an injection. Since  $\lambda_X(L)$  is an isomorphism,  $X \otimes_R \theta$  is a split monomorphism, and hence  $(X \otimes_R \theta)^* : (X \otimes_R Z)^* \rightarrow (X \otimes_R L)^*$  is a split epimorphism. There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X \otimes_R Z)^* & \xrightarrow{(X \otimes_R \theta)^*} & (X \otimes_R L)^* \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \text{Hom}_R(X, Z^*) & \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_R(X, \theta^*)} & \text{Hom}_R(X, L^*), \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are natural isomorphisms. It follows that  $\text{Hom}_R(X, \theta^*)$  is also a split epimorphism, and the claim is proved.  $\square$

Since  $\text{Hom}_R(X, \theta^*) = \text{Hom}_R(X, \beta) \cdot \text{Hom}_R(X, \alpha)$  and  $\text{Hom}_R(X, \beta)$  is an injection, the above claim implies that  $\text{Hom}_R(X, \beta)$  is an isomorphism. Therefore  $\text{Hom}_R(X, \alpha)$  is a split epimorphism, and hence it is especially a surjection. This means that the homomorphism  $\alpha : Z^* \rightarrow C$  is a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -precover of  $C$ .

Assume that  $\alpha$  is not a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -cover. Then Proposition 2.5(1) shows that  $k^*$  has some non-zero summand whose image by  $\pi^*$  is a direct summand of  $Z^*$ . Since  $k^*$  is a  $k$ -vector space, the  $R$ -module  $Z^*$  has a summand isomorphic to the  $R$ -module  $k$ , and hence  $k \in \mathcal{G}(R)$  by Corollary 2.3(1). It follows from Proposition 2.2(1) that  $R$  is Gorenstein, which contradicts the assumption of the theorem. Therefore  $\alpha$  must be a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -cover of  $C$ .

Thus we can apply Lemma 2.7, and get  $\text{Ext}_R^1(Y, k^*) = 0$  for every  $Y \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ . Since  $R$  has depth zero, in other words,  $k^*$  is a non-zero  $k$ -vector space, every  $R$ -module in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  is free, which is contrary to the assumption of the theorem. This contradiction completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

According to Proposition 2.9, we have the following result that gives a corollary of the above theorem:

**Corollary 3.2** *Let  $R$  be a henselian non-Gorenstein local ring of depth zero. Suppose that there exists a non-free  $R$ -module of  $G$ -dimension zero. Then there exist infinitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable  $R$ -modules of  $G$ -dimension zero.*

### 3.1 The depth one case

Throughout this section,  $R$  is always a local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  and with residue class field  $k$ . We assume that all  $R$ -modules in this section are finitely generated.

We begin with proving the following proposition:

**Proposition 3.3** *Suppose that there is a direct sum decomposition  $\mathfrak{m} = I \oplus J$  where  $I, J$  are non-zero ideals of  $R$  and  $G\text{-dim}_R I < \infty$ . Then  $R$  is a Gorenstein local ring of dimension one.*

PROOF We proceed the proof step by step.

*Step 1* We show that  $\text{depth } R \leq 1$ . For this, according to [13, Proposition 2.1], it is enough to prove that the punctured spectrum  $P = \text{Spec } R - \{\mathfrak{m}\}$  of  $R$  is disconnected. For an ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $R$ , let  $V(\mathfrak{a})$  denote the set of all prime ideals of  $R$  containing  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Now we have  $\mathfrak{m} = I + J$ ,  $0 = I \cap J$ , and  $I, J \neq 0$ . Hence  $P$  is the disjoint union of the two non-empty closed subsets  $V(I) \cap P$  and  $V(J) \cap P$ . Therefore  $P$  is disconnected.

