

We consider for $\varphi \in C([a, b], \mathbb{C})$ the operator

$$\int_a^b \varphi(\lambda) E(d\lambda) \equiv \int_a^b \varphi(\lambda) dE(\lambda) \quad \text{by some authors}$$

by a limit of Riemann sums:

$$\int_a^b \varphi(\lambda) E(d\lambda) := \lim_{\text{finer partition}} \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi(y_j) E((x_{j-1}, x_j]) \quad \text{notations similar to Riemannian integral}$$

where the limit exists. For $\varphi \in C([a, b])$ the limit exists.

$$\int_a^b \varphi(\lambda) E(d\lambda) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}).$$

⚠ $E(\{y\})$ can be different from 0.

Prop. (spectral integral)

Let $\{E_\lambda\}$ be a spectral family, and let $\varphi \in C([a, b], \mathbb{C})$.

$$1) \left\| \int_a^b \varphi(\lambda) E(d\lambda) \right\| = \sup_{\varphi \in [a, b]} |\varphi(\lambda)|$$

$$2) \left[\int_a^b \varphi(\lambda) E(d\lambda) \right]^* = \int_a^b \overline{\varphi(\lambda)} E(d\lambda)$$

$$3) \forall f \in \mathcal{H}, \left\| \int_a^b \varphi(\lambda) E(d\lambda) f \right\|^2 = \int_a^b |\varphi(\lambda)|^2 m_f(d\lambda)$$

$$4) \text{ If } \psi \in C([a, b], \mathbb{C}), \left(\int_a^b \varphi(\lambda) E(d\lambda) \right) \left(\int_a^b \psi(\lambda) E(d\lambda) \right) = \int_a^b \varphi(\lambda) \psi(\lambda) E(d\lambda).$$

Hints for 3):

$$\left\langle \int_a^b \varphi(\lambda) E(d\lambda) f, \int_a^b \varphi(\lambda) E(d\lambda) f \right\rangle \stackrel{\textcircled{2}}{=} \left\langle f, \int_a^b \overline{\varphi(\lambda)} E(d\lambda) \int_a^b \varphi(\lambda) E(d\lambda) f \right\rangle$$

$$\stackrel{\textcircled{4}}{=} \left\langle f, \int_a^b |\varphi(\lambda)|^2 E(d\lambda) f \right\rangle$$

$$= \int_a^b |\varphi(\lambda)|^2 \underbrace{\langle E(d\lambda) f, f \rangle}_{m_f(d\lambda)}$$

How can one generate this to $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(\lambda) E(d\lambda)$?

Easy if $\|\varphi\|_\infty < \infty$ or if $\text{supp}(E_\lambda)$ is bounded (Prop. 1).

But otherwise: by ③ it is natural to define

$$D_\varphi := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H} \mid \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\varphi(x)|^2 m_f(d\lambda) < \infty \right\}$$

and we consider the unbounded operator

$$\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\lambda) E(d\lambda), D_\varphi \right) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\lambda) E(d\lambda) f \text{ is well-defined for } f \in D_\varphi \text{ by ③.}$$

Question

Is D_φ dense in \mathcal{H} ?

Yes since $\{E((-M, M))f \mid f \in \mathcal{H} \forall M \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$

is dense in \mathcal{H} and is included in D_φ .

↳ since $s\text{-}\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} E((-M, M)) = 1$

Thus

$(\int_a^b \varphi(\lambda) E(d\lambda), D_\varphi)$ is a densely defined operator in \mathcal{H} .

Lemma:

$(\int_a^b \varphi(\lambda) E(d\lambda), D_\varphi)$ is self-adjoint if $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. can be weakened

It is called the self-adjoint operator associated with $\{E_\lambda\}$ and φ .

Note that we can consider the special case

$\varphi(\lambda) = \lambda = \text{id}(\lambda)$ identity function

Thm. (Spectral thm)

There exists a bijective correspondence

between spectral families (or spectral measures) and self-adjoint operators.

The correspondence is

$A = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda E(d\lambda), D(A) = D_{\text{id}}$

We often write E^A for the spectral family associated with A .

It is also natural to write

$\varphi(A) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(\lambda) E^A(d\lambda)$

Remark: In good situation, $\varphi(A)$ can be constructed

by the Taylor expansion of φ , namely

if $\varphi(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j x^j$, then $\varphi(A) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j A^j$ whenever convergent in the strong topology.

⚠ If A is unbounded, $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j A^j$ is defined only on $\bigcap_{j=0}^{\infty} D(A^j)$, which can be quite small.

Exercises:

- $\text{supp}(E_\lambda^A) = \sigma(A)$

- $\|(A-z)^{-1}\| = \text{dist}(z, \sigma(A))^{-1}$ distance on the complex plane

Let $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider the function $\varphi_r : \mathbb{R} \ni \lambda \mapsto e^{-it\lambda}$, which is a bounded function. Then

$$\varphi_r(A) = e^{-itA} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), \text{ and it is even a unitary operator.}$$

In addition

$$e^{-itA} e^{-isA} = (e^{-it\cdot} e^{-is\cdot})(A) = (e^{-i(t+s)\cdot})(A) = e^{-i(t+s)A} \quad \forall s, t \in \mathbb{R}$$

which means that

$\{e^{-itA}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a representation of the group $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ by unitary operators

Moreover, it is strongly continuous. It means

$$\otimes := \|e^{-i(t+\epsilon)A} f - e^{-itA} f\| \xrightarrow[\text{strongly}]{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} 0$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \otimes &= \|e^{-itA} (e^{-i\epsilon A} - 1) f\| \stackrel{\text{unitary}}{=} \|(e^{-i\epsilon A} - 1) f\| = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \underbrace{|e^{-i\epsilon\lambda} - 1|^2}_{\leq 4} m_f^A(d\lambda) \\ &\leq 4 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} m_f^A(d\lambda) = 4 \|f\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

By applying Lebesgue dominated convergence thm, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \otimes &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |e^{-i\epsilon\lambda} - 1|^2 m_f^A(d\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} |e^{-i\epsilon\lambda} - 1|^2 m_f^A(d\lambda) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} 0 m_f^A(d\lambda) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

It means

$\{e^{-itA}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a strongly continuous unitary representation of \mathbb{R} .

Thm. (Stone's thm)

There is a bijective correspondence between self-adjoint operators and strongly continuous unitary representation of \mathbb{R} .

In particular, if $\{U_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a strongly continuous unit. rep. of \mathbb{R} , we set

$$D(A) = \{f \in \mathcal{H} \mid \exists \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (U_t - 1)f\}$$

and

$$Af = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (U_t - 1)f$$

In summary: bijective correspondance

spectral families \Leftrightarrow spectral measures \Leftrightarrow self-adjoint operators
 \Leftrightarrow strongly continuous unitary groups.

Remark: For suitable φ , the operator $\varphi(A)$ can also be defined by $\{e^{-itA}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$:

$$\varphi(A) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \check{\varphi}(t) e^{-itA} dt$$

Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, \varphi(A)f \rangle &= \int \varphi(\lambda) m_f(d\lambda) = \int m_f(d\lambda) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-it\lambda} \check{\varphi}(t) dt \\ &\stackrel{\text{Fubini}}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \check{\varphi}(t) \left[\int e^{-it\lambda} m_f(d\lambda) \right] dt \\ &= \langle f, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int \check{\varphi}(\lambda) e^{-itA} dt f \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Then, use polarization identity for

$$\langle f, \varphi(A)g \rangle = \langle f, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int \check{\varphi}(t) e^{-itA} dt g \rangle$$