

# The trace and the norm of the tensor product of linear operators

NGUYEN Tue Tai / 062201848

November 16, 2022

## Exercise 2.5.3

1. Consider  $A_1 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1)$  and  $A_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2)$ . If  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2$  are finite dimensional, then

$$\text{Tr}(A_1 \otimes A_2) = \text{Tr}(A_1) \text{Tr}(A_2).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\{e_j^1\}_j$  and  $\{e_k^2\}_k$  be orthonormal bases of  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2$ , respectively. Then, the set  $\{e_j^1 \otimes e_k^2\}_{j,k}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ . Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(A_1 \otimes A_2) &= \sum_{j,k} \langle e_j^1 \otimes e_k^2, (A_1 \otimes A_2)(e_j^1 \otimes e_k^2) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j,k} \langle e_j^1 \otimes e_k^2, (A_1 e_j^1) \otimes (A_2 e_k^2) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j,k} \langle e_j^1, A_1 e_j^1 \rangle \langle e_k^2, A_2 e_k^2 \rangle \\ &= \sum_j \langle e_j^1, A_1 e_j^1 \rangle \sum_k \langle e_k^2, A_2 e_k^2 \rangle \\ &= \text{Tr}(A_1) \text{Tr}(A_2). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

2. Show that  $\|A_1 \otimes A_2\| = \|A_1\| \|A_2\|$ .

*Proof.* For any  $f \in \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ , it can be represented as

$$f = \sum_{j,k} \lambda_{jk} (e_j^1 \otimes e_k^2), \quad \text{with } \lambda_{jk} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We can also write it in another form.

$$f = \sum_j e_j^1 \otimes \left( \sum_k \lambda_{jk} e_k^2 \right) = \sum_j e_j^1 \otimes f_j^2,$$

Where

$$f_j^2 = \sum_k \lambda_{jk} e_k^2.$$

Consider the norm of  $f$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|^2 = \langle f, f \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_j e_j^1 \otimes f_j^2, \sum_k e_k^1 \otimes f_k^2 \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{j,k} \langle e_j^1 \otimes f_j^2, e_k^1 \otimes f_k^2 \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j,k} \langle e_j^1, e_k^1 \rangle \langle f_j^2, f_k^2 \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j,k} \delta_{jk} \langle f_j^2, f_k^2 \rangle \\ &= \sum_j \langle f_j^2, f_j^2 \rangle = \sum_j \|f_j^2\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the operator  $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H}_1} \otimes A_2$ . With the same calculation as above, we have

$$\|(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H}_1} \otimes A_2) f\|^2 = \left\| (\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H}_1} \otimes A_2) \sum_j e_j^1 \otimes f_j^2 \right\|^2 = \left\| \sum_j e_j^1 \otimes (A_2 f_j^2) \right\|^2 = \sum_j \|A_2 f_j^2\|^2.$$

From the definition of the norm of operators, we have  $\|A_2 f_j^2\| \leq \|A_2\| \|f_j^2\|$ . Hence, we have

$$\|(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H}_1} \otimes A_2) f\|^2 \leq \sum_j \|A_2\|^2 \|f_j^2\|^2 = \|A_2\|^2 \sum_j \|f_j^2\|^2 = \|A_2\|^2 \|f\|^2$$

Thus,

$$\|\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H}_1} \otimes A_2\| = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2} \frac{\|(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H}_1} \otimes A_2) f\|}{\|f\|} \leq \|A_2\|$$

With similar calculation, we can also show that  $\|A_1 \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H}_2}\| \leq \|A_1\|$ .

Also, by using the property  $\|AB\| \leq \|A\| \|B\|$ , we have

$$\|A_1 \otimes A_2\| = \|(A_1 \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H}_2})(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H}_1} \otimes A_2)\| \leq \|A_1 \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H}_2}\| \|\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H}_1} \otimes A_2\| \leq \|A_1\| \|A_2\|.$$

Furthermore, let  $\mathcal{H}_0 \subset \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$  be the subset of all elements that can be expressed as  $f_1 \otimes f_2$ , with  $f_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ ,  $f_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2$ . Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_1 \otimes A_2\| &= \sup_{f \in \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2} \frac{\|(A_1 \otimes A_2)f\|}{\|f\|} \geq \sup_{f \in \mathcal{H}_0} \frac{\|(A_1 \otimes A_2)f\|}{\|f\|} = \sup_{f_1 \otimes f_2 \in \mathcal{H}_0} \frac{\|(A_1 \otimes A_2)(f_1 \otimes f_2)\|}{\|f_1 \otimes f_2\|} \\ &= \sup_{f_1 \otimes f_2 \in \mathcal{H}_0} \frac{\|(A_1 f_1) \otimes (A_2 f_2)\|}{\|f_1 \otimes f_2\|} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\|f_1 \otimes f_2\| = \sqrt{\langle f_1 \otimes f_2, f_1 \otimes f_2 \rangle} = \sqrt{\langle f_1, f_1 \rangle \langle f_2, f_2 \rangle} = \sqrt{\langle f_1, f_1 \rangle} \sqrt{\langle f_2, f_2 \rangle} = \|f_1\| \|f_2\|.$$

Hence,

$$\|A_1 \otimes A_2\| \geq \sup_{f_1 \otimes f_2 \in \mathcal{H}_0} \frac{\|A_1 f_1\| \|A_2 f_2\|}{\|f_1\| \|f_2\|}.$$

Because  $\|A_1 f_1\|/\|f_1\|$  and  $\|A_2 f_2\|/\|f_2\|$  are positive real numbers, we have

$$\|A_1 \otimes A_2\| \geq \sup_{f_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1} \frac{\|A_1 f_1\|}{\|f_1\|} \sup_{f_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2} \frac{\|A_2 f_2\|}{\|f_2\|} = \|A_1\| \|A_2\|.$$

Thus, we have already proved that  $\|A_1 \otimes A_2\| \leq \|A_1\| \|A_2\|$  and  $\|A_1 \otimes A_2\| \geq \|A_1\| \|A_2\|$ , which implies that  $\|A_1 \otimes A_2\| = \|A_1\| \|A_2\|$ . ■