*Step 2* We show that  $\text{depth } R = 1$ . Suppose that  $\text{depth } R = 0$ . Then note from Proposition 2.2(2) and 2.2(4) that  $I, R/I \in \mathcal{G}(R)$  and  $\text{depth } R/I = 0$ . Dualizing the natural exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain another exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (0 :_R I) \rightarrow R \rightarrow I^* \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence we have an isomorphism  $I^* \cong R/(0 :_R I)$ . It follows that

$$I \cong I^{**} \cong (R/(0 :_R I))^* \cong (0 :_R (0 :_R I)).$$

It is easy to see from this that  $I = (0 :_R (0 :_R I))$ . Since  $(0 :_R I) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ , we have  $I = (0 :_R (0 :_R I)) \supseteq (0 :_R \mathfrak{m})$ . On the other hand, note that  $(0 :_{\mathfrak{m}/I} \mathfrak{m}) = (0 :_{R/I} \mathfrak{m}) \neq 0$ . Since  $J \cong \mathfrak{m}/I$ , we see that  $(0 :_J \mathfrak{m}) \neq 0$ . However, we have  $(0 :_J \mathfrak{m}) = (0 :_R \mathfrak{m}) \cap J \subseteq I \cap J = 0$ , which is contradiction. This contradiction says that  $\text{depth } R = 1$ , as desired.

*Step 3* We show that  $I = (0 :_R J)$  and  $J = (0 :_R I)$ . Noting that  $IJ \subseteq I \cap J = 0$ , we have  $I \subseteq (0 :_R J)$  and  $J \subseteq (0 :_R I)$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{m} = I + J \subseteq (0 :_R I) + (0 :_R J)$ , and therefore  $\mathfrak{m} = (0 :_R I) + (0 :_R J)$ . Since  $(0 :_R I) \cap (0 :_R J) = \text{Soc } R = 0$ , we obtain another decomposition

$$\mathfrak{m} = (0 :_R I) \oplus (0 :_R J)$$

of  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Consider the endomorphism

$$I \subseteq (0 :_R J) \subseteq \mathfrak{m} = I \oplus J \xrightarrow{\delta} I$$

of  $I$ , where  $\delta$  is the projection onto  $I$ . It is easy to see that this endomorphism is the identity map of  $I$ , and hence  $I$  is a direct summand of  $(0 :_R J)$ . Similarly,  $J$  is a direct summand of  $(0 :_R I)$ . Write  $(0 :_R J) = I \oplus I'$  and  $(0 :_R I) = J \oplus J'$  for some ideals  $I', J'$ , and we obtain

$$I \oplus J = \mathfrak{m} = (0 :_R I) \oplus (0 :_R J) = J \oplus J' \oplus I \oplus I'.$$

Thus we see that  $I' = J' = 0$ , and hence we have  $I = (0 :_R J)$  and  $J = (0 :_R I)$ .

*Step 4* We show that  $R$  is a Gorenstein local ring of dimension one. Dualizing the natural exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow J \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/J \rightarrow 0$ , we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (0 :_R J) \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{\epsilon} J^*.$$

Since  $\text{depth}_R J^* \geq \inf\{2, \text{depth } R\} > 0$  by [8, Exercise 1.4.19], the  $R$ -module  $\text{Im } \epsilon \cong R/I$  has positive depth. Therefore Proposition 2.2(2) implies that  $R/I \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ . It follows from this and Proposition 2.2(4) that  $I \in \mathcal{G}(R)$  and  $J = (0 :_R I) \cong (R/I)^* \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{m} = I \oplus J \in \mathcal{G}(R)$  by Proposition 2.2(5), and  $R$  is Gorenstein by Proposition 2.2(1) and 2.2(4). Hence we have  $\dim R = \text{depth } R = 1$ .  $\square$

Now we can prove the main theorem of this section.

**Theorem 3.4** *Let  $R$  be a henselian non-Gorenstein local ring of depth one. Suppose that there exists a non-free  $R$ -module in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ . Then the maximal ideal of  $R$  does not admit a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -precover. In particular, the category  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  is not contravariantly finite in  $\text{mod } R$ .*

PROOF Suppose that  $\mathfrak{m}$  admits a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -precover. We want to derive contradiction. Proposition 2.5(2) implies that  $\mathfrak{m}$  admits a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -cover  $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ . Since  $R \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ , any homomorphism from  $R$  to  $\mathfrak{m}$  factors through  $\pi$ . Hence  $\pi$  is a surjective homomorphism. Setting  $L = \text{Ker } \pi$ , we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{\theta} X \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\theta$  is the natural embedding. Lemma 2.7 says that  $\text{Ext}_R^1(G, L) = 0$  for every  $G \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ . According to Corollary 2.3(1), we have  $\text{Ext}_R^i(G, L) = 0$  for every  $G \in \mathcal{G}(R)$  and every  $i > 0$ .

