Primordial Non-Gaussianity in Inflation

初期密度ゆらぎの非ガウス性とインフ

Shuichiro Yokoyama (Nagoya University)

in collaboration with T. Suyama and T. Tanaka, ...

研究会 第二回 超弦理論と宇宙 in 城崎温泉 2/19



Outlook

- Introduction
- Non-Gaussianity
- Formulation (delta N formula)
- Model
- Discussion

Introduction – Observation-

Progress of observational technology

Precision cosmology

We can determine/constrain possible models/theories of the early universe from observations.



Introduction – CMB observation-

Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) Anisotropy



 Information about the evolution of perturbations
 Information about the primordial perturbations

- $\stackrel{\bullet \text{ amplitude}}{\sim} 10^{-5}$
- spectral index

Almost scale-inv.

•statistics

Almost Gaussian

statistical isotropy

SY and Soda (2008)

Test of the mechanism of generating primordial perturbations (Inflation model)

S-dimensional assisted inflation assisted brane inflation anomoly-induced inflation assisted inflation assisted chaotic inflation **boundary inflation** brane inflation brane-assisted inflation brane gas inflation brane-antibrane inflation braneworld inflation **Brans-Dicke chaotic inflation Brans-Dicke inflation** bulky brane inflation chaotic inflation chaotic hybrid inflation chaotic new inflation **D**-brane inflation **D-term inflation** dilaton-driven inflation dilaton-driven brane inflation double inflation double D-term inflation

dual inflation dynamical inflation dynamical SUSY inflation eternal inflation extended inflation extended open inflation extended warm inflation extra dimensional inflation **F-term inflation** F-term hybrid inflation false-vacuum inflation false-vacuum chaotic inflation fast-roll inflation first-order inflation gauged inflation **Hagedorn** inflation higher-curvature inflation hybrid inflation hyperextended inflation induced gravity inflation intermediate inflation inverted hybrid inflation isocurvature inflation.....

@ Paul Shellard

Introduction – WMAP constraint -

Summary of the Cosmological Parameters of ACDM Model and the Corresponding 08% Intervals							
Class	Parameter	WMAP 5 Year ML ^a	WMAP+BAO+SN ML	WMAP 5 Year Mean ^b	WMAP+BAO+SN Mean		
Primary	$100\Omega_b h^2$	2.268	2.262	2.273 ± 0.062	$2.267 \substack{+0.058\\-0.059}$		
	$\Omega_c h^2$	0.1081	0.1138	0.1099 ± 0.0062	0.1131 ± 0.0034		
	Ω_{Λ}	0.751	0.723	0.742 ± 0.030	0.726 ± 0.015		
	ns	0.961	0.962	$0.963^{+0.014}_{-0.015}$	0.960 ± 0.013		
	τ	0.089	0.088	0.087 ± 0.017	0.084 ± 0.016		
	$\Delta_R^2(k_0^c)$	2.41×10^{-9}	2.46×10^{-9}	$(2.41 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-9}$	$(2.445 \pm 0.096) \times 10^{-9}$		
Derived	σ_8	0.787	0.817	0.796 ± 0.036	0.812 ± 0.026		
	H_0	72.4 km s ⁻¹ Mpc ⁻¹	70.2 km s ⁻¹ Mpc ⁻¹	$71.9^{+2.6}_{-2.7}$ km s ⁻¹ Mpc ⁻¹	70.5 ± 1.3 km s ⁻ Mpc ⁻		
	Ω_b	0.0432	0.0459	0.0441 ± 0.0030	0.0456 ± 0.0015		
	Ω_c	0.206	0.231	0.214 ± 0.027	0.228 ± 0.013		
	$\Omega_m h^2$	0.1308	0.1364	0.1326 ± 0.0063	$0.1358^{+0.0037}_{-0.0036}$		
	z ^d reion	11.2	11.3	11.0 ± 1.4	10.9 ± 1.4		
	t_0^e	13.69 Gyr	13.72 Gyr	13.69 ± 0.13 Gyr	$13.72\pm0.12~\mathrm{Gyr}$		

Table 1 Summary of the Cosmological Parameters of ACDM Model and the Corresponding 68% Intervals

(WMAP 5 year data)

Table 2 Summary of the 95% Confidence Limits on Deviations from the Simple (Flat, Gaussian, Adiabatic, Power-Law) ACDM Model

Section	Name	Туре	WMAP 5 Year	WMAP+BAO+SN
Section 3.2	Gravitational wave ^a	No running index	r < 0.43 ^b	r < 0.22
Section 3.1.3	Running index	No grav. wave	$-0.090 < dn_s/d \ln k < 0.019^{\circ}$	$-0.068 < dn_s/d \ln k < 0.012$
Section 3.4	Curvatured		$-0.063 < \Omega_k < 0.017^{\text{e}}$	$-0.0179 < \Omega_k < 0.0081^{\text{f}}$
	Curvature radius ^g	Positive curv.	$R_{\rm curv} > 12 h^{-1} { m Gpc}$	$R_{\rm curv} > 22 h^{-1} { m Gpc}$
		Negative curv.	$R_{\rm curv} > 23 h^{-1} { m Gpc}$	$R_{\rm curv} > 33 h^{-1} { m Gpc}$
Section 3.5	Gaussianity	Local	$-9 < f_{\rm NL}^{\rm local} < 111^{\rm h}$	N/A
		Equilateral	$-151 < f_{\rm NL}^{\rm equil} < 253^{\rm i}$	N/A
Section 3.6	Adiabaticity	Axion	$\alpha_0 < 0.16^{j}$	$\alpha_0 < 0.072^k$
		Curvaton	$\alpha_{-1} < 0.011^{1}$	$\alpha_{-1} < 0.0041^{\text{m}}$
Section 4	Parity violation	Chern-Simons ⁿ	$-5.9 < \Delta \alpha < 2.4$	N/A
Section 5	Dark energy	Constant w^{0}	$-1.37 < 1 + w < 0.32^{p}$	-0.14 < 1 + w < 0.12
		Evolving $w(z)^q$	N/A	$-0.33 < 1 + w_0 < 0.21^r$
Section 6.1	Neutrino mass ^s		$\sum m_{\nu} < 1.3 \mathrm{eV^t}$	$\sum m_{\nu} < 0.67 \text{eV}^{\text{u}}$
Section 6.2	Neutrino species		$N_{\rm eff} > 2.3^{\rm v}$	$N_{\rm eff} = 4.4 \pm 1.5^{\rm w} \ (68\%)$

Introduction – WMAP constraint -

(WMAP+BAO+SN)



$$n_s - 1 \equiv rac{d \ln \mathcal{P}_{\zeta}}{d \ln k}$$
 ; spectral index

$$r\equiv rac{\mathcal{P}_T}{\mathcal{P}_\zeta}$$
 ; tensor to scalar ratio

Introduction - construction of realistic inflation models -

Constructing realistic models based on SUGRA or string theory

 \checkmark the energy scale of inflation is much lower

 ✓ the scalar field may have multi-components during inflation (Multi-scalar inflation)

Tensor perturbation

The discrimination of the simplest single-field model from the other low energy models will be mostly clearly done by the future observation of CMB B-mode polarization. (Primordial tensor perturbation)

Second order perturbation

Recently, the non-linearity (non-Gaussianity) of the primordial perturbations also has been a focus of constant attention by many authors. (Komatsu & Spergel (2001),)



FIG 2.8.—The left panel shows a realisation of the CMB power spectrum of the concordance Λ CDM model (red line) after 4 years of WMAP observations. The right panel shows the same realisation observed with the sensitivity and angular resolution of *Planck*.

