

Report

321401115

Yusuke Sawada

Spectral Theorem (bounded version)

\mathcal{H} : Hilbert space

$A: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ self-adjoint operator

$U: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ unitary operator

Then there are spectral family $\{E_\lambda\}, \{F_\theta\}$ such that

$$A = \int_{m-0}^M \lambda dE(\lambda), \quad M = \sup\{(Ax, x) \mid \|x\| \leq 1\}, \quad m = \inf\{(Ax, x) \mid \|x\| \leq 1\},$$

$$U = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\theta} dF(\theta).$$

Theorem

Let A be closed symmetric operator on \mathcal{H} . The operator

$$U: R(A+iI) \longrightarrow R(A-iI)$$

\Downarrow

\Downarrow

$$(A+iI)x \longmapsto (A-iI)x$$

is isometry operator.

U is called a Cayley transform of A .

Fact that

$$A: \text{self-adjoint} \iff U: \text{unitary}$$

holds.

Proof) Let x be a element in $D(A)$,

$$\|(A+iI)x\|^2 = \|Ax\|^2 + \|x\|^2 + 2\text{Im}(Ax, x)$$

$$= \|Ax\|^2 + \|x\|^2,$$

$$\|(A-iI)x\|^2 = \|Ax\|^2 + \|x\|^2.$$

$\therefore U$: isometry operator \square

Spectral Theorem

With any self-adjoint operator $(A, D(A))$ on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} one can associate a unique spectral family $\{E_\lambda\}$ such that

$$D(A) = D_{id}, \quad A = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda dE(\lambda).$$

Proof) Let U be a Cayley transform of A . Then U is unitary operator. U has a spectral decomposition

$$U = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\theta} dF(\theta)$$

$\{F(\theta)\}$ is spectral family of U and

$$F(0) = 0, \quad F(2\pi - 0) = I.$$

We define a complex function ξ by

$$\xi(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda - i}{\lambda + i} \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{R}),$$

and real value $\theta(\lambda)$ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$) by $\xi(\lambda) = e^{i\theta(\lambda)}$. We defined a spectral family $\{E_\lambda\}$ by

$$E_\lambda = F(\theta(\lambda)) \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{R})$$

Suppose that $A_0 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda dE(\lambda)$. A Cayley transform of A_0 is

$$U_0 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda - i}{\lambda + i} dE(\lambda).$$

$$\begin{aligned} U &= \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\theta} dF(\theta) = \int_{0+0}^{2\pi-0} e^{i\theta} dF(\theta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\theta(\lambda)} dF(\theta(\lambda)) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \xi(\lambda) dE(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda - i}{\lambda + i} dE(\lambda) = U_0. \end{aligned}$$

This imply that Cayley transform of A and A_0 is equivalent. Thus $A = A_0$ holds.

We show a uniqueness of spectral decomposition of A . Suppose that

$$A = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda dE'(\lambda).$$

A Cayley transform of $A = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda dE'(\lambda)$ is

$$U = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda - i}{\lambda + i} dE'(\lambda).$$

Put

$$F(\theta) = \begin{cases} 0 & (\theta \leq 0) \\ E'(i \frac{1+e^{i\theta}}{1-e^{i\theta}}) & (0 < \theta < 2\pi) \\ I & (2\pi \leq \theta) \end{cases}$$

Then

$$U = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\theta} dF(\theta)$$

holds, and $F'(\theta) = F(\theta)$ by the uniqueness of the spectral decomposition of unitary operator.

$$\therefore E(\lambda) = E'(\lambda). \quad \square$$

~ Reference ~

函数解析 1968 竹之内脩

Spectral Theorem

Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and A be a bounded self-adjoint operator on \mathcal{H} . Then there is a spectral family $\{E_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ such that

$$A = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda dE_\lambda = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\|A\| - \epsilon}^{\|A\|} \lambda dE_\lambda$$

and each E_λ is belong to the strong closure of

$$P(A) = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^n a_i A^i \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, \{a_i\}_{i=0}^n \subset \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

I often see the proof of spectral theorem that constructs spectral projection E_λ directly. But in this report, I adopt the way using the Gelfand-Naimark theorem in the theory of C^* -algebra.

Namely:

Let \mathcal{A} be a abelian unital Banach $*$ -algebra. We define

$$Sp \mathcal{A} := \{ \varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \varphi = \text{homomorphism}, \varphi \neq 0 \},$$

$$\hat{\cdot} : \mathcal{A} \ni a \mapsto \hat{a} \in C(Sp \mathcal{A}),$$

$$\hat{a}(\varphi) = \varphi(a) \quad (\varphi \in Sp \mathcal{A}).$$

Then \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra if and only if the map $\hat{\cdot}$ is an isometric $*$ -isomorphism.