Fix  $Y \in \mathcal{G}(R)$  which is non-free and indecomposable. Since  $\text{tr}Y \in \mathcal{G}(R)$  by Corollary 2.3(1), we have  $\text{Ker } \lambda_Y(L) = \text{Ext}_R^1(\text{tr}Y, L) = 0$  and  $\text{Coker } \lambda_Y(L) = \text{Ext}_R^2(\text{tr}Y, L) = 0$  by Proposition 2.8. This means that  $\lambda_Y(L)$  is an isomorphism. Hence the composite map  $\lambda_Y(X) \cdot (Y \otimes_R \theta) = \text{Hom}_R(Y^*, \theta) \cdot \lambda_Y(L)$  is injective, and therefore so is the map  $Y \otimes_R \theta$ . Also, we have  $\text{Ext}_R^1(Y^*, L) = 0$  because  $Y^* \in \mathcal{G}(R)$  by Corollary 2.3(1). Thus we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
Y \otimes_R L & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\lambda_Y(L)} & \text{Hom}_R(Y^*, L) \\
Y \otimes_R \theta \downarrow & & \text{Hom}_R(Y^*, \theta) \downarrow \\
Y \otimes_R X & \xrightarrow{\lambda_Y(X)} & \text{Hom}_R(Y^*, X) \\
Y \otimes_R \pi \downarrow & & \text{Hom}_R(Y^*, \pi) \downarrow \\
Y \otimes_R \mathfrak{m} & \xrightarrow{\lambda_Y(\mathfrak{m})} & \text{Hom}_R(Y^*, \mathfrak{m}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

with exact columns, and this induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\text{Hom}_R(Y^*, X)^* & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(Y^*, L)^* & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_R^1(\text{Hom}_R(Y^*, \mathfrak{m}), R) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \\
(Y \otimes_R X)^* & \xrightarrow{\rho} & (Y \otimes_R L)^* & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_R^1(Y \otimes_R \mathfrak{m}, R)
\end{array}$$

with exact rows.

Here, let us examine the module  $\text{Ext}_R^1(\text{Hom}_R(Y^*, \mathfrak{m}), R)$ . Since  $Y^*$  is a non-free indecomposable module, any homomorphism from  $Y^*$  to  $R$  factors through  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Therefore we have  $\text{Hom}_R(Y^*, \mathfrak{m}) \cong \text{Hom}_R(Y^*, R) \cong Y$ , and hence  $\text{Ext}_R^1(\text{Hom}_R(Y^*, \mathfrak{m}), R) = 0$  because  $Y \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ . This means that the homomorphism  $\rho$  in the above diagram is surjective.

Dualizing the exact sequence (3.1), we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}^* \xrightarrow{\pi^*} X^* \xrightarrow{\theta^*} L^*.$$

Set  $C = \text{Im}(\theta^*)$  and let  $\sigma : X^* \rightarrow C$  be the surjection induced by  $\theta^*$ . The surjectivity of  $\rho$  says that the homomorphism  $\text{Hom}_R(Y, \theta^*) : \text{Hom}_R(Y, X^*) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(Y, L^*)$  is also surjective since the two may be identified, and so is the homomorphism  $\text{Hom}_R(Y, \sigma) : \text{Hom}_R(Y, X^*) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(Y, C)$ . This means that  $\sigma$  is a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -precover. According to Remark 2.6, we can take a direct summand  $Z$  of  $\mathfrak{m}^*$  satisfying the following conditions:

- i)  $\pi^*(Z)$  is a direct summand of  $X^*$ .

- ii) Let  $M$  (resp.  $W$ ) be the complement of  $Z$  (resp.  $\pi^*(Z)$ ) in  $\mathfrak{m}^*$  (resp.  $X^*$ ), and let  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow W \xrightarrow{\tau} C \rightarrow 0$  be the induced exact sequence. Then  $\tau$  is a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -cover.

Lemma 2.7 yields

$$\mathrm{Ext}_R^1(G, M) = 0 \quad (3.2)$$

for any  $G \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ .

Now, we prove that the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a reflexive ideal. Dualizing the natural exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \xrightarrow{\zeta} R \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathfrak{m}^* \rightarrow k^r \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.3)$$

where  $r$  is a positive integer because  $\mathrm{depth} R = 1$ . Dualizing this exact sequence again, we obtain an injection  $\nu : \mathfrak{m}^{**} \rightarrow R$ , which maps  $\xi \in \mathfrak{m}^{**}$  to  $\xi(\zeta) \in R$ . Let  $\eta : \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}^{**}$  be the natural homomorphism. Then we easily see that  $\zeta = \nu\eta$ . Thus, we can regard  $\mathfrak{m}^{**}$  as an ideal of  $R$  containing the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ , and hence either  $\nu$  or  $\eta$  is an isomorphism.

Assume that  $\nu$  is an isomorphism. Then there exists  $\xi \in \mathfrak{m}^{**}$  such that  $\xi(\zeta) = 1$ . This means that the composition  $\xi\mu$  is the identity map of  $R$ , and hence the exact sequence (3.3) splits. Therefore we have  $R \oplus k^r \cong \mathfrak{m}^* = Z \oplus M$ . Noting that  $Z$  is isomorphic to  $\pi^*(Z)$  which is a direct summand of  $X^*$ , we see from Corollary 2.3(1) that  $X^* \in \mathcal{G}(R)$  and that  $Z \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ . Since  $k \notin \mathcal{G}(R)$  by Proposition 2.2(1), we see from the Krull-Schmidt Theorem that  $Z \cong R$  and  $M \cong k^r$ . According to (3.2), every  $R$ -module in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  is free, and we obtain contradiction. Hence  $\eta$  must be an isomorphism, which says that  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a reflexive ideal, as desired.

Thus, we have  $\mathfrak{m} \cong \mathfrak{m}^{**} \cong Z^* \oplus M^*$ . It follows that the module  $Z^* \in \mathcal{G}(R)$  can be regarded as a subideal of  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Since  $R$  is not Gorenstein, Proposition 3.3 implies that either  $Z^* = 0$  or  $M^* = 0$ . But if  $M^* = 0$ , then  $\mathfrak{m} \cong Z^* \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ , which would imply that  $R$  was Gorenstein by Proposition 2.2(1) and 2.2(4). Thus,  $Z^* = 0$ . Hence  $Z \cong Z^{**} = 0$ , and therefore  $M = \mathfrak{m}^*$ . By (3.2) and (3.3), for every  $G \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ , we have  $\mathrm{Ext}_R^1(G, k^r) = 0$ , and see that  $G$  is a free  $R$ -module, which is contrary to the assumption of our theorem. This contradiction completes the proof of our theorem.  $\square$

According to Proposition 2.9, we have the following result that gives a corollary of the above theorem:

**Corollary 3.5** *Suppose that  $R$  is a henselian non-Gorenstein local ring of depth one and that there exists a non-free  $R$ -module of  $G$ -dimension zero. Then there exist infinitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable  $R$ -modules of  $G$ -dimension zero.*

### 3.2 The depth two case

Throughout this section,  $R$  is always a local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  and with residue class field  $k$ . We assume that all  $R$ -modules in this section are finitely generated.

**Theorem 3.6** *Let  $R$  be a henselian non-Gorenstein local ring of depth two. Suppose that there exists a non-free  $R$ -module in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ . Then the category  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  is not contravariantly finite in  $\text{mod}R$ .*

PROOF Since  $\text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}, R) \cong \text{Ext}_R^2(k, R) \neq 0$ , we have a non-split exact sequence

$$\sigma : 0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow M \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Dualizing this, we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}^* \rightarrow M^* \rightarrow R^* \xrightarrow{\eta} \text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}, R).$$

Note from definition that the connecting homomorphism  $\eta$  sends  $\text{id}_R \in R^*$  to the element  $s \in \text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}, R)$  corresponding to the exact sequence  $\sigma$ . Since  $\sigma$  does not split,  $s$  is a non-zero element of  $\text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}, R)$ . Hence  $\eta$  is a non-zero map. Noting that  $\text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}, R) \cong \text{Ext}_R^2(k, R)$ , we see that the image of  $\eta$  is annihilated by  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Also noting that  $\mathfrak{m}^* \cong R^* \cong R$ , we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow M^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.5)$$