Introduction – Planck era -

PLANCK (2009 April)

probability of detecting B-mode polarization



Tensor amplitude A_{t}

FIG 2.16.—The probability of detecting *B*-mode polarization at 95% confidence as a function of $A_{\rm T}$, the amplitude of the primordial tensor power spectrum (assumed scale-invariant), for *Planck* observations using 65% of the sky. The curves correspond to different assumed epochs of (instantaneous) reionization: z = 6, 10, 14, 18 and 22. The dashed line corresponds to a tensor-to-scalar ratio r = 0.05 for the best-fit scalar normalisation, $A_{\rm S} = 2.7 \times 10^{-9}$, from the one-year *WMAP* observations.

Introduction – B-mode polarization -

CMBPol mission (0811.3919[astro-ph])



Figure 6: E- and B-mode power spectra for a tensor-to-scalar ratio saturating current bounds, r = 0.3, and for r = 0.01. Shown are also the experimental sensitivities for WMAP, Planck and two different realizations of CMBPol (EPIC-LC and EPIC-2m). (Figure adapted from Bock *et al.* [56].)

Space mission (submitted to Astro 2010)

Introduction – tensor mode –direct detection- -



CMBPol webpage

Non-Gaussianity

Non-linearity parameter

Non-linear parameter

Curvature perturbation on uniform density slicing (a gauge invariant variable);

$$\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = \underline{\zeta_G}(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{3}{5} \underline{f_{NL}} \zeta_G^2(\mathbf{x})$$
Gaussian statistics
Non-linear parameter

The power spectrum of curvature perturbation is leadingly identical to that of Gaussian part, while the three point correlation function is affected by the non-linear part.

$$\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_{1}} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_{2}} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_{3}} \rangle \equiv \delta^{(3)} \left(\mathbf{k}_{1} + \mathbf{k}_{2} + \mathbf{k}_{3} \right) B_{\zeta} \left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3} \right) : \text{bispectrum}$$

$$B_{\zeta}(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}) = -\frac{6}{5} \frac{f_{NL}}{\left(2\pi\right)^{3/2}} \left[P_{\zeta}(k_{1}) P_{\zeta}(k_{2}) + P_{\zeta}(k_{2}) P_{\zeta}(k_{3}) + P_{\zeta}(k_{3}) P_{\zeta}(k_{1}) \right] : \text{power spectrum}$$

$$\text{WMAP} (\text{current obs.}) = -9 < f_{NL}^{\text{local}} < 111 \quad \text{PLANCK} \quad |f_{NL}| \gtrsim 5$$

Non-linearity in cosmological perturbation

Einstein equation

$$G_{\mu
u}=8\pi G T_{\mu
u}$$
 : nonlinear differential equation

Primordial perturbation

Quantum fluctuation of Inflaton (scalar field)

If free field, the fluctuation is Gaussian



Theoretical predictions



•Standard single-scalar slow-roll inflation $f_{
m NL} = \mathcal{O}(10^{-2}) \ll 1$ (Maldace

(Maldacena (2003), Lyth & Rodoriguez (2005), ...)

 Curvaton scenario, Modulated reheating scenario (Lyth et al (2003), Sasaki et al (2006), Dvali et al (2004)...) •(DBI inflation (non-slow-roll model)) (Alishahisa et al (2004), ...) $f_{\rm NL} \gg 1$

Detectable by the future experiments (PLANCK,....)!!

We cannot distinguish these scenario at the linear (power spectrum) level. (tensor mode?)

 f_{NL} is expected as a new cosmological parameter, which brings us valuable information.

Theoretical predictions

How to generate the non-linearity?

- 1). "scalar field –scalar field" coupling during inflation
- - 3). Non-linearity in the transfer functions of temperature anisotropies

higher order correlation function

The deviation from the pure Gaussian affects also higher order correlation functions (higher order spectrum).

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta &: \text{primordial curvature perturbation} \\ \text{Bispectrum (connected part)} \\ &\langle \zeta(\mathbf{k}_1)\zeta(\mathbf{k}_2)\zeta(\mathbf{k}_3) \rangle = \frac{6}{5} f_{NL} \left(P(k_1)P(k_2) + P(k_2)P(k_3) + P(k_3)P(k_1) \right) \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3) \\ \text{Trispectrum (connected part)} \\ &\langle \zeta(\mathbf{k}_1)\zeta(\mathbf{k}_2)\zeta(\mathbf{k}_3)\zeta(\mathbf{k}_4) \rangle = \left[\tau_{NL} \left(P(k_{13})P(k_3)P(k_4) + (11\text{perms}) \right) \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{54}{25} g_{NL} \left(P(k_2)P(k_3)P(k_4) + (3\text{perms}) \right) \right] \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3 + \mathbf{k}_4) \\ &\text{Non-linear parameters} \qquad P(k_1) : \text{power spectrum} \end{aligned}$$

Can we detect? (Komatsu & Spergel(2001), Kogo & Komatsu 2006)

If
$$f_{NL} > 5$$
 or $au_{NL} > 560$

we can detect the NG in future experiments (Planck(2009))

higher order correlation function

Roughly speaking,

- ✓ the leading order of n-point function is $O(P^{n-1}) (P \sim O(10^{-10}))$
- ✓ If the non-Gaussianity large, we can estimate $O(f_{NL}^{n-2}P^{n-1})$
 - $(f_{NL} < O(100))$

✓ The number of argument wavenumbers of the n-point function is n-1. Naively, the number increases as $\ell_{max}^{2(n-1)}$

where ℓ_{max} is the maximum angular momentum of CMB observations

- ✓ This large number enhances the detectability of n-point functions to $O(f_{NL}^{-1}P^{-1/2}(f_{NL}\ell_{max}\sqrt{P})^{n-1})$
- ✓ Hence, if $f_{NL} \ell_{max} \sqrt{P}$ exceeds unity, in principle the higher order correlation functions are measurable.
- \checkmark For Planck, $\ell_{max} \sim {\cal O}(2000)$, and hence if $f_{NL} \sim {\cal O}(50)$ $\,$ then we can.

(Kogo and Komatsu (2006))

Motivation

How is the primordial non-Gaussianity in Multi-scalar inflation ?



Multi-scalar models ,

- a lot of inflatons (c.f. curvaton scenario)
- a lot of dynamical degree of freedom during inflation

- multi-field case $\zeta \neq \text{const.}$
- the effects of the iso-curvature mode
- the violation of the slow-roll conditions

on super-horizon scales

Local type non-Gaussianity



δN formalism for the primordial non-Gaussianity

Second order CPT;

For example, {00}-Einstein tensor;

