

DIFFERENTIAL GEOMETRY REPORT

↳ About the tangent space

⊠ I.1 Proof for the theorem:

Let $F: M \rightarrow N$ be a smooth map between smooth manifolds. For $p \in M$, set:

$$F^*: C^\infty(F(p)) \rightarrow C^\infty(p)$$

$$f \mapsto f \circ F$$

And $F_*: T_p(M) \rightarrow T_{F(p)}(N)$

$$[F_*(X_p)](f) = X_p(F^*f) \equiv X_p(f \circ F), \quad f \in C^\infty(F(p)).$$

Then: F^* is a homomorphism of algebra, and (1)

F_* is a homomorphism of vector space. (2)

(1) F^* is a homomorphism of algebra with algebraic addition, multiplication, of functions in $C^\infty(F(p))$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} F^*(f + \alpha g) = F^*(f) + \alpha F^*(g) & (i) \\ F^*(fg) = F^*(f) \cdot F^*(g) & (ii) \end{cases} \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} f, g \in C^\infty(F(p)) \\ \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \end{array} \right)$$

Let us prove that (i) and (ii) are true.

Consider for any $p \in M$:

$$[F^*(f + \alpha g)](p) = (f + \alpha g)(\underbrace{F(p)}_N) = f(F(p)) + \alpha g(F(p)) \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{from def. of a} \\ \text{smooth function} \\ \text{from a manifold} \\ \text{to } \mathbb{R} \end{array} \right)$$

$$= [f \circ F](p) + \alpha [g \circ F](p) = \underbrace{[F^*(f)]}_{\in C^\infty(p)}(p) + \underbrace{[\alpha F^*(g)]}_{\in C^\infty(p)}(p)$$

$$\Rightarrow [F^*(f + \alpha g)](p) = [F^*(f) + \alpha F^*(g)](p) \quad \forall p \in M \Rightarrow (i) \text{ is true}$$

Next, consider:

$$[F^*(fg)](p) = [(fg) \circ F](p) = \underbrace{[fg]}_N(F(p)) = f(F(p)) \cdot g(F(p)) \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{from def.} \\ \text{as well,} \end{array} \right)$$

$$= [f \circ F](p) \cdot [g \circ F](p) = \underbrace{[F^*(f)]}_{\in C^\infty(p)}(p) \cdot \underbrace{[F^*(g)]}_{\in C^\infty(p)}(p)$$

$$= [F^*(f) \cdot F^*(g)](p) \quad \forall p \in M. \Rightarrow (ii) \text{ is true.} \quad \square$$

(2) F_* is a homomorphism of vector space, which is the tangent space in this case with addition and multiplication of tangent vectors

$$\Leftrightarrow F_*(X_p + \alpha Y_p) = F_*(X_p) + \alpha F_*(Y_p), \quad X_p, Y_p \in T_p(M), \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

Let us prove the above equality. For $f \in C^\infty(F(p))$:

$$[F_*(X_p + \alpha Y_p)](f) = (X_p + \alpha Y_p)(\underbrace{F^*f}_{\in C^\infty(p)}) \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} (X_p + \alpha Y_p)(g) \quad (g \in C^\infty(p))$$

$$= X_p(g) + \alpha Y_p(g) \quad (\text{from def. of tangent space})$$

$$= X_p(F^*f) + \alpha Y_p(F^*f)$$

$$= \underbrace{[F_*(X_p)](f)}_{\in T_{F(p)}(N)} + \underbrace{[\alpha F_*(Y_p)](f)}_{\in T_{F(p)}(N)}$$

$$= [F_*(X_p) + \alpha F_*(Y_p)](f) \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(F(p))$$

$$\Rightarrow F_*(X_p + \alpha Y_p) = F_*(X_p) + \alpha F_*(Y_p). \quad \square$$

Exercise about directional derivative (6.11 (1), Pg. 32, Godinho)