**Claim 1** *The modules  $\text{Hom}_R(G, M)$  and  $\text{Hom}_R(G, M^*)$  belong to  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  for every non-free indecomposable module  $G \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ .*

PROOF Applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_R(G, -)$  to the exact sequence (3.4) gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G^* \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(G, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(G, \mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(G, R).$$

Since  $G$  is non-free and indecomposable, any homomorphism from  $G$  to  $R$  factors through  $\mathfrak{m}$ , and hence  $\text{Hom}_R(G, \mathfrak{m}) \cong G^*$ . Also, since  $G \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ , we have  $\text{Ext}_R^1(G, R) = 0$ . Thus Corollary 2.3(2) implies that  $\text{Hom}_R(G, M) \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ . The same argument for the exact sequence (3.5) shows that  $\text{Hom}_R(G, M^*) \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ .  $\square$

We shall prove that the module  $M$  can not have a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -precover. Suppose that  $M$  has a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -precover. Then  $M$  has a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -cover  $\pi : X \rightarrow M$  by Proposition 2.5(2). Since  $R \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ , any homomorphism from  $R$  to  $M$  factors through  $\pi$ . Hence  $\pi$  is a surjective homomorphism. Setting  $N = \text{Ker } \pi$ , we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\theta} X \xrightarrow{\pi} M \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.6)$$

where  $\theta$  is the natural embedding. We see from Corollary 2.3 and Lemma 2.7 that  $\text{Ext}_R^i(G, N) = 0$  for any  $G \in \mathcal{G}(R)$  and any  $i > 0$ . Dualizing the exact sequence (3.6), we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M^* \xrightarrow{\pi^*} X^* \xrightarrow{\theta^*} N^*.$$

Put  $C = \text{Im}(\theta^*)$  and let  $\mu : X^* \rightarrow C$  be the surjection induced by  $\theta^*$ .

**Claim 2** *The homomorphism  $\mu$  is a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -precover of  $C$ .*

PROOF Fix a non-free indecomposable module  $G \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ . Applying the functors  $G \otimes_R -$  and  $\text{Hom}_R(G^*, -)$  to the exact sequence (3.6) yields a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& & 0 \\
& & \downarrow \\
G \otimes_R N & \xrightarrow{\lambda_G(N)} & \text{Hom}_R(G^*, N) \\
G \otimes_R \theta \downarrow & & \text{Hom}_R(G^*, \theta) \downarrow \\
G \otimes_R X & \xrightarrow{\lambda_G(X)} & \text{Hom}_R(G^*, X) \\
G \otimes_R \pi \downarrow & & \text{Hom}_R(G^*, \pi) \downarrow \\
G \otimes_R M & \xrightarrow{\lambda_G(M)} & \text{Hom}_R(G^*, M) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & & \text{Ext}_R^1(G^*, N)
\end{array}$$

with exact columns. Noting that  $\text{tr}G \in \mathcal{G}(R)$  by Corollary 2.3(1), we see from Proposition 2.8 that  $\text{Ker } \lambda_G(N) = \text{Ext}_R^1(\text{tr}G, N) = 0$  and  $\text{Coker } \lambda_G(N) = \text{Ext}_R^2(\text{tr}G, N) = 0$ . This means that  $\lambda_G(N)$  is an isomorphism. It follows from the commutativity of the above diagram that the homomorphism  $G \otimes_R \theta$  is injective. Also, we have  $\text{Ext}_R^1(G^*, N) = 0$  because  $G^* \in \mathcal{G}(R)$  by Corollary 2.3(1). Thus we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
G \otimes_R N & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\lambda_G(N)} & \text{Hom}_R(G^*, N) \\
G \otimes_R \theta \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
G \otimes_R X & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(G^*, X) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
G \otimes_R M & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(G^*, M) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

with exact columns. Dualizing this diagram induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\text{Hom}_R(G^*, X)^* & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(G^*, N)^* & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_R^1(\text{Hom}_R(G^*, M), R) \\
\downarrow & & (\lambda_G(N))^* \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \\
(G \otimes_R X)^* & \xrightarrow{(G \otimes_R \theta)^*} & (G \otimes_R N)^* & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_R^1(G \otimes_R M, R)
\end{array}$$