$$\begin{split} \delta^{(2)}G^{0}_{\ 0} &= \frac{1}{a^{2}} \Big(3\Big(\frac{a'}{a}\Big)^{2} \phi^{(2)} + 3\frac{a'}{a} \psi^{(2)'} - \nabla^{2} \psi^{(2)} + \frac{a'}{a} \nabla^{2} \omega^{(2)} - \frac{1}{4} \partial_{k} \partial_{i} D^{ki} \chi^{(2)} \end{split} \tag{A.39} \\ &- 12 \left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^{2} \left(\phi^{(1)}\right)^{2} - 12\frac{a'}{a} \phi^{(1)} \psi^{(1)'} - 3\partial_{i} \psi^{(1)} \partial^{i} \psi^{(1)} - 8\psi^{(1)} \nabla^{2} \psi^{(1)} + 12\frac{a'}{a} \psi^{(1)} \psi^{(1)'} \\ &- 3 \left(\psi^{(1)'}\right)^{2} + 4\frac{a'}{a} \phi^{(1)} \nabla^{2} \omega^{(1)} - 2\frac{a'}{a} \partial_{k} \omega^{(1)} \partial^{k} \phi^{(1)} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{a''}{a} \partial_{k} \omega^{(1)} \partial^{k} \omega^{(1)} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \partial_{i} \partial_{k} \omega^{(1)} \partial^{i} \partial^{k} \omega^{(1)} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{k} \partial^{k} \omega^{(1)} \partial_{k} \partial^{k} \omega^{(1)} - 2\frac{a'}{a} \partial_{k} \psi^{(1)} \partial^{k} \omega^{(1)} + 4\frac{a'}{a} \psi^{(1)} \nabla^{2} \omega^{(1)} \\ &- 2 \partial_{k} \omega^{(1)} \partial^{k} \psi^{(1)'} - 2\psi^{(1)'} \nabla^{2} \omega^{(1)} - \phi^{(1)} \partial_{i} \partial^{k} D^{i}_{k} \chi^{(1)} - 2\psi^{(1)} \partial_{k} \partial^{i} D^{k}_{i} \chi^{(1)} \\ &+ \partial_{k} \partial_{i} \psi^{(1)} D^{ki} \chi^{(1)} - 2\frac{a'}{a} \partial_{i} \partial_{k} \omega^{(1)} D^{ik} \chi^{(1)} - 2\frac{a'}{a} \partial_{k} \omega^{(1)} \partial_{i} D^{ki} \chi^{(1)} - 2\psi^{(1)} \partial_{k} \partial^{i} D^{k}_{i} \chi^{(1)'} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \nabla^{2} D_{mk} \chi^{(1)} D^{km} \chi^{(1)} + \partial_{m} \partial^{k} D_{ik} \chi^{(1)} D^{im} \chi^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{k} D^{km} \chi^{(1)} \partial^{i} D_{mi} \chi^{(1)} \\ &- \frac{1}{8} \partial^{i} D^{km} \chi^{(1)} \partial_{i} D_{km} \chi^{(1)} + \frac{1}{8} D^{ik} \chi^{(1)'} D_{ki} \chi^{(1)'} + \frac{a'}{a} D^{ki} \chi^{(1)} D_{ik} \chi^{(1)'} \Big), \end{split}$$

It seemes complicated to solve fully... \rightarrow delta N

Formulation – δΝ formalism- (Sasaki & Tanaka(1998),Lyth et al.(2005))

- •Focus on the Super-horizon fluctuations
- •Smoothing on sub-horizon scales
- •Locally, the universe seems FRW.



Naively,



Taking Final hypersurface to be uniform energy density one and Initial hypersurface to be flat one

Formulation – δN formalism-

δN formalism (Sasaki & Tanaka (1998)) (separte universe)

$$\zeta(t_F) \simeq \delta N = N_I^* \delta \phi_*^I + \frac{1}{2} N_{IJ}^* \delta \phi_*^I \delta \phi_*^J + \cdots$$

uniform energy density slicing

$$N_I(t) \equiv \left. \frac{\partial N(t_F \phi^I)}{\partial \phi^I} \right|_{\phi^I = \phi^I(t)},$$

$$N_{IJ}(t) \equiv \left. \frac{\partial^2 N(t_F, \phi^I)}{\partial \phi^I \partial \phi^J} \right|_{\phi^I = \phi^I(t)}$$

✓ Super-horizon scale curvature perturbation is given by the perturbation of the background e-folding number



Formulation – δN formalism-



 $t_F > t_c$: a time when the background trajectory has converged. $\leftarrow \rightarrow$ pure adiabatic perturbation (no-iso-curvature)

then,

$$\zeta(t_F)= ext{const.}$$
 (Lyth, Malik, and Sasaki (2005))

Formulation - Non-Gaussianity -

 δN can be expanded up to the second order as

$$\zeta(t_c) \simeq \delta N = N_I^* \delta \phi_*^I + \frac{1}{2} N_{IJ}^* \delta \phi_*^I \delta \phi_*^J + \cdots$$

(Lyth & Rodriguez, 2005)

to the second order

$$N_{I}(t) = \left. \frac{\partial N(t_{\rm c}, \phi^{I})}{\partial \phi^{I}} \right|_{\phi^{I} = \phi^{I}(t)},$$

$$N_{IJ}(t) \equiv \left. \frac{\partial^2 N(t_{\rm c}, \phi^I)}{\partial \phi^I \partial \phi^J} \right|_{\phi^I = \phi^I(t)}$$

Bispectrum is leadingly given by

$$\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_{1}} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_{2}} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_{3}} \rangle = \underbrace{N_{I}^{*} N_{J}^{*} N_{K}^{*} \langle \delta \phi_{\mathbf{k}_{1}*}^{I} \delta \phi_{\mathbf{k}_{2}*}^{J} \delta \phi_{\mathbf{k}_{3}*}^{K} \rangle}_{+ \frac{1}{2} N_{I}^{*} N_{J}^{*} N_{K_{1}K_{2}}^{*} \left[\langle \delta \phi_{\mathbf{k}_{1}*}^{I} \delta \phi_{\mathbf{k}_{2}*}^{J} \left(\delta \phi_{\mathbf{k}_{1}}^{K_{1}} \star \delta \phi_{\mathbf{k}_{2}*}^{K} \right)_{\mathbf{k}_{3}*} \rangle \right]$$

 $(1 \leftrightarrow 1)$; "field-field" coupling \rightarrow suppressed by slow-roll para. under the slow-roll approx. (Seery & Lidsey (2005))

 $(2 \leftarrow \rightarrow 2)$; the non-linearity of the "curvature-field" coupling

Non-linearity parameter

Neglecting the contribution of (1), $\delta \phi^{I} - \delta \phi^{I}$ we have,

$$\frac{6}{5}f_{NL} \simeq \frac{N_*^I N_*^J N_{IJ}^*}{\left(N_K^* N_*^K\right)^2}$$

This represents the non-linearity which is generated on the super-horizon scales evolution. (2) term) "curvature - field" coupling ("curvature – iso-curvature")

What we need to calculate is only the evolution of background e-folding number

- Higher order correlation func.-

 $\delta \phi_*^I$ is almost Gaussian (slow-roll inflation) (Seery and Lidsey(2006,2007))

$$\tau_{\rm NL} = \frac{N_{IJ} N^{IK} N^{J} N_{K}}{(N_L N^L)^3}, \quad g_{NL} = \frac{25 N_{IJK} N^{I} N^{J} N^{K}}{54 (N_L N^L)^3}$$

called as "local type " spectrum

(Byrnes, Sasaki & Wands(2006))

We have presented an efficient method for computing the non-linear parameters of the higher order correlation functions of local type curvature perturbations (SY, T. Suyama and T. Tanaka (2009))

delta N + Diagrammatic Approach (Byrnes, Koyama, Sasaki and Wands(2007))

- Diagrammatic approach -

Byrnes, Koyama, Sasaki and Wands (2007) SY, Suyama and Tanaka (2009)



The number of the diagrams which have mutually different topology are corresponding to the number of parameters for n-point functions.