Let $X: M \rightarrow TM$ be a differentiable vector field on M , and for a smooth function $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, consider its directional derivative along X :

$$Xf: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ p \mapsto X_p f := X_p(f) \quad (X_p: C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R})$$

Show that:

$$a) \underline{(Xf)(p) = (df)_p X_p}$$

$$\text{One has: } (Xf)(p) = X_p(f) \stackrel{\text{by def}}{=} \sum_{j=1}^n (X_p)_j \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right) (p) = X_p \cdot (df)_p = (df)_p X_p.$$

b) The vector field X is smooth if and only if Xf is a differentiable function for any smooth function $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

" \Rightarrow ": If X is smooth, then immediately we have Xf is smooth since f is smooth.

" \Leftarrow ": Assume Xf is differentiable.

Let $X_p = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j(p) E_{j,p}$. For a coordinate system (U, φ) on M , consider:

$$\mathbb{R}^n \supset \varphi(U) \ni x \mapsto (\alpha \circ \varphi^{-1})(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Let us show that there exists a map of this kind that is smooth.

$$Xf(p) = X_p(f) = \sum_{j=1}^n (X_p)_j \underbrace{\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right)}_{\text{local coordinate frame}}(p) \text{ is differentiable (by assumption)}$$

at the map:

If we look $\forall \mathbb{R}^n \supset \varphi(U) \ni x \mapsto (X_p \circ \varphi^{-1})(x)$, we can see that it is smooth

since $X_p: C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ smooth. This satisfies the requirement for the smoothness of vector field X .

c) The directional derivative satisfies the following properties: for $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$(i) X(f+g) = Xf + Xg$$

$$(ii) X(\alpha f) = \alpha (Xf)$$

$$(iii) X(fg) = f \cdot Xg + g \cdot Xf$$

Proof: the general idea is from the result of question (a).

$$(i) [X(f+g)](p) = (d(f+g))_p X_p \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{property of differential } F_*}}{=} ((df)_p + (dg)_p) X_p = (df)_p X_p + (dg)_p X_p = (Xf)(p) + (Xg)_p \quad \forall p \in M$$

which has been proved in previous exercise

$$\Rightarrow X(f+g) = Xf + Xg$$

$$(ii) [X(\alpha f)](p) = (d(\alpha f))_p X_p \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{again, the property} \\ \text{of } F_*}}{=} \alpha (df)_p X_p = \alpha (Xf)(p) \quad \forall p \in M$$

$$\Rightarrow X(\alpha f) = \alpha Xf$$

$$(iii) [X(fg)](p) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X_p(fg) \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{from def. of} \\ \text{tangent space}}}{=} f(p) \cdot X_p(g) + g(p) X_p(f) = [f \cdot Xg + g \cdot Xf](p)$$

$$\Rightarrow X(fg) = f Xg + g Xf.$$

□

Proof of properties of Lie bracket: $[X, Y] = X \circ Y - Y \circ X = \sum_{i=1}^n (X \cdot Y^i - Y \cdot X^i) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$

Given $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. We have:

(Godinho, Pg. 28)

(i) Bilinearity: $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$[\alpha X + \beta Y, Z] = \alpha [X, Z] + \beta [Y, Z]$$

$$[X, \alpha Y + \beta Z] = \alpha [X, Y] + \beta [X, Z]$$

(ii) Antisymmetry:

$$[X, Y] = -[Y, X]$$

(iii) Jacobi identity:

$$[[X, Y], Z] + [[Y, Z], X] + [[Z, X], Y] = 0$$

(iv) Leibniz rule: $\forall f, g \in C^\infty$:

$$[fX, gY] = fg[X, Y] + f(Xg)Y - g(Yf)X$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad [\alpha X + \beta Y, Z] &= (\alpha X + \beta Y) \circ Z - Z \circ (\alpha X + \beta Y) \\ &= \alpha(X \circ Z) + \beta(Y \circ Z) - \alpha(Z \circ X) - \beta(Z \circ Y) \quad (\text{from def. of Lie bracket}) \\ &= \alpha(X \circ Z - Z \circ X) + \beta(Y \circ Z - Z \circ Y) \quad (\alpha, \beta \text{ are just real numbers}) \\ &= \alpha[X, Z] + \beta[Y, Z] \end{aligned}$$

