

⌌ Hilbert space methods for quantum mechanics

Report



Nagoya University, Mathematics, M1

Student ID : 321601296

Furuya Takashi

We define the Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ not only in the case of $s \in \mathbb{N}$ but also for $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

Def Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

- def $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ \Leftrightarrow f satisfies that
- (i) $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$
 - (ii) $\exists \tilde{f} \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ s.t. $\hat{f} = T\tilde{f}$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$
 - (iii) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+|\xi|)^{2s} |\tilde{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi < \infty$

And for $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|f\|_{H^s} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+|\xi|)^{2s} |\tilde{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

It is known that $\|\cdot\|_{H^s}$ satisfies the condition of a norm and that $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is complete with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{H^s}$.

Consider $s = m \in \mathbb{N}$. For $f \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|f\|_{H^m}' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |D^\alpha f(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

It is also known that $\|\cdot\|_{H^m}'$ satisfies the condition of a norm and that $\|\cdot\|_{H^m}'$ is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{H^m}$.

I'm writing this report in order to prove the next important theorem.

Here, we denote by $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the space of infinitely differentiable functions in \mathbb{R}^n with compact supports.

In the following, let $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

Th (Density of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$)
 $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$

In the first part, we prepare what we need in order to prove the theorem

In the second part, we prove the theorem.

Preparation

References

Lectures on linear partial differential equations / Gregory Eskin /
Graduate Studies in mathematics, v. 123

Def (§3.3 Change of variables for distributions)

Let $S : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$: diffeomorphism
 $\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$
 $x \mapsto S(x) = (S_1(x), \dots, S_m(x))$

$$J_{S^{-1}}(x) := \det \left[\frac{\partial S_i^{-1}}{\partial x_j}(x) \right]_{i,j=1}^m \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

(Jacobian matrix of S^{-1})

Let $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

$$\langle T \circ S, \varphi \rangle := \langle T, (\varphi \circ S^{-1}) \circ |J_{S^{-1}}| \rangle \quad \text{for } \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^m)$$

Lem $T \circ S \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

Def 7.1 For $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^m)$, $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$

$$(f * \varphi)(x) := \langle f, \varphi(x - \bullet) \rangle \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

Lem $f * \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

Def $f \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^m)$

$\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^m)$ with $\text{supp } f \subset \mathbb{R}^m = \text{compact}$

Def 7.2 For $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $g \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\langle f * g, \varphi \rangle := \langle f, (g \circ \chi) * \varphi \rangle \quad \text{for } \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

(Set $\chi(x) := -x$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$)

Lem • $(g \circ \chi) * \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

• $f * g \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Observation

$$\begin{aligned} (g \circ \chi) * \varphi(x) &= \langle g \circ \chi, \varphi(x - \bullet) \rangle \\ &= \langle g, (\varphi(x - \bullet) \circ \chi^{-1}) \cdot \underbrace{|\mathbf{J}_{\chi^{-1}}|}_{1} \rangle \\ &= \langle g, \varphi(x + \bullet) \rangle \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{aligned}$$

Prop 7.2 For $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f * \varphi)(x) \psi(x) dx = \langle f, \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(t - \bullet) \psi(t) dt \rangle$$

Prop 12.1 $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $g \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$

\Rightarrow (i) $\exists h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ s.t. $\widehat{g} = T_h$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$
(Prop 11.1)

(ii) $f * g \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$

(iii) $\widehat{f * g} = h \cdot \widehat{f}$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Using these lemmas and propositions without proofs,

we prove the main theorem (Density of C_0^∞ in H^s).

Proof of Th Let $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Step 1 Make $f_\delta \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\delta > 0$.