- the number of parameters for n-point functions --

The number of parameters for each correlation func. and diagram

COUNTING TREE GRAPHS						
N	(trees) t_N	(labeled trees) $T_N = N^{N-2}$				
1	1	1				
2	1	1				
3	1	. 3				
4	2	16				
5	3	125				
6	6	1 296				
7	11	16 807				
8	23	262 144				
9	47	4 782 969				
10	106	100 000 000				
11	235	2 357 947 691				
12	551	61 917 364 224				
13	1301	1 792 160 394 037				
14	3159	56 693 912 375 296				
15	7741	1 946 195 068 359 375				
		(From Erv, "Calaxy, corr				

TABLE 1

(From Fry "Galaxy correlation" (1984))

Several examples

Example 1

Non-Gaussianity in slow-roll phase

(Analytic estimation)

Multi-scalar slow-roll

without specifying the form of potential

(SY, T. Suyama and T. Tanaka (2007))

$$-\frac{6}{5}f_{NL} = 2\left[\epsilon + \frac{\eta_{IJ}}{2V^{K}V_{K}} \left(2V^{I}V^{J} - 4V^{I}\tilde{\Theta}^{J} + \tilde{\Theta}^{I}\tilde{\Theta}^{J}\right)\right]_{\phi=\phi_{f}}^{(0)}$$
$$+ (N_{*}^{I}N_{I}^{*})^{-2} \int_{N_{*}}^{N_{f}} dN N_{I}(N)Q^{I}_{JK}(N) \Theta^{J}(N)\Theta^{K}(N)$$

assumption

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &\equiv \frac{1}{2} \frac{V^{I} V_{I}}{V^{2}} \\ \epsilon &\equiv \frac{1}{2} \frac{V^{I} V_{I}}{V^{2}} \\ \epsilon &\equiv \frac{1}{2} \frac{V^{I} V_{I}}{V^{2}} \\ \epsilon &\sim V / \frac{dV}{dN} \sim \frac{V}{V' \dot{\phi}} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1}) \text{ :duration of inflation} \\ \epsilon &\ll 1 \\ \epsilon &\sim V / \frac{dV}{dN} \sim \frac{V}{V' \dot{\phi}} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1}) \\ \epsilon &\sim V / \frac{dV}{dN} \sim \frac{V}{V' \dot{\phi}} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1}) \\ \epsilon &\sim V / \frac{dV}{dN} \sim \frac{V}{V' \dot{\phi}} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1}) \\ \epsilon &\sim V / \frac{dV}{dN} \sim \frac{V}{V' \dot{\phi}} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1}) \\ \epsilon &\sim V / \frac{dV}{dN} \sim \frac{V}{V' \dot{\phi}} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1}) \\ \epsilon &\sim V / \frac{dV}{dN} \sim \frac{V}{V' \dot{\phi}} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1}) \\ \epsilon &\sim V / \frac{dV}{dN} \sim \frac{V}{V' \dot{\phi}} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1}) \\ \epsilon &\sim V / \frac{dV}{dN} \sim \frac{V}{V' \dot{\phi}} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1}) \\ \epsilon &\sim V / \frac{dV}{dN} \sim \frac{V}{V' \dot{\phi}} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1}) \\ \epsilon &\sim V / \frac{dV}{dN} \sim \frac{V}{V' \dot{\phi}} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1}) \\ \epsilon &\sim V / \frac{dV}{dN} \sim \frac{V}{V' \dot{\phi}} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1}) \\ \epsilon &\sim V / \frac{dV}{dN} \sim \frac{V}{V' \dot{\phi}} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1}) \\ \epsilon &\sim V / \frac{dV}{dN} \sim \frac{V}{V' \dot{\phi}} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1}) \\ \epsilon &\sim V / \frac{dV}{dN} \sim \frac{V}{V' \dot{\phi}} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1}) \\ \epsilon &\sim V / \frac{dV}{dN} \sim \frac{V}{V' \dot{\phi}} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1}) \\ \epsilon &\sim V / \frac{dV}{dN} \sim \frac{V}{V' \dot{\phi}} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1}) \\ \epsilon &\sim V / \frac{dV}{dN} \sim \frac{V}{V' \dot{\phi}} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1}) \\ \epsilon &\sim V / \frac{dV}{dN} \sim \frac{V}{V' \dot{\phi}} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1}) \\ \epsilon &\sim V / \frac{dV}{dN} \sim \frac{V}{V' \dot{\phi}} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1}) \\ \epsilon &\sim V / \frac{dV}{dN} \sim \frac{V}{V' \dot{\phi}} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1}) \\ \epsilon &\sim V / \frac{dV}{dN} \sim \frac{V}{V' \dot{\phi}} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1}) \\ \epsilon &\sim V / \frac{dV}{dN} \sim \frac{V}{V' \dot{\phi}} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1}) \\ \epsilon &\sim V / \frac{V}{dN} \sim \frac{V}{V' \dot{\phi}} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1}) \\ \epsilon &\sim V / \frac{V}{dN} \sim \frac{V}{V' \dot{\phi}} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1}) \\ \epsilon &\sim V / \frac{V}{dN} \sim \frac{V}{V' \dot{\phi}} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1}) \\ \epsilon &\sim V / \frac{V}{dN} \sim \frac{V}{V' \dot{\phi}} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1}) \\ \epsilon &\sim V / \frac{V}{dV} \sim \frac{V}{dV} \quad \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1}) \\ \epsilon &\sim V / \frac{V}{dV} \sim \frac{V}{dV} \quad \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1}) \\ \epsilon &\sim V / \frac{V}{dV} \sim \frac{V}{dV} \quad \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1}) \\ \epsilon &\sim V / \frac{V}{dV} \quad \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1}) \\ \epsilon &\sim V$$

Large non-Gaussianity in slow-roll inflation

Potential;



(Alabidi (2006), Byrnes, Choi and Hall(2008))



Large non-Gaussianity in slow-roll inflation

(Alabidi (2006), Byrnes, Choi and Hall(2008))

potential form for $\eta_{\varphi\varphi} > 0, \eta_{\chi\chi} < 0$



saddle point model
Large non-Gaussianity in slow-roll inflation

(Alabidi (2006), Byrnes, Choi and Hall(2008))



chaotic type

Large non-Gaussianity in slow-roll inflation

(Alabidi (2006), Byrnes, Choi and Hall(2008)) **Results;** various potential parameters and initial conditions

$\eta_{arphiarphi}$	$\eta_{\chi\chi}$	φ_*/M_p	χ_*/M_p	${f}_{NL}$				
-0.01	-0.09	1.0	3.0×10^{-6}	-132	small field model			
$\begin{array}{c} 0.04 \\ 0.04 \end{array}$	$-0.04 \\ -0.04$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0 \\ 1.0 \end{array}$	6.8×10^{-5} 1.5×10^{-4}	$-123 \\ -68$	saddle model			
0.08	0.01	1.0	$1.8 imes 10^{-3}$	9.3	chaotic type			

(from Byrnes, Choi and Hall (2008))

Note that

the important point for generating large non-Gaussianity is $\,\chi_*/M_p \ll 1$

the non-linearity of $\delta \chi_* / \chi_* \Rightarrow$ the large non-Gaussianity of curvature perturbation

(Lyth(2006), Naruko and Sasaki (2008), ...)

Next, let me review a hybrid inflation type model, which have the possibility of generating large non-Gaussianity.

Ordinary hybrid inflation model,

$$V(\phi, \chi) = \frac{\lambda}{4} (\chi^2 - v^2)^2 + \frac{m^2}{2} \phi^2 + \frac{g^2}{2} \phi^2 \chi^2.$$

The effective mass of the waterfall field is

$$m_{\chi}^2 = -\lambda v^2 + g^2 \phi^2$$

Inflation ends at $m_{\chi}^2 = 0$ $\phi = \phi_e = \sqrt{\lambda} v/q$

← tachyonic instability of the waterfall field

the end of inflation hypersurface \bigstar $m_{\chi}^2=0$ hypersurface

In the previous models, the authors have considered the non-Gaussianity of the curvature perturbation on the V=constant hypersurface.



Hybrid inflation - generating curvature perturbation -

At the initial time (horizon crossing time), χ field is massive.

 \rightarrow The perturbation of **x** field is suppressed.