with exact rows. Since  $\text{Hom}_R(G^*, M) \in \mathcal{G}(R)$  by Claim 1, we have  $\text{Ext}_R^1(\text{Hom}_R(G^*, M), R) = 0$ . From the above commutative diagram, it is seen that  $(G \otimes_R \theta)^*$  is a surjective homomorphism. Note that there is a natural

commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(G \otimes_R X)^* & \xrightarrow{(G \otimes_R \theta)^*} & (G \otimes_R N)^* \\
\downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
\text{Hom}_R(G, X^*) & \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_R(G, \theta^*)} & \text{Hom}_R(G, N^*)
\end{array}$$

with isomorphic vertical maps. Therefore the homomorphism  $\text{Hom}_R(G, \theta^*)$  is also surjective, and so is the homomorphism  $\text{Hom}_R(G, \mu) : \text{Hom}_R(G, X^*) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(G, C)$ . It is easy to see from this that  $\mu$  is a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -precover of  $C$ .  $\square$

According to Claim 2 and Remark 2.6, we have direct sum decompositions  $M^* = Y \oplus L$ ,  $X^* = \pi^*(Y) \oplus Z$ , and an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow Z \xrightarrow{\nu} C \rightarrow 0$$

where  $\nu$  is a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -cover of  $C$ . Since  $Y$  is isomorphic to the direct summand  $\pi^*(Y)$  of  $X^*$ , Corollary 2.3(1) implies that  $Y \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ . Lemma 2.7 yields  $\text{Ext}_R^1(G, L) = 0$  for any  $G \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ .

**Claim 3** *The module  $\text{Hom}_R(G, Y)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  for any  $G \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ .*

PROOF We may assume that  $G$  is non-free and indecomposable. The module  $\text{Hom}_R(G, Y)$  is isomorphic to a direct summand of  $\text{Hom}_R(G, M^*)$ . Since the module  $\text{Hom}_R(G, M^*)$  is an object of  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  by Claim 1, so is the module  $\text{Hom}_R(G, Y)$  by Corollary 2.3(1).  $\square$

Here, by the assumption of the theorem, we have a non-free indecomposable module  $W \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ . There is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega W \rightarrow F \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$$

of  $R$ -modules such that  $F$  is a free module. Applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_R(-, Y)$  to this exact sequence, we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(W, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(F, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\Omega W, Y) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(W, Y) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $\text{Hom}_R(W, Y)$ ,  $\text{Hom}_R(F, Y)$ , and  $\text{Hom}_R(\Omega W, Y)$  belong to  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  by Claim 3, the  $R$ -module  $\text{Ext}_R^1(W, Y)$  has G-dimension at most two, especially it has finite G-dimension.

On the other hand, there are isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Ext}_R^1(W, Y) &\cong \text{Ext}_R^1(W, Y) \oplus \text{Ext}_R^1(W, L) \\
&\cong \text{Ext}_R^1(W, M^*) \\
&\cong \text{Ext}_R^1(W, \mathfrak{m}),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last isomorphism is induced by the exact sequence (3.5). Applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_R(W, -)$  to the natural exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow R \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$$

and noting that  $\text{Hom}_R(W, \mathfrak{m}) \cong W^*$  because  $W$  is a non-free indecomposable module, we obtain an isomorphism  $\text{Ext}_R^1(W, \mathfrak{m}) \cong \text{Hom}_R(W, k)$ , and hence

$\text{Ext}_R^1(W, Y)$  is a non-zero  $k$ -vector space. Therefore Proposition 2.2(1) and 2.2(5) say that  $R$  is Gorenstein, contrary to the assumption of our theorem. This contradiction proves that the  $R$ -module  $M$  does not have a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -precover, which establishes our theorem.  $\square$

According to Proposition 2.9, we have the following result that gives a corollary of the above theorem:

**Corollary 3.7** *Suppose that  $R$  is a henselian non-Gorenstein local ring of depth two and that there exists a non-free  $R$ -module of  $G$ -dimension zero. Then there exist infinitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable  $R$ -modules of  $G$ -dimension zero.*