Similarly: $[X, \alpha Y + \beta Z] = \alpha[X, Y] + \beta[X, Z] \quad \square$

$$\text{(ii)} \quad [X, Y] = X \circ Y - Y \circ X = -(Y \circ X - X \circ Y) = -[Y, X]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(iii)} \quad [[X, Y], Z] + [[Y, Z], X] + [[Z, X], Y] &= (X \circ Y - Y \circ X) \circ Z - Z \circ (X \circ Y - Y \circ X) + (Y \circ Z - Z \circ Y) \circ X - X \circ (Y \circ Z - Z \circ Y) \\ &\quad + (Z \circ X - X \circ Z) \circ Y - Y \circ (Z \circ X - X \circ Z) \\ &= (X \circ Y) \circ Z - (Y \circ X) \circ Z - Z \circ (X \circ Y) + Z \circ (Y \circ X) \\ &\quad - X \circ (Y \circ Z) + Y \circ (X \circ Z) + (Z \circ X) \circ Y - (Z \circ Y) \circ X \\ &\quad + (Y \circ Z) \circ X - Y \circ (Z \circ X) + X \circ (Z \circ Y) - (X \circ Z) \circ Y = 0 \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(iv)} \quad [fX, gY] &= (fX) \circ (gY) - (gY) \circ (fX) \\ &= f(gX) \circ Y + f(Xg)Y - g(fY) \circ X - g(Yf)X \quad (\text{from previous exercise}) \\ &= fg[X, Y] + f(Xg)Y - g(Yf)X \end{aligned}$$

Proof of a lemma about alternating tensor:

Let $\phi \in \mathcal{T}^r(V)$ be a tensor: $\phi: V \times V \times \dots \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

Define $\mathcal{A}: \mathcal{T}^r(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^r(V)$ by:

$$[\mathcal{A}(\phi)](v_1, \dots, v_r) \equiv [\mathcal{A}\phi](v_1, \dots, v_r) := \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_r} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \phi(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(r)})$$

in which S_r is the set of all permutation of $\{1, 2, \dots, r\}$, and: $\sigma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Then: $\mathcal{A}\phi$ is an alternating tensor, $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}\phi \in \Lambda^r(V) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}\mathcal{T}^r(V) = \Lambda^r(V)$

Proof:

Let $\sigma_0: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a permutation that interchanges 2 indices and keep the others fixed

Then:

$$\text{sgn}(\sigma_0) \cdot \mathcal{A}\phi(v_{\sigma_0(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma_0(r)}) = \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_r} \text{sgn}(\sigma_0 \circ \sigma) \phi(v_{\sigma_0(\sigma(1))}, \dots, v_{\sigma_0(\sigma(r))})$$

$$= \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{\lambda \in S_r} \text{sgn}(\lambda) \phi(v_{\lambda(1)}, \dots, v_{\lambda(r)})$$

$$= \mathcal{A}\phi(v_1, \dots, v_r)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{A}\phi(v_1, \dots, v_r) = - \mathcal{A}\phi(v_{\sigma_0(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma_0(r)}) \quad (\text{because by the way we define } \sigma_0, \text{sgn}(\sigma_0) = -1)$$

This indicates that $\mathcal{A}\phi$ changes sign under the permutation of 2 arguments.

Hence, $\mathcal{A}\phi$ is an alternating tensor

$$\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}\phi \in \Lambda^r(V) \quad \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}\mathcal{T}^r(V) = \Lambda^r(V) \quad \square$$