

# TOEPLITZ OPERATORS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS

HIDEKI INOUE

## CONTENTS

1. Fourier Analysis and the Hardy Space $H^p$	1
1.1. The Hardy space $H^p$	1
1.2. Invariant Subspaces for the Bilateral and Unilateral Shifts	3
2. Toeplitz Operators	5
2.1. Toeplitz Operators and Toeplitz Matrices	5
2.2. Elementary Spectral Theory of Toeplitz Operators	7
3. The Toeplitz Algebra	8
3.1. The Toeplitz Algebra	8
3.2. The Baby Index Theorem	9
3.3. Coburn's Theorem	11
References	12

## 1. FOURIER ANALYSIS AND THE HARDY SPACE $H^p$

1.1. **The Hardy space  $H^p$ .** Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be the circle group and endow  $\mathbb{T}$  with the normalised arc length measure (= Haar measure), denoted by  $d\lambda$ . We write  $L^p(\mathbb{T})$  for  $L^p(\mathbb{T}, d\lambda)$ . Since  $d\lambda(\mathbb{T}) < \infty$ ,  $L^q(\mathbb{T}) \subset L^p(\mathbb{T})$  if  $1 \leq p < q$ . If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ , then

$$\int f(\lambda) d\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{it}) dt.$$

For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we define the continuous function  $\varepsilon_n$  to be

$$\varepsilon_n : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}, \lambda \mapsto \lambda^n.$$

We denote by  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma_+$  linear spans of the sets  $\{\varepsilon_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  and  $\{\varepsilon_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  respectively. We call the elements in  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma_+$  *trigonometric polynomials* and *analytic trigonometric polynomials*, respectively.

**Lemma 1.1.** (1)  $\Gamma$  is a  $*$ -subalgebra of  $C(\mathbb{T})$ .  
 (2) For  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ ,  $\Gamma$  is  $L^p$ -norm dense in  $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ .  
 (3)  $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is an orthonormal basis of the Hilbert space  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ .

*Proof.* (1) is clear. By the Stone-Weierstrass theorem,  $\Gamma$  is norm-dense in  $C(\mathbb{T})$ , and since  $C(\mathbb{T})$  is  $L^p$ -norm dense in  $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ , one gets the statement (2). (3) follows immediately from (2).  $\square$

**Definition 1.2.** If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the  $n$ -th Fourier coefficient of  $f$  is defined to be

$$\hat{f}(n) = \int f(\lambda) \overline{\varepsilon_n(\lambda)} d\lambda,$$

and the function

$$\hat{f} : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, n \mapsto \hat{f}(n)$$

is called the Fourier transform of  $f$ .

**Definition 1.3.** Suppose  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ . We define the Hardy space  $H^p$  by setting,

$$H^p = \{f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}) \mid \hat{f}(n) = 0 \ (n < 0)\}.$$

$H^p$  is a  $L^p$ -norm closed vector subspace of  $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ . In particular,  $H^2$  endowed with the  $L^2$ -scalar product is a Hilbert space with an orthonormal basis  $\Gamma_+$ .

The Hardy spaces have an interpretation of analytic functions on the open unit disk  $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$  satisfying certain growth conditions approaching the boundary  $\partial\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{T}$ . Let us review this for the case  $p = 2$ . If  $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an analytic function and  $0 \leq r < 1$ , then set  $f_r(\lambda) := f(r\lambda)$  ( $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ ) and  $\|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} := \sup_{0 < r < 1} \|f_r\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}$ . We define the Hardy space  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  for the unit disk to be

$$H^2(\mathbb{D}) = \{f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is analytic on } \mathbb{D} \text{ and } \|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} < +\infty\}.$$

If  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , we define  $\tau_z : H^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by setting

$$\tau_z(f) = \int \frac{f(\lambda)}{1 - z\bar{\lambda}} d\lambda \quad (f \in H^1).$$

Since  $\frac{1}{1 - z\bar{\lambda}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \bar{\lambda}^n$ , one infers that  $\tau_z(f) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n) z^n$ . By a direct computation,  $\tau_z$  is a bounded linear functional on  $H^1$ . So, the function

$$\tilde{f} : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad z \mapsto \tau_z(f),$$

is analytic. Moreover, for each  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  and  $f, g \in H^2$ ,  $\tau_z(fg) = \tau_z(f)\tau_z(g)$ .<sup>1</sup>

The relation between  $H^2$  and  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  is explained in the next theorem.

