Report by Li Yucheng

 $\omega_{\pm}(\textbf{x})$ is a closed invariant set.

No. . . Date. Def: of those The w+ - Mit set of the orbit r(x) is the se to > too with points yEM for which there exists a sequence $p(tn, x) \rightarrow y.$ Theorem The set w±(x) is a closed invariant set Proof; D closed We only need to prove that if a point y is in the closure $w_{\pm}(x)$, then $y \in w_{\pm}(x)$. Suppose y is in the closure of we(x). Then, by closure, we can always find a seguence you the det yp while to y. Then, VE>0, IN s.t. 1y-yn (2, n>Ng. Since is in w±(x), there exists a sequence tn=200, VE>0, I Since |\$(tn,x)-yn < ≥, n>N2. Then, we can conclude that ∀ε>0, IN1, N2 s.t. 1\$(tn,x)-y < ε, n>max{N1, N2}. By definition. this means that yEw+ (x). (2) invariant; We need to prove that if $y \in w \pm (x)$, then $\phi(t, y) \in w \pm (x)$. In fact, $\phi(t, y) = \phi(t, \lim_{x \to \infty} \phi(t_n, x)) = \phi(t, \phi(\lim_{x \to \infty} t_n, x))$ $= \phi(\lim_{x \to \infty} t_n + t, x)$. Since $\lim_{x \to \infty} t_n = \infty$ and $\infty + t = \infty$, then there is a new sequence to that and to >00, so \$(t.y) = \$ (limton, x) E we(x) by definition of we(x). D