(Proof of the spectral theorem) Let \mathcal{A} be a norm closure of $P(A)$. By the Gelfand-Naimark theorem, $\mathcal{A} \cong C(Sp \mathcal{A})$ holds. For each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we define

$$p_\lambda : Sp \mathcal{A} \ni \varphi \mapsto \chi_{K_\lambda}(\varphi), \quad K_\lambda := \{ \varphi \in Sp \mathcal{A} \mid \hat{A}(\varphi) \leq \lambda \}. \quad \dots \textcircled{1}$$

We note that \hat{A} is real function since A is self-adjoint and χ is a characteristic function. Since Urysohn's lemma, there is a $\xi \in C(Sp \mathcal{A})$ such that

$$0 \leq \xi \leq 1,$$

$$\xi(\varphi) = 1 \quad (\varphi \in K_\lambda),$$

$$\xi(\varphi) = 0 \quad (\varphi \notin K_\lambda).$$

For each $x \in \mathcal{H}$, $\Phi = \hat{\cdot} \mapsto (Tx, x)$ is a linear functional on $C(Sp \mathcal{A})$.

Thus by Riesz representation theorem, there is a regular Borel measure μ_x such that

$$\Phi(T) = (Tx, x) = \int_{\text{Sp}A} \hat{T}(\varphi) d\mu_x(\varphi).$$

There is a $T_\xi \in \mathcal{A}$ s.t. $\xi = \hat{T}_\xi$ by $\mathcal{A} \cong C(\text{Sp}A)$. Since

$$\|\xi^n - \xi^m\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_{\text{Sp}A} |\hat{T}_\xi^n(\varphi) - \hat{T}_\xi^m(\varphi)|^2 d\mu_x(\varphi) = ((T^n - T^m)^*(T^n - T^m)x, x) = \|(T^n - T^m)x\|^2,$$

each $\{T_\xi^n x\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{H} . Therefore $s\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_\xi^n =: E_\xi$ exists.

It's clear that $E_\xi \in \overline{\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})}^s$. A E_ξ is independent of the choice of ξ and

$$E_\xi = E_\xi^* \quad (\because \xi \text{ is real function}),$$

$$E_\xi^2 = E_\xi \quad (\because \text{the definition of } E_\xi).$$

hold. Therefore $\{E_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ is spectral family. For $\varepsilon > 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$, Suppose that

$$-\|A\| - \varepsilon = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_{n-1} < \lambda_n = \|A\|.$$

Then

$$\chi_{\{\varphi \in \text{Sp}A \mid \lambda_i - \varepsilon < \hat{A}(\varphi) \leq \lambda_{i+1} + \varepsilon\}} = P_{\lambda_{i+1}} - P_{\lambda_i}. \quad (\textcircled{1}'\text{s definition})$$

Put

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (P_{\lambda_{i+1}} - P_{\lambda_i}).$$

Since $S_n \rightarrow \hat{A}$ (uniformly), for any $\delta > 0$, there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

for all $n \geq N$ and any $x \in \mathcal{H}$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{i+1} (E_{\lambda_{i+1}} - E_{\lambda_i}) - A \right) x \right\|^2 &= \int_{\text{Sp}A} |S_n(\varphi) - \hat{A}(\varphi)|^2 d\mu_x(\varphi) \\ &< \delta \int_{\text{Sp}A} d\mu_x(\varphi) \\ &= \delta \|x\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore A = \int_{-\|A\| - \varepsilon}^{\|A\|} \lambda dE_\lambda \quad (\forall \varepsilon > 0).$$

Since

$$\lambda < -\|A\| \Rightarrow P_\lambda = 0 \Rightarrow E_\lambda = 0,$$

$$\lambda > \|A\| \Rightarrow P_\lambda = I \Rightarrow E_\lambda = I$$

$$A = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda dE_\lambda = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\|A\| - \varepsilon}^{\|A\|} \lambda dE_\lambda$$

holds. \square

Directly, a spectral family $\{E_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ is defined by

$$E_\lambda = \text{proj}(\{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid (A - \lambda I)^+ x = 0\}) \quad (\text{We suppose that } (A - \lambda I)^+ \text{ and } (A - \lambda I)^- \text{ are positive part and negative part of } A - \lambda I \text{ respectively})$$

$$= \text{proj}(\{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid (A - \lambda I)^- x = 0\}).$$

The spectral family $\{E_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ such that

$$A = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda dE_\lambda,$$

is unique. Indeed, for $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, let g be the characteristic function of the interval $(-\|A\| - 1, \mu]$ and $\{P_n\}$ be a sequence of polynomials converging to g . Then for $x \in \mathcal{X}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|E_\mu x\|^2 &= (E_\mu x, x) \\ &= \int g(\lambda) d(E_\lambda x, x) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int P_n(\lambda) d(E_\lambda x, x) \quad (\because \text{Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem}) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (P_n(A)x, x) \quad (\because P_n \text{ is polynomial}). \end{aligned}$$

Since P_n is independent of E_μ , if we assume that the spectral family $\{F_\lambda\}_\lambda$ satisfies $A = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda dF_\lambda$, then $E_\lambda = F_\lambda$ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$).

<Comments>

I had left out the proof of that the $\{E_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ defined by two ways is spectral family.