**Fact 1.4** (Exercise 3.10 of [1]). (1)  $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$  if and only if there exists a sequence  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^2$  such that  $f(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \lambda^n$  ( $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$ ). Moreover,  $\|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} = (\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .  
 (2)  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  is a Hilbert space with the scalar product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \bar{b}_n,$$

where  $a_n = f^{(n)}(0)/n!$  and  $b_n = g^{(n)}(0)/n!$ .

(3) The map

$$H^2 \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{D}), \quad f \mapsto \tilde{f},$$

is a unitary operator.

So, we can consider the functions in  $H^2$  as boundary values of functions in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  by the unitary operator in (3) of Fact 1.4.<sup>2</sup>

Next lemma shows another example of this analytic-type behavior.

**Lemma 1.5.** If  $f, \bar{f} \in H^1$ , then there exists  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $f = \alpha$  a.e.

*Proof.* Suppose first  $f = \bar{f}$  a.e. If  $\alpha = \int f(\lambda) d\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , then

$$\bar{\alpha} = \int \overline{f(\lambda)} d\lambda = \int f(\lambda) d\lambda = \alpha,$$

so  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $n < 0$ , then

$$(\widehat{f - \alpha \varepsilon_0})(n) = \int (f(\lambda) - \alpha) \overline{\varepsilon_n(\lambda)} d\lambda = 0 - \alpha 0 = 0.$$

And also if  $n > 0$ ,

$$(\widehat{f - \alpha \varepsilon_0})(n) = \int (f(\lambda) - \alpha) \overline{\varepsilon_n(\lambda)} d\lambda = \overline{\int (f(\lambda) - \alpha) \varepsilon_{-n}(\lambda) d\lambda} = 0,$$

<sup>1</sup>To show this, first consider the case where  $f, g \in \Gamma_+$ . If  $f, g \in H^2$ , then  $(\widehat{fg})(n) = 0$  ( $n < 0$ ) by a direct computation, and therefore  $fg \in H^2$  by Hölder's inequality. There exist  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \Gamma_+$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  and  $g_n \rightarrow g$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) in the  $L^2$ -norm. Using Hölder's inequality again, one gets  $f_n g_n \rightarrow fg$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) in the  $L^1$ -norm. By the boundedness of  $\tau_z$ ,  $\tau_z(fg) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_z(f_n g_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_z(f_n) \tau_z(g_n) = \tau_z(f) \tau_z(g)$ .

<sup>2</sup>There is a more explicit way to see this boundary behavior using the Poisson kernel  $P_r$ . See section 1.5 of [3].

since  $\alpha$  is real and  $f = \bar{f}$  a.e. Finally,

$$(\widehat{f - \alpha \varepsilon_0})(0) = \int (f(\lambda) - \alpha) d\lambda = \alpha - \alpha = 0,$$

and then  $f = \alpha$  a.e.

Now we suppose only that  $f, \bar{f} \in H^1$ , then  $Re(f)$  and  $Im(f)$  are real-valued and in  $H^1$ , so by the first paragraph, these functions are constant a.e., and therefore  $f$  is constant a.e.  $\square$

**1.2. Invariant Subspaces for the Bilateral and Unilateral Shifts.** In this section, we first look at the relation between a multiplication operator and the bilateral shift of the basis  $(\varepsilon_n)$ , and determine the invariant subspaces for it.

If  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ , the multiplication operator  $M_\varphi \in B(L^2(\mathbb{T}))$  with symbol  $\varphi$  is defined by

$$M_\varphi(f) = \varphi f \quad (f \in L^2(\mathbb{T})).$$

The map

$$M_* : L^\infty(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow B(L^2(\mathbb{T})), \quad \varphi \mapsto M_\varphi$$

is an isometric  $*$ -homomorphism.