## References

- [1] AUSLANDER, M. Anneaux de Gorenstein, et torsion en algèbre commutative. Séminaire d'algèbre Commutative dirigé par Pierre Samuel, 1966/67. *Secrétariat mathématique, Paris* 1967.
- [2] AUSLANDER, M.; BRIDGER, M. Stable module theory. Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, No. 94 *American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I.* 1969.
- [3] AUSLANDER, M.; BUCHWEITZ, R.-O. The homological theory of maximal Cohen-Macaulay approximations. *Mem. Soc. Math. France (N.S.)* No. 38 (1989), 5–37.
- [4] AUSLANDER, M.; SMALØ, S. O. Preprojective modules over Artin algebras. *J. Algebra* **66** (1980), no. 1, 61–122.
- [5] AVRAMOV, L. L. Homological dimensions and related invariants of modules over local rings. *Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Representations of Algebras (Beijing, 2000)* (to appear)
- [6] AVRAMOV, L. L.; FOXBY, H.-B. Ring homomorphisms and finite Gorenstein dimension. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **75** (1997), no. 2, 241–270.
- [7] AVRAMOV, L. L.; MARTSINKOVSKY, A. Absolute, relative, and Tate cohomology of modules of finite Gorenstein dimension. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **85** (2002), no. 2, 393–440.
- [8] BRUNS, W.; HERZOG, J. Cohen-Macaulay rings. Revised edition. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 39, *Cambridge University Press, Cambridge*, 1998.
- [9] CHRISTENSEN, L. W. Gorenstein dimensions. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1747, *Springer-Verlag, Berlin*, 2000.
- [10] CHRISTENSEN, L. W. Semi-dualizing complexes and their Auslander categories. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **353** (2001), no. 5, 1839–1883.
- [11] GERKO, A. A. On homological dimensions. *Mat. Sb.* **192** (2001), no. 8, 79–94; *translation in Sb. Math.* **192** (2001), no. 7-8, 1165–1179.

- [12] GOLOD, E. S.  $G$ -dimension and generalized perfect ideals. Algebraic geometry and its applications. *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.* **165** (1984), 62–66.
- [13] HARTSHORNE, R. Complete intersections and connectedness. *Amer. J. Math.* **84** 1962 497–508.
- [14] IYENGAR, S.; SATHER-WAGSTAFF, S.  $G$ -dimension over local homomorphisms. Applications to the Frobenius endomorphism. *Illinois J. Math.* (to appear)
- [15] MAŠEK, V., Gorenstein dimension and torsion of modules over commutative Noetherian rings. *Comm. Algebra* **28** (2000), no. 12, 5783–5811.
- [16] TAKAHASHI, R. Some characterizations of Gorenstein local rings in terms of  $G$ -dimension. *Acta Math. Hungar.* (to appear)
- [17] TAKAHASHI, R. On the category of modules of Gorenstein dimension zero II. *J. Algebra* (to appear)
- [18] TAKAHASHI, R. On the category of modules of Gorenstein dimension zero. (preprint)
- [19] TAKAHASHI, R. Modules of  $G$ -dimension zero over local rings of depth two. (preprint)
- [20] TAKAHASHI, R.; YOSHINO, Y. Characterizing Cohen-Macaulay local rings by Frobenius maps. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* (to appear)
- [21] VELICHE, O. Construction of modules with finite homological dimensions. *J. Algebra* **250** (2002), no. 2, 427–449.
- [22] WAKAMATSU, T. Stable equivalence for self-injective algebras and a generalization of tilting modules. *J. Algebra* **134** (1990), no. 2, 298–325.
- [23] XU, J. Flat covers of modules. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1634. *Springer-Verlag, Berlin*, 1996.
- [24] YASSEMI, S.  $G$ -dimension. *Math. Scand.* **77** (1995), no. 2, 161–174.
- [25] YOSHINO, Y. Cohen-Macaulay modules over Cohen-Macaulay rings. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 146. *Cambridge University Press, Cambridge*, 1990.
- [26] YOSHINO, Y. Cohen-Macaulay approximations. (Japanese) *Proceedings of the 4th Symposium on Representation Theory of Algebras, Izu, Japan*, 1993, 119–138.
- [27] YOSHINO, Y. Modules of  $G$ -dimension zero over local rings with the cube of maximal ideal being zero. *Commutative Algebra, Singularities and Computer Algebra*, 255–273, NATO Sci. Ser. I Math. Phys. Chem., 115, *Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht*, 2003.