Take $\beta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bullet \beta(x) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ \bullet \beta(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & |x| < \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & |x| > 1 \end{cases} \\ \bullet \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \beta(x) dx = 1 \end{array} \right.$$

For $\delta > 0$,

$$\text{set } \beta_\delta(x) := \frac{1}{\delta^n} \beta\left(\frac{x}{\delta}\right) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$f_\delta := f * T_{\beta_\delta} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

Since $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $T_{\beta_\delta} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we can define the convolution, and $f * T_{\beta_\delta} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (by Prop 12.1)

Since $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\exists \hat{f} \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ s.t.

$$\bullet \hat{f} = T_{\hat{f}} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

$$\bullet \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+|\xi|)^{2s} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi < \infty$$

By Prop 2.1, we can get that

No^r

$$\widehat{f}_\delta = \widehat{\beta}_\delta \cdot T_{\widehat{f}} = T_{\widehat{\beta}_\delta} \cdot \widehat{f} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

And

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (H(|\xi|))^{2s} |\widehat{\beta}_\delta(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq M_\delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (H(|\xi|))^{2s} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi < \infty$$

Therefore $f_\delta \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$

and $f_\delta = T_{f+\beta_\delta}$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $\delta > 0$.

⊙ For $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\delta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_\delta, \phi \rangle &= \langle f + T_{\beta_\delta}, \phi \rangle \\ &= \langle f, \langle T_{\beta_\delta}(\cdot) \phi(\cdot + \cdot) \rangle \rangle \\ &= \langle f, \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \beta_\delta(y) \phi(\cdot + y) dy \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$= \langle f, \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \beta_\delta(t - \cdot) \phi(t) dt \rangle$$

(Transform variables)
as $\cdot + y = t$.

By Prop 1.2 \rightarrow

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f + \beta_\delta)(x) \phi(x) dx$$

$$= \langle T_{f+\beta_\delta}, \phi \rangle$$

$$\therefore f_\delta = T_{f+\beta_\delta} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

Step 2 Show that $f_\delta \rightarrow f$ ($\delta \rightarrow +0$) in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\beta_\delta}(\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \beta_\delta(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \beta\left(\frac{x}{\delta}\right) \frac{1}{\delta^n} dx \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{Transformation variable} \\ \text{as } x = \delta y \end{array} \right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i(\delta y) \cdot \xi} \beta(y) \frac{1}{\delta^n} \delta^n dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-iy \cdot (\delta \xi)} \beta(y) dy \\ &= \widehat{\beta}(\delta \xi) \quad \text{for } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \delta > 0.\end{aligned}$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned}\|f - f_\delta\|_{H^s}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\widehat{f}(\xi) - \widehat{\beta_\delta}(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 (H|\xi|)^{2s} d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 |1 - \widehat{\beta}(\delta \xi)|^2 (H|\xi|)^{2s} d\xi\end{aligned}$$

Since $\widehat{\beta}$ is continuous and $\widehat{\beta}(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \beta(y) dy = 1$,

$$|1 - \widehat{\beta}(\delta \xi)| \rightarrow |1 - \widehat{\beta}(0)| = 0 \quad (\delta \rightarrow +0) \quad \text{for any fixed } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}|1 - \widehat{\beta}(\delta \xi)| &\leq 1 + |\widehat{\beta}(\delta \xi)| \leq 1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |e^{-iy \cdot (\delta \xi)} \beta(y)| dy \\ &= 1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \beta(y) dy = 2.\end{aligned}$$

$$|\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 / |1 - \widehat{\beta}(\delta\xi)|^2 (1 + |\xi|)^{2s} \leq 2 |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 (1 + |\xi|)^{2s}.$$

Using Lebesgue's Theorem, it follows that

$$\|f - f_\delta\|_{H^s}^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad (\delta \rightarrow +0)$$

Therefore $f_\delta \rightarrow f$ ($\delta \rightarrow +0$) in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

Next, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ fix.

$$\exists \delta_\varepsilon \in (0, 1) \text{ s.t. } \|f - f_{\delta_\varepsilon}\|_{H^s} < \varepsilon.$$

Set $g_\eta(x) := \beta(\eta x) \cdot (f * \beta_{\delta_\varepsilon})(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\eta > 0$.