**Lemma 1.6.** (1)  $H^\infty$  is a closed subalgebra of  $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ .

(2) Suppose  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ . Then  $H^2$  is invariant for  $M_\varphi$  if and only if  $\varphi \in H^\infty$ .

*Proof.* (1) We only show that if  $\varphi, \psi \in H^\infty$ , then  $\varphi\psi \in H^\infty$  because other verifications are obvious. If  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $(\widehat{\varphi\psi})(n) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\varphi}(m)\hat{\psi}(n-m)$ . So, if  $n < 0$ , then  $(\widehat{\varphi\psi})(n) = 0$ , and therefore  $\varphi\psi \in H^\infty$ . (2) follows from (1).  $\square$

**Notation 1.7.** Set  $v := M_{\varepsilon_1}$  and  $u := v|_{H^2}$ .

Observe that  $v$  is a unitary element in the  $C^*$ -algebra  $B(L^2(\mathbb{T}))$  and that for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $v(\varepsilon_n) = \varepsilon_{n+1}$ . So  $v$  is the bilateral shift on the basis  $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ . Similarly,  $u$  is the unilateral shift on the basis  $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $H^2$ .

**Theorem 1.8.** If  $w \in B(L^2(\mathbb{T}))$ , then  $wv = vw$  if and only if  $w = M_\varphi$  for some  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ .

*Proof.* We only the forward implication because the other one is clear. Suppose  $wv = vw$ . If  $\psi \in \Gamma$ , then  $M_\psi$  is a linear span of the operators  $v^n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ), so  $M_\psi$  commutes with  $w$ . If  $\psi$  is an arbitrary element of  $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ , then there is a sequence  $(\psi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \Gamma$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\psi - \psi_n\|_{L^2} = 0.$$

Hence,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w(\psi) - w(\psi_n)\|_{L^2} = 0$  since  $w$  is continuous on  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ . And going to subsequence if necessary, we may suppose that  $(\psi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $\psi$  a.e. and  $(w(\psi_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $w(\psi)$  a.e. Now, we set  $\varphi = w(\varepsilon_0)$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$w(\psi_n) = wM_{\psi_n}(\varepsilon_0) = M_{\psi_n}w(\varepsilon_0) = \psi_n\varphi \text{ a.e.}$$

Hence,  $w(\psi) = \psi\varphi = \varphi\psi$  a.e.

Let  $E_n = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{T} \mid |\varphi(\lambda)| > \|w\| + 1/n\}$ . Clearly,  $E_n$  is a measurable set, and since for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|w\|^2 \|\chi_{E_n}\|_{L^2}^2 &\geq \|w(\chi_{E_n})\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= \int |\varphi(\lambda)|^2 \chi_{E_n}(\lambda) d\lambda \\ &\geq \left(\|w\| + \frac{1}{n}\right)^2 \int \chi_{E_n}(\lambda) d\lambda \\ &= \left(\|w\| + \frac{1}{n}\right)^2 \|\chi_{E_n}\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

one infers that  $E_n$  is of measure zero. Hence,  $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{T} \mid |\varphi(\lambda)| > \|w\|\}$  is of measure zero, that is  $|\varphi(\lambda)| \leq \|w\|$  a.e. and therefore  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ . Because  $w|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T})} = M_\varphi$ , one deduces that  $w = M_\varphi$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$  since  $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$  is  $L^2$ -norm dense in  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ .  $\square$

**Definition 1.9.** (1) If  $E$  is a Borel set of  $\mathbb{T}$ , then we call range  $K_E$  of the projection  $M_{\chi_E}$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$  a Wiener vector subspace of  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ .  
(2) If  $\varphi$  is a unitary element in the  $C^*$ -algebra  $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ , then the closed vector subspace  $\varphi H^2$  of  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$  is called a Beurling vector subspace.

