

**Exercise 2.3.8** Show that if  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is Lebesgue measurable and  $f = g$  a.e., then  $g$  is also Lebesgue measurable.

Let us use the following notations;  $\{f \neq g\} = \{x \in [a, b] \mid f(x) \neq g(x)\}$ ,  $\{f < s\} = \{x \in [a, b] \mid f(x) < s\}$ .

For any  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and for any  $x \in \{g > s\} (= \{x \in [a, b] \mid g(x) > s\})$ ,  $x \notin \{f \neq g\} \iff f(x) = g(x) \Rightarrow x \in \{f > s\}$ , so  $\{g > s\} = (\{g > s\} \cap \{f \neq g\}) \cup (\{f > s\} \cap \{f \neq g\}^c)$ . Since  $f = g$  a.e.,  $\{f \neq g\}$  has measure 0, and so  $\{g > s\} \cap \{f \neq g\}$  has outer measure 0, which means it's Lebesgue measurable. Indeed,  $m^*(\{g > s\} \cap \{f \neq g\}) \leq m^*(\{f \neq g\}) = m(\{f \neq g\}) = 0$ . The complement  $\{f \neq g\}^c$  is also Lebesgue measurable. In addition,  $\{f > s\}$  is Lebesgue measurable due to  $f$ 's Lebesgue measurability. Therefore,  $\{g > s\}$  is Lebesgue measurable for any  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , so we conclude  $g$  is Lebesgue measurable.

### Exercise 2.3.10

(i) Show that  $\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_j(x)$  and  $\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_j(x)$  always exist.

Recall  $f^*(x) = \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_j(x) := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \sup_{k \geq j} f_k(x) \right\}$  and  $f_*(x) = \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_j(x) := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \inf_{k \geq j} f_k(x) \right\}$ .

For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\left\{ \sup_{k \geq j} f_k(x) \right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\left\{ \inf_{k \geq j} f_k(x) \right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  are a monotonically decreasing and increasing sequence respectively. If  $\sup_{k \geq j} f_k(x) = \pm \infty$  for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_j(x) = \pm \infty$ . If not, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|f_j(x)| < M$  for any  $j \geq N$ , namely  $\left\{ \sup_{k \geq j} f_k(x) \right\}_{j=N}^{\infty}$  is bounded. Since a bounded monotone sequence always converges (monotone convergence theorem), the limit of the sequence exists. The same argument can be applied to  $\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_j(x)$ .

(ii) Show that  $f_*(x) \leq f^*(x)$ .

For any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\inf_{k \geq j} f_k(x) \leq \sup_{k \geq j} f_k(x)$  from the definition of supremum and infimum. The inequality (with the equal sign) remains true as  $j$  goes to  $\infty$ .

(iii) Show that  $f_*(x) = f^*(x)$  if and only if  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_j(x)$  exists.

Firstly, we suppose  $f_*(x) = f^*(x)$ . Let  $\alpha := f^*(x) (= f_*(x))$ . We observe that

$\inf_{k \geq j} f_k(x) \leq f_j(x) \leq \sup_{k \geq j} f_k(x)$ . for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , Since  $\sup_{k \geq j} f_k(x)$  and  $\inf_{k \geq j} f_k(x)$  converge to  $\alpha$ ,

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\alpha - \varepsilon \leq \inf_{k \geq j} f_k(x) \leq f_j(x) \leq \sup_{k \geq j} f_k(x) \leq \alpha + \varepsilon$ , for any  $j > N$ .

This indicates  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_j(x)$  exists with its value  $\alpha$ .

Conversely, if we suppose  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_j(x)$  exists and  $\beta := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_j(x)$ , then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any  $j > N$ ,  $|\beta - f_j(x)| < \varepsilon$ , namely  $\beta - \varepsilon < f_j(x) < \beta + \varepsilon$ .

So we observe  $\beta - \varepsilon \leq \inf_{k \geq j} f_k(x) \leq f_j(x) \leq \sup_{k \geq j} f_k(x) \leq \beta + \varepsilon$  for any  $j > N$ .

Thus we get  $|\beta - \inf_{k \geq j} f_k(x)|, |\beta - \sup_{k \geq j} f_k(x)| \leq \varepsilon$ , which indicates both  $\left\{ \inf_{k \geq j} f_k(x) \right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\left\{ \sup_{k \geq j} f_k(x) \right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  converge to  $\beta$  and leads the conclusion.

## Proof of theorem 2.3.11

**Theorem 2.3.11.** Let  $f_j : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be Lebesgue measurable functions, and assume that  $(f_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a pointwise bounded sequence. Then the two functions  $f^*$  and  $f_*$  are also Lebesgue measurable on  $[a, b]$ .

For each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , we set functions  $\sup_{f_j}$  and  $\inf_{f_j}$  as  $\sup_{f_j}(x) := \sup_{k \geq j} f_k(x)$  and  $\inf_{f_j}(x) := \inf_{k \geq j} f_k(x)$ ,  $x \in [a, b]$ .