→ The perturbation of χ field does not contribute to generating the curvature perturbations. (almost single slow-roll inflation)

 Modification of the hybrid inflation models so that large non-Gaussianity can be generated

Hybrid inflation + light scalar field (curvaton like)

- Lyth (2005), Lyth and Alabidi (2006)
- Matsuda (2008)
- Sasaki, Naruko and Sasaki (2008)

• curvature perturbation generated at the end of inflation



Using δN formalism,

Leadingly, the powerspectrum is given by

$$\mathcal{P}_{\zeta} = N_{\phi_*}^2 \langle \delta \phi_*^2 \rangle + N_{\phi e}^2 \phi_e'^2 \langle \delta \sigma_*^2 \rangle = \left(N_{\phi_*}^2 + N_{\phi e}^2 \phi_e'^2 \right) \left(\frac{H_*}{2\pi} \right)^2$$

$$= \left(1 + \alpha \right) \left(\frac{H_*}{2\pi \sqrt{\epsilon_*}} \right)^2 \quad \text{assuming} \langle \delta \phi_*^2 \rangle = \langle \delta \sigma_*^2 \rangle = \left(\frac{H_*}{2\pi} \right)^2$$

$$\alpha = \frac{\text{the contribution from } \sigma \text{ (light field)}}{\text{the contribution from } \Phi \text{ (inflaton)}} \equiv \frac{\epsilon_*}{\epsilon_e} \phi_e'^2$$

(cf. Mixed inflaton and curvaton model, mixed inflaton and modulated reheating scenario, Ichikawa, et al.(2008), Ichikawa et al. (2008))

Non-linearity parameters are given by

$$\frac{6}{5}f_{NL} = (2\epsilon_* - \eta_*)(1+\alpha)^{-2} + \alpha^2 \left(2\epsilon_e - \eta_e + \sqrt{2\epsilon_e}\frac{\phi''_e}{\phi'_e^2}\right)(1+\alpha)^{-2}$$
$$\tau_{NL} = (2\epsilon_* - \eta_*)^2 (1+\alpha)^{-3} + \alpha^3 \left(2\epsilon_e - \eta_e + \sqrt{2\epsilon_e}\frac{\phi''_e}{\phi'_e^2}\right)^2 (1+\alpha)^{-3}$$
$$\eta = \frac{V_{\phi\phi}}{V}$$

If one neglect the slow-roll parameters, we have

$$\frac{6}{5}f_{NL} = \left(\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^2 \sqrt{2\epsilon_e} \frac{\phi''_e}{\phi'_e^2} ,$$
$$\tau_{NL} = \left(\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^3 \left(\sqrt{2\epsilon_e} \frac{\phi''_e}{\phi'_e^2}\right)^2$$

The non-linearity is generated from the light field σ

Relation between trispectrum and bispectrum ;

$$\tau_{NL} = \left(\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}\right) \left(\frac{6}{5}f_{NL}\right)^2$$

 $\alpha \gg 1$; The contribution from the fluctuation of σ dominates the curvature perturbation.



Consistency relation;

$$au_{NL} = \left(rac{6}{5} f_{NL}
ight)^2 \,$$
 + O(slow-roll parameter)

 $\alpha \ll 1$; The contribution from the fluctuation of Φ dominates the curvature perturbation.

Modified consistency relation ;

$$\tau_{NL} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{6}{5} f_{NL} \right)^2$$

There is a possibility of generating small bispectrum but large trispectrum.

→ one of the importance of investigating the higher order correlation function

Lyth (2006)

Simple model

$$V = \frac{\lambda}{4} \left(v^2 - \chi^2 \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_{\phi}^2 \phi^2 + \frac{g}{2} \phi^2 \chi^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_{\sigma}^2 \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_{\sigma}^2 \sigma^2$$

parameter;

$$\lambda = 1.0 \times 10^{-10}, v = 0.1, m_{\phi} = 5.0 \times 10^{-9}, m_{\sigma} = 5.0 \times 10^{-10}, g = 1.0 \times 10^{-5}, f = 1.0 \times 10^{-3}, \sigma_* = 4.0 \times 10^{-7}, \phi_* = 0.18.$$



$$\frac{6}{5}f_{NL} \simeq 1.734$$
$$\alpha \simeq 5.25 \times 10^{-3} \quad \tau_{NL} \simeq 569$$





Note that

the important point for generating large non-Gaussianity is $\,\sigma_*/M_p\ll 1$



One loop effect; "Ungaussiton"; Suyama and Takahashi (2008), Cogollo et al. (2008)

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta &= N_{\phi_*} \delta \phi_* + \frac{1}{2} N_{\phi \phi_*} \delta \phi_*^2 + N_{\phi e} \delta \phi_e + \frac{1}{2} N_{\phi \phi e} \delta \phi_e^2 , \\ &= N_{\phi_*} \delta \phi_* + \frac{1}{2} N_{\phi \phi_*} \delta \phi_*^2 + N_{\phi e} \phi'_e \delta \sigma_* + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{N_{\phi \phi e} \phi'_e}{e} + N_{\phi e} \phi''_e \right) \delta \sigma_*^2 \\ &= \frac{N_{\phi *} \delta \phi_* + \frac{1}{2} N_{\phi \phi_*} \delta \phi_*^2 + N_{\phi e} \phi'_e \delta \sigma_* + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{N_{\phi \phi e} \phi'_e}{e} + N_{\phi e} \phi''_e \right) \delta \sigma_*^2 \\ &= \frac{N_{\phi *} \delta \phi_* + \frac{1}{2} N_{\phi \phi_*} \delta \phi_*^2 + N_{\phi e} \phi'_e \delta \sigma_* + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{N_{\phi \phi e} \phi'_e}{e} + N_{\phi e} \phi''_e \right) \delta \sigma_*^2 \\ &= \frac{N_{\phi *} \delta \phi_* + \frac{1}{2} N_{\phi \phi_*} \delta \phi_*^2 + N_{\phi e} \phi'_e \delta \sigma_* + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{N_{\phi \phi e} \phi'_e}{e} + N_{\phi e} \phi''_e \right) \delta \sigma_*^2 \\ &= \frac{N_{\phi *} \delta \phi_* + \frac{1}{2} N_{\phi \phi_*} \delta \phi_*^2 + N_{\phi e} \phi'_e \delta \sigma_* + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{N_{\phi \phi e} \phi'_e}{e} + N_{\phi e} \phi''_e \right) \delta \sigma_*^2 \\ &= \frac{N_{\phi *} \delta \phi_* + \frac{1}{2} N_{\phi \phi_*} \delta \phi_*^2 + N_{\phi e} \phi'_e \delta \sigma_* + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{N_{\phi \phi e} \phi'_e}{N_{\phi \phi \phi}} + \frac{1}{2} N_{\phi \phi \phi} \right) \delta \sigma_*^2 \\ &= \frac{N_{\phi *} \delta \phi_* + \frac{1}{2} N_{\phi \phi *} \delta \phi_*^2 + N_{\phi e} \phi'_e \delta \sigma_* + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{N_{\phi \phi e} \phi'_e}{N_{\phi \phi \phi}} + \frac{1}{2} N_{\phi \phi \phi} \right) \delta \sigma_*^2 \\ &= \frac{N_{\phi *} \delta \phi_* + \frac{1}{2} N_{\phi \phi *} \delta \phi_*^2 + N_{\phi e} \phi'_e \delta \sigma_* + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{N_{\phi \phi e} \phi'_e}{N_{\phi \phi \phi}} + \frac{1}{2} N_{\phi \phi \phi} \right) \delta \sigma_*^2 \\ &= \frac{N_{\phi *} \delta \phi_* + \frac{1}{2} N_{\phi \phi \phi \phi} \delta \phi_* + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{N_{\phi \phi \phi}}{N_{\phi \phi \phi}} + \frac{1}{2} N_{\phi \phi \phi} \right) \delta \sigma_*^2 \\ &= \frac{N_{\phi \phi \phi}}{N_{\phi \phi \phi}} \delta \phi_* + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{N_{\phi \phi \phi}}{N_{\phi \phi}} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{N_{\phi \phi}}{N_{\phi \phi}} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac$$

For
$$\sigma_* = 0$$
,
 $N_{\sigma} = 0 \rightarrow$ For the bispectrum (3-point function),
the leading term comes from 2 x 2 x 2.

$$\frac{6}{5}f_{NL} = \frac{N_{\sigma\sigma}^{3}}{N_{\phi}^{4}}\mathcal{P}_{\zeta}\ln(kL)$$
 box size



There seem to be various types of non-Gaussianity.

