

Son Nguyen (B4), Long Bui (B3), Song Ha (B2)

1) Since $su(N)$ is a group, the identity I is an element ($\det I = 1$). Also being a Lie group, there must be elements of the group which are close to I . Let us consider an element U which differs from the identity an infinitesimal amount.

$$U = I + \epsilon X \quad \epsilon \ll 1$$

Since U is unitary, to the first order of ϵ

$$U^* U = I + \epsilon (X + X^*) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) = I$$

we choose an arbitrary ϵ , thus $X + X^* = 0$ or $X^* = -X$.

A finite group transformation can be obtained by writing the transform parameter $\alpha = n\epsilon$ for n 's large and applying n infinitesimal transformations

$$U = \left(I + \frac{\alpha}{n} X \right)^n \rightarrow e^{\alpha X} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

So U can be written as $e^{\alpha X}$. Moreover,

$$\det U = \det e^{\alpha X} = e^{\alpha \text{Tr} X} = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Tr} X = 0 \quad \text{for an arbitrary } \alpha.$$

So X is skew-hermitian and traceless matrix.

2) An arbitrary $N \times N$ complex matrix is defined by $2N^2$ real parameters. Since X must be skew hermitian $X_{ij} = -\overline{X_{ji}}$ which reduces N^2 constraints that the elements must satisfy or N^2 real parameters are needed. Lastly, X is traceless matrix, this gives one more constraint. Thus X is defined by $N^2 - 1$ real parameters or $su(N)$ is of dimension $N^2 - 1$.

3) We know that the basis of $su(N)$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{R} . which means that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N^2-1} \alpha_i X_i = 0 \quad (\Leftrightarrow) \quad \alpha_i = 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}.$$

No we want to show that they are also linearly independent over \mathbb{C} . Indeed,

Given $C_j = \alpha_j + i\beta_j$; $\alpha_j, \beta_j \in \mathbb{R}$. We want to show that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N^2-1} (\alpha_j + i\beta_j) X_j = 0 \Leftrightarrow C_j = 0 \quad (1)$$

Taking the conjugate of the LHS, we get:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N^2-1} (\alpha_j - i\beta_j) X_j^* = 0$$

From the previous part, we know that $X_j^* = -X_j$, it follows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N^2-1} (\alpha_j - i\beta_j) X_j = 0 \quad (2)$$

Taking (1) + (2) $\Leftrightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{N^2-1} 2\alpha_j X_j = 0$

since X_j 's are linearly independent over $\mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \alpha_j = 0 \quad \forall j=1, \dots, N^2-1$.

Also taking (1) - (2) $\Leftrightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{N^2-1} \alpha_j i\beta_j X_j = 0$.

$$\Leftrightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{N^2-1} \beta_j X_j = 0$$

similarly, this implies that $\beta_j = 0 \quad \forall j=1, \dots, N^2-1$.

Thus we conclude that basis of $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ are also linearly independent over \mathbb{C} .

2. a) Show $k(Y_i, Y_j) = \sum_{k,r} C_{ir}^k C_{jk}^r$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{ad}_{Y_i} \text{ad}_{Y_j}) Y_r &= \text{ad}_{Y_i} ([Y_j, Y_r]) \\ &= \text{ad}_{Y_i} \left(\sum_k C_{jr}^k Y_k \right) \\ &= \sum_k C_{jr}^k \text{ad}_{Y_i} (Y_k) \\ &= \sum_{k,n} C_{jr}^k C_{ik}^n Y_n \end{aligned}$$

adjoint rep. properties

Because the Killing form takes the trace of $\text{ad}_{Y_i} \text{ad}_{Y_j}$, we only interested in the coefficients with which Y_r appears in the sum hence set $n=r$, we obtain

$$k(Y_i, Y_j) = \sum_{k,r} C_{jr}^k C_{ik}^r$$

b) Show $k([X, Y], Z) = k(X, [Y, Z]) \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{L}$

we have L.h.s = $\text{Tr}(\text{ad}_{[X, Y]} \text{ad}_Z) = \text{Tr}(\text{ad}_X \text{ad}_Y \text{ad}_Z - \text{ad}_Y \text{ad}_X \text{ad}_Z)$

but $\text{Tr}(AB) = \text{Tr}(BA)$, so

$$= \text{Tr}(\text{ad}_X \text{ad}_Y \text{ad}_Z - \text{ad}_X \text{ad}_Z \text{ad}_Y) = \text{Tr}(\text{ad}_X, \text{ad}_{[Y, Z]})$$