If we observe that  $\sup_{f_j}$  and  $\inf_{f_j}$  are Lebesgue measurable functions, then it can be concluded that

$$f^*(x) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \sup_{k \geq j} f_k(x) \right\} = \inf_{j \geq 1} \left\{ \sup_{k \geq j} f_k(x) \right\} = \inf_{\sup_{f_j}}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad f_*(x) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \inf_{k \geq j} f_k(x) \right\} = \sup_{j \geq 1} \left\{ \inf_{k \geq j} f_k(x) \right\} = \sup_{\inf_{f_j}}(x)$$

are Lebesgue measurable functions.

(Note that the second steps of the two equalities come from the property of supremum and infimum that  $\left\{ \sup_{k \geq j} f_k(x) \right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\left\{ \inf_{k \geq j} f_k(x) \right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  are a monotonically decreasing and increasing sequence respectively.)

So we only need to show the Lebesgue measurability of  $\sup_{f_j}$  and  $\inf_{f_j}$ .

Let us use the same notations we used in Exercise 2.3.8. For any  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$x \in \{ \sup_{f_j} > s \} \iff \sup_{k \geq j} f_k(x) > s \iff \exists k_0 \geq j \text{ s.t. } \left( \sup_{k \geq j} f_k(x) \geq \right) f_{k_0}(x) > s \iff \exists k_0 \geq j \text{ s.t. } x \in \{ f_{k_0} > s \} \iff x \in \bigcup_{k \geq j} \{ f_k > s \},$$

so  $\{ \sup_{f_j} > s \} = \bigcup_{k \geq j} \{ f_k > s \}$ . Since each  $f_k$  is a Lebesgue measurable function,  $\{ f_k > s \}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  are

Lebesgue measurable sets. From a property of Lebesgue measurable sets (in particular, the property that the class of Lebesgue measurable sets is a  $\sigma$ -algebra or a completely additive class), the union of Lebesgue measurable sets  $\{ \sup_{f_j} > s \} = \bigcup_{k \geq j} \{ f_k > s \}$  is a Lebesgue measurable set.

Therefore,  $\sup_{f_j}$  is a Lebesgue measurable function.

We can now easily observe that  $\inf_{f_j}$  is also a Lebesgue measurable function since

$$\inf_{f_j}(x) = \inf_{k \geq j} f_k(x) = - \sup_{k \geq j} \{-f_k(x)\} \quad \text{and it can be applied to the above.}$$

## Proof of corollary 2.3.12

**Corollary 2.3.12.** Let  $f_j : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be Lebesgue measurable functions, and assume that  $(f_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a pointwise bounded sequence. Assume that for each  $x \in [a, b]$ , the functions  $f_j$  have a limit as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , namely  $\exists f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_j(x) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ . Then the function  $f$  is a Lebesgue measurable function on  $[a, b]$ .

From the result of Exercise 2.3.10. (iii) that we showed above,  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_j(x) = f^*(x)$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$  if it exists (and it really does here).

Since  $f^*$  is Lebesgue measurable from Theorem 2.3.11,  $f(x) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_j(x)$  is also Lebesgue measurable.

Extra

## Lebesgue measurability of composite functions

Let  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a Lebesgue measurable function, and  $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. Then the composite function  $g \circ f$  is also a Lebesgue measurable function.

Let us take any  $s > 0$ . Since  $g$  is continuous,  $\{g > s\}$  is an open set on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Indeed, for any  $\alpha \in \{g > s\}$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|x - \alpha| < \delta \Rightarrow |g(x) - g(\alpha)| < \frac{g(\alpha) - s}{2}$ , namely  $s < \frac{g(\alpha) + s}{2} < g(x) < \frac{3g(\alpha) - s}{2}$  for any  $x \in [\alpha - \delta, \alpha + \delta]$ , which means an open ball  $B_\delta(\alpha) \subset \{g > s\}$ .

We now set  $I_j := (j-1, j+1) \cap \{g > s\}$  for each  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where we can take intervals  $\{I_j\}$  by dividing them if necessary and reordering  $\{I_j\}$  while skipping empty sets.

Then  $\{I_j\}_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}$  is a countable group of open intervals and  $\{g > s\} = \bigcup_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} I_j$ .

So  $\{g \circ f > s\} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f(x) \in \{g > s\}\} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f(x) \in I_j\} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}(I_j)$ .

For each  $j$ , if we write  $I_j = (a_j, b_j)$ ,  $f^{-1}(I_j) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f(x) \in (a_j, b_j)\} = \{f > a_j\} \cap \{f < b_j\}$ .

Since  $f$  is a Lebesgue measurable function, both  $\{f > a_j\}$ ,  $\{f < b_j\}$  are Lebesgue measurable sets, so is  $f^{-1}(I_j)$ , and so is the union  $\{g \circ f > s\} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}(I_j)$ .

Therefore,  $g \circ f$  is a Lebesgue measurable function.