Notice that  $v(K_E) = K_E$  (and therefore,  $K_E$  reduces  $v$ ). This is because  $M_{\chi_E} v = v M_{\chi_E}$  by Theorem 1.8. Also, if  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$  is a unitary element, then  $\varphi H^2$  is invariant for  $v$ , but  $v(\varphi H^2) \neq \varphi H^2$ . If  $v(\varphi H^2) = \varphi H^2$ , then  $H^2 = v(H^2) = u(H^2)$ , so the unilateral shift is surjective and therefore invertible, which is a contradiction.

Next theorem shows the characterization of the invariant subspaces for the bilateral shift  $v$ .

**Theorem 1.10.** If  $K$  is a closed subspace of  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$  invariant for  $v$ , then:

- (1)  $v(K) = K \iff K$  is a Wiener space,
- (2)  $v(K) \neq K \iff K$  is a Beurling space.

*Proof.* By the observation made before the statement, we only have to prove the two forward implications.

(1) Suppose  $v(K) = K$ , and let  $p$  be the orthogonal projection of  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$  onto  $K$ . Since  $K$  reduces  $v$ , one gets  $pv = vp$ , therefore by Theorem 1.8, there exists  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$  such that  $p = M_\varphi$ . Since  $p$  is a projection, so is  $\varphi$ , and therefore  $\varphi = \chi_E$  where  $E$  is a measurable set. This shows that  $K$  is a Wiener space.

(2) Suppose now  $v(K) \neq K$ . Then there is a unit vector  $\varphi \in K$  such that  $\varphi \perp v(K)$ . Since  $v^n(K) \in v(K)$  for all  $n > 0$ , it follows

$$0 = \langle v^n(\varphi), \varphi \rangle = \int \varepsilon_n(\lambda) |\varphi(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda.$$

Therefore, for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , we have  $\int \varepsilon_n(\lambda) |\varphi(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda = 0$ , and so  $|\varphi|^2 = \alpha$  a.e. for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  by Lemma 1.5. Since the  $L^2$ -norm of  $\varphi$  is 1, then  $\alpha = 1$ . Thus,  $\varphi$  is a unitary element in  $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ , and clearly,  $\varphi H^2 \subset K$ . Also,  $(\varepsilon_n \varphi)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ , and  $\varepsilon_n \varphi \in K^\perp$  for  $n < 0$ . So  $(\varepsilon_n \varphi)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $K$ , and therefore  $K = \varphi H^2$ . Thus  $K$  is a Beurling space.  $\square$

Now we have two applications of Theorem 1.10.

**Theorem 1.11.** The only closed vector subspaces of  $H^2$  reducing for the unilateral shift  $u$  are the trivially invariant spaces  $0$  and  $H^2$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $K$  is a non-trivial closed vector subspace of  $H^2$  reducing for  $u$ . Since  $\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} u^n(K) \subseteq \cap_{n=0}^{\infty} u^n(H^2) = 0$  and  $K \neq 0$ , therefore  $v(K) = u(K) \neq K$ , so  $K$  is a Beurling space by Theorem 1.10. Similarly,  $H^2 \ominus K$  is a Beurling space. Hence, there are unitary elements  $\varphi, \psi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$  such that,

$$K = \varphi H^2 \quad \text{and} \quad H^2 \ominus K = \psi H^2.$$

For all  $n \geq 0$ , we have  $\varepsilon_n \varphi \in K$  and  $\varepsilon_n \psi \in H^2 \ominus K$ , so

$$\langle \varepsilon_n \varphi, \psi \rangle = \langle \varphi, \varepsilon_n \psi \rangle = 0$$