One loop

Example 2

The model in which the slow-roll conditions are temporarily violated after the horizon crossing time

(Numerical calculation)

Double inflation, N-flation type (large field model)

(SY, T. Suyama and T.Tanaka (2008))

Two fields chaotic inflation (double inflation) (Silk(1986))

$$V(\phi,\chi) = \frac{1}{2}m_{\phi}^2\phi^2 + \frac{1}{2}m_{\chi}^2\chi^2~.$$

Simple situation







Two fields chaotic inflation – slow-roll parameters -



$$\epsilon \equiv -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}$$
$$\eta_1 \equiv \frac{V_{\phi\phi}}{V} \ \eta_2 \equiv \frac{V_{\chi\chi}}{V}$$

The slow-roll conditions are violated (temporarily) !! → large non-Gaussianity?





Two fields chaotic inflation - non-linear parameter -



Example 3

The non-Gaussianity after the slow-roll phase / around the end of inflation

(numerical calculation)

In the estimation of the non-Gaussianity generated in the slow-roll phase, the authors have evaluated the curvature perturbation on the V=constant hypersurface or the mass of the waterfall field=constant hypersurface.

However, the curvature perturbation still evolve even on the superhorizon scales until the complete convergence of the trajectory in the field space has occurred.

Here, we calculate the curvature perturbation on uniform energy density hypersurface using the δN formalism and check the convergence of the trajectory ($\zeta \rightarrow$ constant)

Then, we evaluate the amplitude of the non-Gaussianity.

•Two models;

Small field-hybrid model (modular inflation)Multi-brid model



 \blacksquare : maximum ; eternal inflation \rightarrow generating perturbation (horizon crossing)

- \blacksquare : saddle point ; waterfall phase \rightarrow inflation ends
-) : minimum ; inflation ends \rightarrow oscillation phase

←→ saddle point model ?

Ref.) Kadota and Stewart (2003)

0.034 0.033 0.032

0.0

Originally, this model is motivated by supergravity/string theory.

- → η problem $m_0^2/H^2 \sim m_0^2/V_0 = O(1)$
- → Introducing Qantum correction term (renormalized mass)

$$\begin{split} V_{loop1} &= -\beta_1 m_0^2 \Phi^2 \left[\log |\Phi| - \frac{1}{2} \right] & \text{effective around maximum point} \\ V_{loop2} &= \beta_2 m_0^2 |\Phi - \Phi_s|^2 \left[\log |\Phi - \Phi_s| - \frac{1}{2} \right] & \text{: effective around saddle point} \\ \Phi &= \Phi_s \text{ ; saddle point} \\ \end{split}$$
Around top $V \sim V_0 - \frac{1}{2} \beta_1 m_0^2 \rho^2 \ln(\rho^2 / \rho_t^2) +$

-0.4

-0.2

flattening Radius;
$$\rho = \rho_t \sim e^{-\frac{1}{\beta_1}}$$
 ring maximum

Due to the coupling parameter β , we can obtain enough e-folding number and scale-invariant perturbation^{-0.2}

Background dynamics

around the top (Due to U(1) symmetry, consider only radius component.)

$$\frac{d^2}{dN^2}\rho + 3\frac{d}{dN}\rho - \frac{\beta_1 m^2}{H^2}\ln\frac{\rho}{\rho_t}\rho = 0$$

slow-roll

$$\implies N_c \sim \frac{V_0}{\beta_1 m^2} \ln \left[\frac{1}{\beta_1} \frac{\rho_t}{\rho_* - \rho_t} \right]$$
$$\rho_c = \rho(N_c) \sim \rho_s, \quad \rho_* \sim \rho_t$$

Nc is a time when the U(1) symmetry is broken.

 \leftarrow \rightarrow close to the saddle point

total e-folding number can be obtained in this phase and

e-folding number as a time coordinate (dN = H dt)

 ρ_* : initial value (at the horizon crossing)



The perturbation of ϕ, χ field are not suppressed and hold the scale invariance



The dynamics of angular component = χ direction $\chi_c \simeq
ho_s heta_* \sim rac{
ho_s}{
ho_t} \chi_*$

$$N_e - N_c \approx \frac{3H^2}{2\mu_s^2} \ln \frac{|\psi_c|}{\chi_c} \qquad \qquad \chi_e \sim \rho_s$$
$$+ \alpha^{-1} \left[\ln \left(\left| \frac{2\mu_s^2}{\beta_2 m^2} - \left(\frac{\chi_c}{|\psi_c|}\right)^{\frac{\beta_2 m^2}{2\mu_s^2}} \ln \frac{|\psi_c|}{\psi_s} \right| \right) - \ln \left(\left| \ln \frac{\chi_e}{\chi_s} \right| \right) \right]$$

From the δN formalism,

 $\zeta = N_{\phi}\delta\phi_* + N_{\chi}\delta\chi_* + \frac{1}{2}N_{\phi\phi}\delta\phi_*^2 + \frac{1}{2}N_{\chi\chi}\delta\chi_*^2$

If the contribution from the fluctuation of the waterfall direction (angular component) dominates, then we have

$$rac{6}{5}f_{NL}=rac{N_{\chi\chi}}{N_{\chi}^2}\simeq O\left(rac{m^2}{V_0}
ight)=O(1)$$
 ; detectable level !?



Numerical result

$$\frac{m^2}{V_0} = 1.0, \ \nu = 1.0, \ \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0.1$$

For $\theta_* = 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$
 $\frac{6}{5} f_{NL} = 1.552$





Dependence on Parameters mass parameter

$\frac{m^2}{V_0}$	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	6.0
$\frac{6}{5}f_{NL}$	1.55	2.57	3.28	3.94	4.60	5.09
$ au_{NL}$	2.41	6.58	10.71	15.46	20.55	25.77

; $\frac{\beta_1 m^2}{V_0} = 0.1$ is fixed (total e-folding number is also fixed.)

Future experiments ; $\frac{6}{5}f_{NL} \ge 3-5$ Trispectrum ; $au_{NL} \simeq \left(\frac{6}{5}f_{NL}\right)^2$

- Probability Distribution Function of Initial Angle -

Preferred initial angle? ?

$$\begin{split} P(\ln \theta_*) \propto \theta_* \, e^{3N(\ln \theta_*)} & : \text{Probability distribution function} \\ \hline \text{Large volume is preferable} \\ \text{Avoid} \ \theta_* = 0 \text{ (topological defect)} \end{split}$$

$$\frac{d\ln P(\ln \theta_*)}{d\ln \theta_*} = 3\frac{dN}{d\ln \theta_*} + 1 \bigg|_{\theta_* = \theta_m} = 0$$

: maximal at $\theta_* = \theta_m$

$$\frac{d^2 \ln P(\ln \theta_*)}{d \ln \theta_*^2} = 3 \frac{d^2 N}{d \ln \theta_*^2} \bigg|_{\theta_* = \theta_m} < 0$$

From the analysis of e-folding number

$$\ln heta_m \sim -1/eta_2$$
 small angle is preferable!!

