

# Spectrum and Eigenvalues of Bounded Multiplication Operators

M1 Ayumi UKAI (Student ID:322201078)

Let  $M_\varphi$  be a multiplication operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $M_\varphi f = \varphi f$ . If  $\varphi$  is an essentially bounded function, in short  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $M_\varphi$  is also bounded. This is because the operator norm of  $M_\varphi$  equals to the essential supremum of  $|\varphi|$ . In this report, let us characterize the spectrum and the eigenvalues of multiplication operators and find the multiplicity of an eigenvector.

First of all, if  $\varphi$  is a continuous function then  $\sigma(M_\varphi)$  becomes  $\overline{\text{Ran } \varphi} \subset \mathbb{C}$ , where  $\text{Ran } \varphi$  denotes the range of  $\varphi$  and  $\overline{\text{Ran } \varphi}$  denotes the closure of  $\text{Ran } \varphi$ . If  $\lambda$  does not belong to  $\overline{\text{Ran } \varphi}$ , then there exists an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\varphi(x) - \lambda| \geq \epsilon$ . This implies  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\varphi(x) - \lambda|^{-1} \leq \epsilon^{-1}$ . Since  $\psi := (\varphi - \lambda)^{-1}$  is a bounded function, the bounded operator  $M_\psi$  can be defined and  $M_\psi$  is the inverse of  $M_\varphi - \lambda I$ . Hence,  $\lambda$  does not belong to  $\sigma(M_\varphi)$ . Thus,  $\sigma(M_\varphi) \subset \overline{\text{Ran } \varphi}$ . On the other hand, supposed that  $\lambda \in \text{Ran } \varphi$ , then for all natural numbers  $n$ ,  $B(\lambda, 1/n)$  denote the open ball around  $\lambda$  with radius  $1/n$  and let  $E_n$  be the inverse image of  $B(\lambda, 1/n)$  by  $\varphi$ . By continuity of  $\varphi$ , each  $E_n$  is an open set of  $\mathbb{R}$  and not empty, and if necessary  $E_n$  can be regarded as of finite measure. This is because there exists at least one of  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $E_n \cap [j, j+1)$  is not of measure zero, and consider such an  $E_n \cap [j, j+1)$  instead of  $E_n$ . Thus, the indicator function  $1_{E_n}$  belongs to  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and is not zero. This leads the following estimate:

$$\|(M_\varphi - \lambda I)1_{E_n}\|^2 = \int |(\varphi(x) - \lambda)1_{E_n}(x)|^2 dx \leq \sup_{x \in E_n} |\varphi(x) - \lambda|^2 \|1_{E_n}\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{n^2} \|1_{E_n}\|^2.$$

Suppose now that  $\lambda$  does not belong to  $\sigma(M_\varphi)$ , then there exists a bounded inverse for  $M_\varphi - \lambda I$ . Meanwhile, the above estimate implies the following result.

$$\|(M_\varphi - \lambda I)^{-1}\| \geq \frac{\|(M_\varphi - \lambda I)^{-1}(M_\varphi - \lambda I)1_{E_n}\|}{\|(M_\varphi - \lambda I)1_{E_n}\|} = \frac{\|1_{E_n}\|}{\|(M_\varphi - \lambda I)1_{E_n}\|} \geq n.$$

This holds for all natural numbers  $n$  and this contradict is the boundedness of  $(M_\varphi - \lambda I)^{-1}$ . Therefore,  $\lambda$  is contained in  $\sigma(M_\varphi)$ . Since  $\text{Ran } \varphi \subset \sigma(M_\varphi)$  and  $\sigma(M_\varphi)$  is closed,  $\overline{\text{Ran } \varphi} \subset \sigma(M_\varphi)$ .  $\sigma(M_\varphi) = \overline{\text{Ran } \varphi}$  has been shown.

When  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\lambda \in \sigma(M_\varphi)$  if and only if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  the measure of  $\varphi^{-1}(B(\lambda, \epsilon))$  is not zero, where  $\varphi^{-1}(B(\lambda, \epsilon))$  denotes the inverse image of the set  $B(\lambda, \epsilon) \subset \mathbb{C}$  by  $\varphi$ . If there exists an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\varphi^{-1}(B(\lambda, \epsilon))$  is of measure zero, then since difference on measure zero can be ignored as  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , a bounded inverse  $M_{(\varphi - \lambda)^{-1}}$  can be defined, whose norm is  $1/\epsilon$  or less. On the other hand, if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  the measure of  $\varphi^{-1}(B(\lambda, \epsilon))$  is not zero and suppose that  $M_\varphi - \lambda$  has a bounded inverse, then this leads to a contradiction in the same way as the case when  $\varphi$  is continuous.

Let us think about the eigenvalue of  $M_\varphi$ .  $\lambda \in \sigma_p(M_\varphi)$  if and only if  $\varphi^{-1}(\{\lambda\})$  is not of measure zero. Indeed, if  $\varphi^{-1}(\{\lambda\})$  is not of measure zero, then there exists at least one of  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\varphi^{-1}(\{\lambda\}) \cap [j, j+1)$  is not of measure zero. Let  $F_\lambda$  be such a  $\varphi^{-1}(\{\lambda\}) \cap [j, j+1)$ , then the indicator

function is an eigenvector of  $M_\varphi$  with an eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . On the other hand, if  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $M_\varphi$  then there exists the eigenvector  $f$  and the support of  $f$  is included in  $\varphi^{-1}(\{\lambda\})$ . Thus,  $\varphi^{-1}(\{\lambda\})$  is not of measure zero.

As for the multiplicity, any eigenvalue has infinite multiplicity. It will be shown that for any natural number  $n$ , an eigenvalue has  $n$  linearly independent eigenvectors. Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue, and then the above result implies  $\varphi^{-1}(\{\lambda\})$  is not of measure zero. There exists at least one of  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\varphi^{-1}(\{\lambda\}) \cap [j, j+1)$  is not of measure zero. Let  $G_\lambda$  be such a  $\varphi^{-1}(\{\lambda\}) \cap [j, j+1)$ , Let  $\alpha := \mu(G_\lambda)$ , where  $\mu(G_\lambda)$  denotes the measure of  $G_\lambda$ , and  $I_j := [\alpha j/n, \alpha(j+1)/n)$  for any integer  $j$ . Since  $\alpha = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu(G_\lambda \cap I_j)$  and  $0 \leq \mu(G_\lambda \cap I_j) \leq \alpha/n$  for any integer  $j$ , at least  $n$  of  $j$ 's,  $G_\lambda \cap I_j$  is not of measure zero. These  $j$ 's are denoted by  $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n$ . Since  $G_\lambda \cap I_j$ 's are mutually disjoint, the indicator functions are linearly independent and they are  $n$  linearly independent eigenvectors.