

# report

M1 Renta Yagi (Student ID : 322201318)

Exercise 2.4.16

We write  $\Phi : \Omega \ni x \mapsto \tau_x \in \Omega(C(\Omega))$ , and we prove that  $\Phi$  is a homeomorphism.

$\Phi$  is continuous:

Let  $\{x_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  be a net converging a point  $x \in \Omega$ . Since the topology of  $\Omega(C(\Omega))$  is weak\* topology, we have  $\tau_{x_\lambda} \rightarrow \tau_x$  since for all  $f \in C(\Omega)$ ,  $f(x_\lambda) \rightarrow f(x)$ . So  $\Phi$  is continuous.

$\Phi$  is bijective:

If  $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$  are distinct point, by Urisohn's lemma there is a function  $f \in C(\Omega)$  such that  $f(x_1) = 0$  and  $f(x_2) = 1$ . Therefore  $\Phi(x_1) \neq \Phi(x_2)$ . To show surjectivity of  $\Phi$ , we take  $\tau \in \Omega(C(\Omega))$ . Then  $\ker(\tau)$  become a proper  $C^*$  subalgebra of  $C(\Omega)$ , and  $\ker(\tau)$  separates points of  $\Omega$ . Because we can find some function  $f \in C(\Omega)$  such that  $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$  for some distinct point  $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$ . And we take  $g = f - \tau(f)$ ,  $g$  belongs to  $\ker(\tau)$  and  $g(x_1) \neq g(x_2)$ . By Stone-Weierstrass Theorem, there is a point  $x \in \Omega$  such that  $f(x) = 0$  for all  $f \in \ker(\tau)$ . Applying this for  $g$ , we have

$$0 = (f - \tau(f))(x) = f(x) - \tau(f)(x) = f(x) - \tau(f).$$

So,  $f(x) = \tau(f)$  for all  $f \in C(\Omega)$  i.e.  $\tau = \Phi(x)$ .

As a result, we prove that  $\Phi$  is continuous and bijective on  $\Omega$ . Since  $\Omega$  is compact,  $\Phi(\Omega)$  is compact and for any closed subset  $F \subset \Omega$ ,  $(\Phi^{-1})^{-1}(F) = \Phi(F)$  is closed because  $\Omega$  is Hausdorff and  $\Phi$  is continuous. Therefore  $\Phi^{-1}$  is also continuous. □

Exercise 3.1.12

$G$ : locally compact group,  $f \in C_c(G)$ ,  $x \in G$ ,  $\mu$ : left Haar measure on  $G$ . By the definition of the modular function, we have

$$\mu(Ex) = \Delta(x)\mu(E)$$

for any Borel set  $E \subset G$ , and by the definition of the left Haar measure, we also have

$$\mu(xE) = \mu(E).$$

So, by translating  $y$  to  $x^{-1}y$  we have

$$\int_G f(xy) d\mu(y) = \int_G f(y) d\mu(y).$$

And by translating  $y$  to  $yx^{-1}$ , the measure  $\mu$  is changed

$$\mu(Ex^{-1}) = \Delta(x^{-1})\mu(E).$$

Since the modular function  $\Delta$  is a homomorphism, we have

$$\int_G f(yx) d\mu(y) = \int_G f(y)\Delta(x^{-1}) d\mu(y) = \Delta(x)^{-1} \int_G f(y) d\mu(y).$$

Finally, if we define  $\lambda(E) := \mu(E^{-1})$ , then  $\lambda$  is a right Haar measure and  $d\lambda(x) = \Delta(x^{-1})d\mu(x)$ . So  $d\mu(x^{-1}) = \Delta(x^{-1})d\lambda(x^{-1}) = \Delta(x^{-1})d\mu(x)$ , and therefore we have

$$\int_G \Delta(y^{-1})f(y^{-1}) d\mu(y) = \int_G f(y^{-1}) d\mu(y^{-1}) = \int_G f(y) d\mu(y).$$

□