<u>Small field – hybrid type model</u>

Discussion

: maximal at $\theta_* = \theta_m$

$$\frac{d\ln P(\ln \theta_*)}{d\ln \theta_*} = 3\frac{dN}{d\ln \theta_*} + 1 \bigg|_{\theta_* = \theta_m} = 0 ,$$

$$\frac{d^2 \ln P(\ln \theta_*)}{d \ln \theta_*^2} = 3 \frac{d^2 N}{d \ln \theta_*^2} \bigg|_{\theta_* = \theta_m} < 0$$

In order for the maximal value to exist, the necessary condition is

$$rac{m_0^2}{V_0} \sim O(1)$$

: If we consider the large mass parameter,

 \rightarrow The angular dependence of the total e-foldings becomes small

ightarrowHence, the PDF is $\propto heta_*$

 \rightarrow and the trajectories which close the saddle point are not preferable

➔ Thus, f_NL seems also to be ~ 1 at the most ?? Can we detect ??



ν	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0		
$\frac{6}{5}f_{NL}$	1.55	1.51	1.51	1.54	1.62		
fixed $eta_1=0.1,rac{m^2}{V_0}=1.0$							

The dependence on the non-linear parameter seems to be weak.

Discussion

Probability Distribution Function of Initial Angle



work in progress

In order to realize the tachyonic instability in the classical background level,

we introduce the artificial potential tem as;

$$V = \frac{\lambda}{4} \left(v^2 - \chi^2 \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_{\phi}^2 \phi^2 + \frac{g}{2} \phi^2 \chi^2$$
; conventional

; conventional hybrid model

$$+ \frac{f}{2}\sigma^2\chi^2 + \frac{1}{2}m_{\sigma}^2\sigma^2 + V_{add}$$
; "iso-curvature" field ; artificial term

$$V_{add} = \frac{1}{2}g_h^2 \chi(\chi - 2v) \frac{\tanh\left[\frac{\phi_e - \phi}{\phi_n}\right] + 1}{2}$$

Multi-brid type



<u>Multi-brid type</u>

Numerical results

For $\sigma_* = 4.0 \times 10^{-7}$

$$\alpha = N_{\sigma}^2 / N_{\phi}^2$$

 $\frac{6}{5}f_{NL} \simeq 1.26 \qquad \tau_{NL} \simeq 377$ $\alpha \simeq 4.26 \times 10^{-3}$

We can obtain the relation as

$$\tau_{NL} \simeq \left(\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}\right) \left(\frac{6}{5} f_{NL}\right)^2$$

For
$$\sigma_* = 4.0 \times 10^{-5}$$

 $\frac{6}{5} f_{NL} \simeq 6.71$
 $\alpha \simeq 43.0$
 $\tau_{NL} \simeq 46.0$
 $\tau_{NL} \simeq \left(\frac{6}{5} f_{NL}\right)^2$

<u>Multi-brid type</u>

Time evolution of the non-linearity parameter fNL


Summary and Future issues

Precision cosmology era

We can determine/constrain possible models/theories of the early universe (inflation model, ...) from observations (CMB anisotropies, ...).

The primordial non-Gaussianity has been considered as one of the new cosmological parameters, which bring us valuable information about the mechanism of generating primordial perturbations (inflation model).

Many authors have proposed and analyzed the models in which there are possibilities of generating large non-Gaussianity.

Theoretical side

How can large non-Gaussianity (local type) be realized ?

•Multi-component field

 (inflaton Φ + a light field σ (generating NG field))
 dominant
 subdominant

(${\bigstar}$ Single field case ; $\dot{\zeta}=0~$ on super-horizon scales)

•Large
$$\delta\sigma/\sigma$$

 $\begin{cases} \delta\sigma \sim H_{\text{inf}} \ll M_{\text{Pl}} \\ \text{(cf. GUT scale inflation; } H_{\text{inf}} \sim 10^{-6}M_{\text{Pl}}) \\ \sigma \ll M_{\text{Pl}} \end{cases}$
 $\mathbf{\leftarrow} \text{ Is it natural ?}$

Observational side

- Higher order correlation function of CMB anisotropies
 - bispectrum, trispectrum, ... higher ... ?
 - local type, equilateral type
- relation between NG and residual iso-curvature perturbation (CDM iso-curv., Baryon iso-curv., ...)
- effects on the large scale structure

 - power spectrum
 bi-spectrum
 halo mass function
 - void abundance

(Taruya et al. (2008), Sefusatti and Komatsu (2007), LoVerde et al. (2008), Kamionkowski et al. (2009), ...)

Curvaton and Modulated Reheating scenarios

Curvaton scenario (Lyth and Wands, Moroi and Takahashi(2003),)

Two scalar fields (inflaton: Φ + light scalar field (curvaton): σ) $m_{\phi} \gg m_{\sigma}$



Curvaton scenario

Perturbations



Modulated reheating scenario (Dvali et al. (2004),) Two scalar fields (inflaton: Φ + light scalar field : σ)

Reheating occurs

$$H = \Gamma_{\phi}$$

If $\ \ \Gamma_{\phi} = \Gamma_{\phi}(\sigma)$; σ is a light scalar field

then,

$$\zeta = N_{\phi}\delta\phi + N_{\sigma}\delta\sigma + \cdots$$
$$N_{\sigma} = \frac{\partial N}{\partial \Gamma_{\phi}}\Gamma_{\phi}'(\sigma) \qquad \Gamma_{\phi}'(\sigma) = \frac{\partial \Gamma_{\phi}(\sigma)}{\partial \sigma}$$

The spectra (amplitude and non-Gaussianity) depend on the dependence of the decay rate on the light field.

Efficient diagrammatic method

- Diagrammatic method - (SY, T. Suyama and T. Tanaka(2009))

The curvature perturbation is independent of the initial time. So we can shift the time at which the hypersurface is taken to be flat one, to the final time and then we have,..

Based on this expression, instead of considering the evolution of N_{ab}, N_{abc}, \cdots

We need to calculate the non-linear evolution of $\,\delta arphi^a$

 $\delta \varphi^a_{_F}$ is no longer Gaussian statistics.

Evolution equation; (perturbed background eq. $\leftarrow \rightarrow \delta N$ formalism)

$$\frac{d}{dN}\delta\varphi^{a}(N) = P^{a}_{b}\delta\varphi^{b}(N) + \frac{1}{2}Q^{a}_{(3)bc}(N)\delta\varphi^{b}(N)\delta\varphi^{c}(N) + \cdots + \frac{1}{(\ell-1)!}Q^{a}_{(\ell)b_{1}b_{2}\cdots b_{\ell-1}}(N)\delta\varphi^{b_{1}}(N)\delta\varphi^{b_{2}}(N)\cdots\delta\varphi^{b_{\ell-1}}(N) + \cdots,$$

As a time coordinate, we choose e-folding number.

 $P^a_{\ b} \ , \ Q^a_{(\ell)b_1b_2\cdots b_{\ell-1}}$;decided by the background quantities

As a solution, we have

$$\begin{split} \delta\varphi_F^a &= \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \delta_{\varphi}^{(m)}{}_{F}^{a}, \\ \delta_{\varphi}^{(m)}{}_{a}^{a} \text{ is composed of } \delta\varphi_*^{a_1} \cdots \delta\varphi_*^{a_m} \end{split}$$

Using this solutions, the curvature perturbation can be described as

$$\zeta(N_F) = \sum \frac{1}{n!} N_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n}^F \delta \varphi_F^{a_1} \delta \varphi_F^{a_2} \dots \delta \varphi_F^{a_n} ,$$

written by local quantities at the final time $\,N=N_F\,$

Focusing on $\delta \varphi_{F}^{(m)a}$ The evolution can be described as tree-shaped diagram \rightarrow

Procedure;

- ✓ start with
- \checkmark attach a line downward
- \checkmark attach an interaction vertex \bigotimes
- ✓ repeat until all the end point are terminated by a half open circle.
- ullet ; N_a^F
- $\bigotimes; \ Q^a_{(\ell)bc\cdots}; \text{ interaction vertex}$ $\bigcirc; \ \delta\varphi^a_*$

—; $\Lambda^a_b(N,N')$; propagator



First, we consider $\ \zeta_F^{(\mathrm{lin})} = N_a^F \delta \varphi_F^a, \ \$;linear term in terms of $\ \delta \varphi_F^a$

$$\delta \varphi_F^a = \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \delta^{(m)}_{F} \varphi_F^a,$$

In order to obtain the higher order correlation func., We only need to consider the two-point correlation between half open circles in different tree-shaped diagram as

$$\langle \delta \varphi^a_*(k_1) \delta \varphi^b_*(k_2) \rangle \equiv A^{ab} P(k_1) \delta(\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2)$$

in order not to produce loop-diagram (higher-order in δ)

 \bigcirc ; A^{ab} : contraction vertex

We can associate n-point function with the diagram





We can consider this diagram as some limiting form of the previous diagram which corresponds to the linear contribution.