Since $\beta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$, $g_\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$ when $\eta > 0$.

Next, we show that $g_\eta \in C_0^\infty$ is the approximation

for $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

Step 3 Show that $T_{g_\epsilon} \rightarrow f_{\delta_\epsilon}$ ($\epsilon \rightarrow +0$) in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^m)$

Take $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $s \leq m$.

At first, we prove that $f_{\delta_\epsilon} \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

☺ Since $\widehat{B} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^m)$, For $\forall N > 0$, $\exists C_N > 0$ s.t.,

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{B_{\delta_\epsilon}}(\xi)| &= |\widehat{B}(\delta_\epsilon \xi)| \leq C_N (1 + |\delta_\epsilon \xi|)^{-N} \\ &\stackrel{(\text{since } \delta_\epsilon \in (0,1))}{\rightarrow} \leq C_N (\delta_\epsilon + \delta_\epsilon |\xi|)^{-N} \\ &= C_N \delta_\epsilon^{-N} (1 + |\xi|)^{-N} \quad \text{for } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^m \end{aligned}$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} (1 + |\xi|)^{2m} |\widehat{B_{\delta_\epsilon}}(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\widehat{B}(\delta_\epsilon \xi)|^2 (1 + |\xi|)^{2m-2s} \cdot (1 + |\xi|)^{2s} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} C_{2m-2s}^2 \cdot \delta_\epsilon^{-2m+2s} (1 + |\xi|)^{-2m+2s} \cdot (1 + |\xi|)^{2m-2s} \cdot (1 + |\xi|)^{2s} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &= C_{2m-2s}^2 \cdot \delta_\epsilon^{-2m+2s} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} (1 + |\xi|)^{2s} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi < \infty \end{aligned}$$

□

Moreover $T_{g_\epsilon} \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

Consider

$$(|H|\delta_\varepsilon)^{2s} \leq (|H|\delta_\varepsilon)^{2m}$$

$$\|f_{\delta_\varepsilon} - T_{g_1}\|_{H^s}^2 \leq \|f_{\delta_\varepsilon} - T_{g_1}\|_{H^m}^2$$

$$= \|T_{f+\beta\delta_\varepsilon} - T_{\beta(\eta \cdot) \cdot (f+\beta\delta_\varepsilon)(\cdot)}\|_{H^m}^2$$

$$= \|T_{(1-\beta(\eta \cdot)) \cdot f + \beta\delta_\varepsilon}\|_{H^m}^2$$

$$\leq \exists C \left(\|T_{(1-\beta(\eta \cdot)) \cdot f + \beta\delta_\varepsilon}\|_{H^m}' \right)^2$$

$\left[\|\cdot\|_{H^s} \text{ is equivalent to } \|\cdot\|_{H^m}' \text{ when } m \in \mathbb{N} \right]$
(No 1)

(since $(1-\beta(\eta \cdot)) \cdot f + \beta\delta_\varepsilon \in C^\infty$)

$$= C \sum_{|k| \leq m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \partial_x^\alpha (1-\beta(\eta x)) (f + \beta\delta_\varepsilon)(x) \right|^2 dx$$

(By the definition of β ,
 $|y| < \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow \beta(\eta x) = 1$
 \Downarrow
 $|x| < \frac{1}{2\eta}$)

$$= C \sum_{|k| \leq m} \int_{|x| > \frac{1}{2\eta}} \left| \partial_x^\alpha (1-\beta(\eta x)) (f + \beta\delta_\varepsilon)(x) \right|^2 dx$$