In the formulation procedure,

$$\begin{split} N_a^F \Lambda_c^a(N_F, N) Q_{(\ell)b_1 \cdots b_{\ell-1}}^c(N) &\longrightarrow \\ N_a^F \Lambda_c^a(N_F, N) \hat{Q}_{(\ell)b_1 \cdots b_{\ell-1}}^c(N) & \varepsilon; \text{ small} \\ &\equiv N_a^F \Lambda_c^a(N_F, N) Q_{(\ell)b_1 \cdots b_{\ell-1}}^c(N) + N_{b_1 \cdots b_{\ell-1}}^F \delta (N - (N_F - \varepsilon)), \end{split}$$

As a result, we can describe the all contributions to n-point function as a diagram. Using this diagrammatic method, we can reduce to the problem of solving vector quantities.

For example, bi-spectrum is,...



downward; $\frac{d}{dN}N_a(N) = -P_a^b(N)N_b(N)$, Solving backward in time with $N_a(N_F) = N_a^F$ upward; $\frac{d}{dN}\tilde{N}^a(N) = P_b^a(N)\tilde{N}^b(N)$, Solving forward in time with $\tilde{N}^a(N_*) = A^{ab}N_b(N_*)$ - Diagrammatic method – bi-spectrum - -

Contracting all indices and integrating over the time, we have

$$\frac{6}{5}f_{NL} = \underbrace{W^{*}_{*}}_{\text{bispectrum}} \begin{bmatrix} N_{ab}^{F}\tilde{N}^{a}(N_{F})\tilde{N}^{b}(N_{F}) + \int_{N_{*}}^{N_{F}} dNN_{a}(N)Q_{(3)bc}^{a}(N)\tilde{N}^{b}(N)\tilde{N}^{c}(N) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\boxed{\text{non-linear in terms of }} \delta \varphi_{F}^{a}$$

$$\boxed{\text{power spectrum}}; \frac{P_{\xi}}{P} = A^{ab}N_{a}(N_{*})N_{b}(N_{*}) \equiv W_{*},$$

$$\boxed{\text{We can obtain the same result.}}$$
Of course, we can focus on another vertex, then,
$$\boxed{\frac{6}{5}f_{NL} = W^{-2}_{*}A^{ab}N_{a}(N_{*})\Omega_{b}(N_{*})}$$

$$\boxed{\frac{d}{dN}\Omega_{a}(N) = -\Omega_{b}(N)P_{a}^{b}(N) - N_{b}(N)Q_{(3)ac}^{b}(N)\tilde{N}^{c}(N)}{\Omega_{a}(N_{F})} = N_{ab}^{F}\tilde{N}^{b}(N_{F})}$$

- Diagrammatic method - tri-spectrum - -

Trispectrum (2 parameters)



$$\tau_{NL} = W_*^{-3} \left[A^{ab} \Omega_a(N_*) \Omega_b(N_*) \right],$$



- Diagrammatic method – quad-spectrum - -

5-point function (quad-spectrum)



- Diagrammatic method – quad-spectrum - -

5-point function (quad-spectrum)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_{1}} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_{2}} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_{3}} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_{4}} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_{5}} \rangle_{c} &= u_{NL}^{(1)} \left(P_{\zeta}(k_{1}) P_{\zeta}(k_{12}) P_{\zeta}(k_{45}) P_{\zeta}(k_{5}) + 59 \text{ perms.} \right) \\ &+ u_{NL}^{(2)} \left(P_{\zeta}(k_{1}) P_{\zeta}(k_{12}) P_{\zeta}(k_{4}) P_{\zeta}(k_{5}) + 59 \text{ perms.} \right) \\ &+ u_{NL}^{(3)} \left(P_{\zeta}(k_{1}) P_{\zeta}(k_{2}) P_{\zeta}(k_{3}) P_{\zeta}(k_{4}) + 4 \text{ perms.} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$u_{NL}^{(1)} = W_*^{-4} \left\{ \int_{N_*}^{N_F} dN N_a(N) \hat{Q}^a_{(3)bc}(N) \tilde{\Omega}^b(N) \tilde{\Omega}^c(N) \right\} \,,$$

$$\begin{split} u_{NL}^{(2)} &= W_*^{-4} \int_{N_*}^{N_F} dN \Biggl\{ N_a(N) \hat{Q}_{(4)bcd}^a(N) \tilde{\Omega}^b(N) \tilde{N}^c(N) \tilde{N}^d(N) + 3\Omega_a(N) \hat{Q}_{(3)bcd}^a(N) \tilde{\Omega}^b(N) \tilde{N}^c(N) \Biggr\} , \\ u_{NL}^{(3)} &= W_*^{-4} \int_{N_*}^{N_F} dN \Biggl\{ N_a(N) \hat{Q}_{(5)bcde}^a(N) \tilde{N}^b(N) \tilde{N}^c(N) \tilde{N}^d(N) \tilde{N}^e(N) + 6\Phi_a(N) \hat{Q}_{(3)bc}^a(N) \tilde{N}^b(N) \tilde{N}^c(N) \\ &+ 4\Omega_a(N) \hat{Q}_{(4)bcd}^a(N) \tilde{N}^b(N) \tilde{N}^c(N) \tilde{N}^d(N) + 12\Psi_a(N) \hat{Q}_{(3)bc}^a(N) \tilde{\Omega}^b(N) \tilde{N}^c(N) \\ &+ 3N_a(N) \hat{Q}_{(3)bc}^a(N) \tilde{\Pi}^b(N) \tilde{\Pi}^c(N) \Biggr\} . \end{split}$$

- the number of parameters for n-point functions --

For trispectrum, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \zeta(\mathbf{k}_1)\zeta(\mathbf{k}_2)\zeta(\mathbf{k}_3)\zeta(\mathbf{k}_4) \rangle &= \left[\tau_{NL} \left(P(k_{13})P(k_3)P(k_4) + (11\text{perms}) \right) \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{54}{25} g_{NL} \left(P(k_2)P(k_3)P(k_4) + (3\text{perms}) \right) \right] \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3 + \mathbf{k}_4) \end{aligned}$$

Then, we need 2 parameters which have different wave number dependence.







- Loop correction (higher order in $\delta)$ -

One-loop contributions

and the NG generated on sub-horizon scales (equilateral, non-local type)





(Byrnes, et al.(2007), Rodriguez and Valenzuela-Toledo(2008))

For this diagram, we can not reduce the problem to that of solving the vector quantities.

NG generated on sub-horizon scales

(Seery and Lidsey (2005,2007))

$$\begin{split} & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & &$$

- Why can the calculation be reduced ? -

If straightforward, ...



We have to solve all the components in order to calculate the final curvature perturbation.

- Why can the calculation be reduced ? -

If our formula, ...



• What we need is only the curvature perturbation on final hypersurface.

- We do not need to know the evolution of all the components of multi-scalar.
- We consider only the part of the perturbation that contributes to the final curvature perturbation.