Using Leibniz rule, when $|k| \leq m$

def

For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$

$$\binom{\alpha}{\gamma} = \binom{\alpha_1}{\gamma_1} \dots \binom{\alpha_n}{\gamma_n}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \partial_x^\alpha (1 - \beta(\eta x)) (f + \beta_{\delta_\varepsilon})(x) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{\gamma \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} \left[\partial_x^{\alpha - \gamma} (1 - \beta(\eta x)) \right] \left[\partial_x^\gamma (f + \beta_{\delta_\varepsilon})(x) \right] \right| \\ &\leq \exists C_m \cdot \left(\sum_{|k| \leq m} \left| \partial_x^k (1 - \beta(\eta x)) \right| \right) \times \left(\sum_{|k| \leq m} \left| \partial_x^k (f + \beta_{\delta_\varepsilon})(x) \right| \right) \end{aligned}$$

When $|k| \leq m$, $\left| \partial_x^k \beta(\eta x) \right| = |\eta^{|k|} (\partial_x^k \beta)(\eta x)| \leq \eta^{|k|} \cdot \sup_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\partial_x^k \beta(\eta x)| = \eta^{|k|} \cdot \sup_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\partial_x^k \beta(\eta)|$

(Since $\beta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n}$)

$$\leq C_m \cdot \left(\sum_{|k| \leq m} \exists C_\alpha \cdot \eta^{|k|} \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{|k| \leq m} \left| \partial_x^k (f + \beta_{\delta_\varepsilon})(x) \right| \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| f_{\delta_\varepsilon} - T_{g_\eta} \right\|_{H^s}^2 &\leq C \sum_{|k| \leq m} \int_{|x| > \frac{1}{\eta}} C_m^2 \left(\sum_{|k| \leq m} C_\alpha \cdot \eta^{|k|} \right)^2 \left(\sum_{|k| \leq m} \left| \partial_x^k (f + \beta_{\delta_\varepsilon})(x) \right| \right)^2 \\ &\leq \exists C'_m \left(\sum_{|k| \leq m} C_\alpha \cdot \eta^{|k|} \right)^2 \int_{|x| > \frac{1}{\eta}} \left(\sum_{|k| \leq m} \left| \partial_x^k (f + \beta_{\delta_\varepsilon})(x) \right| \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

with C_m and C'_m are independent of η .

Since $f_{\delta_\varepsilon} = T_{f + \beta_{\delta_\varepsilon}} \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\partial_x^k f_{\delta_\varepsilon} = \partial_x^k T_{f + \beta_{\delta_\varepsilon}} = T_{\partial_x^k (f + \beta_{\delta_\varepsilon})} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ when } |k| \leq m.$$

($f + \beta_{\delta_\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$)

i.e. $\partial_x^\alpha (f * \beta_{\delta_\varepsilon}) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when $|\alpha| \leq m$ No 13

$$\text{So, } \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} |\partial_x^\alpha (f * \beta_{\delta_\varepsilon})(x)| \right)^2 \right| dx < \infty.$$

When $\eta \rightarrow +0$, $(\frac{1}{2\eta} \rightarrow +\infty)$.

$$\int_{|x| > \frac{1}{2\eta}} \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} |\partial_x^\alpha (f * \beta_{\delta_\varepsilon})(x)| \right)^2 dx \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore $\|f_{\delta_\varepsilon} - T g_\eta\|_{H^s} \rightarrow 0$ ($\eta \rightarrow +0$).

$$\exists \eta_\varepsilon > 0 \text{ s.t. } \|f_{\delta_\varepsilon} - T g_{\eta_\varepsilon}\|_{H^s} < \varepsilon$$

Finally, we get that

$$\|f - T g_{\eta_\varepsilon}\|_{H^s} \leq \|f - f_{\delta_\varepsilon}\|_{H^s} + \|f_{\delta_\varepsilon} - T g_{\eta_\varepsilon}\|_{H^s} < 2\varepsilon$$

— Th

If we use this theorem (Density of C^∞ in H^s),

we can prove "Sobolev's embedding theorem", and

we can consider "Restriction to hyperplanes". So this theorem

is very important to check some properties of the Sobolev space.