Hence,  $\varphi \bar{\psi}$  has zero Fourier transform, so  $\varphi \bar{\psi} = 0$  a.e.. This is a contradiction because  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are unitary elements. Thus, the only reducing closed vector subspaces for  $u$  are  $0$  and  $H^2$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1.12** (F. and M. Riesz). If  $f \in H^2$  does not vanish a.e., then  $d\lambda(f^{-1}(\{0\})) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $K$  be the  $L^2$ -norm closed vector subspace of  $H^2$  consisting of all elements  $g \in H^2$  such that  $g\chi_{f^{-1}(\{0\})} = 0$  a.e. Then  $K$  is invariant for  $u$ , and therefore  $v$ , and so  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} u^n(K) \subseteq \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} u^n(H^2) = 0$ . Hence, if  $v(K) = K$ , then  $K = 0$ , and therefore since  $f \in K$ ,  $f = 0$  a.e. This contradicts the hypothesis. Hence,  $v(K) \neq K$ , so by Theorem 1.10,  $K = \varphi H^2$  for some unitary element  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ . Consequently,  $\varphi\chi_{f^{-1}(\{0\})} = 0$  a.e., so  $\chi_{f^{-1}(\{0\})} = 0$  a.e. Therefore,  $d\lambda(f^{-1}(\{0\})) = 0$ .  $\square$

## 2. TOEPLITZ OPERATORS

**2.1. Toeplitz Operators and Toeplitz Matrices.** Let  $p$  be the projection of  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$  onto  $H^2$ .

**Definition 2.1.** *If  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ , then the Toeplitz operator  $T_\varphi$  with symbol  $\varphi$  is the operator*

$$T_\varphi : H^2 \rightarrow H^2, f \mapsto p(\varphi f)$$

(that is, the compression of  $M_\varphi$  to  $H^2$ ).

Clearly,  $\|T_\varphi\| \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty$  and the map

$$T_* : L^\infty(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow B(H^2), \varphi \mapsto T_\varphi$$

is linear and preserves adjoint; that is,  $T_\varphi^* = T_{\bar{\varphi}}$ . Therefore, if  $\bar{\varphi} = \varphi$ , then  $T_\varphi$  is self-adjoint.

A Toeplitz matrix  $(a_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a matrix that is constant along diagonals; that is,  $a_{ij} = a_{i+1,j+1}$  for all  $i, j$ . Thus a Toeplitz matrix looks like this;

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} a_0 & a_{-1} & a_{-2} & a_{-3} & \cdots & \\ & a_1 & a_0 & a_{-1} & a_{-2} & \ddots \\ & & a_2 & a_1 & a_0 & a_{-1} & \ddots \\ & & & a_3 & a_2 & a_1 & a_0 & \ddots \\ & & & & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{array}$$

Clearly, a Toeplitz matrix is determined by a two-sided sequence  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ , with  $a_{ij} = a_{i-j}$ . Since a Toeplitz operator commutes with the unilateral shift  $u$ , it is clear that the matrix of a Toeplitz operator  $T_\varphi$  with respect to the basis  $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Toeplitz matrix. Moreover, the two-sided sequence corresponding to the matrix of  $T_\varphi$  is  $(\hat{\varphi}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ .

**Remark 2.2.** *One can prove that if  $a \in B(H^2)$  has a matrix with respect to the basis  $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  which is a Toeplitz matrix, then  $a$  is a Toeplitz operator.*

The difficulties in this theory come from the observation that the linear map  $T_*$  is not multiplicative. Specifically,  $T_\varphi T_\psi$  is rarely equal to  $T_{\varphi\psi}$ . For example, if  $\varphi = \varepsilon_1$  and  $\psi = \varepsilon_{-1}$ , then  $T_\varphi = u$  and  $T_\psi = u^*$ . As  $u^*(\varepsilon_0) = 0$ ,  $uu^* \neq 1$ , but  $T_{\varphi\psi} = T_{\varepsilon_0} = 1$ , so  $T_\varphi T_\psi \neq T_{\varphi\psi}$ .

However, we now have an explicit formula for the matrix of a product of two Toeplitz operators. Let  $(a_{i-j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(b_{i-j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  be the matrices of the Toeplitz operators  $T_\varphi$  and  $T_\psi$  respectively. If  $(c_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is the matrix of the operator  $T_\varphi T_\psi$ , then for all  $i, j$ ,

$$c_{i+1,j+1} = c_{ij} + a_{i+1}b_{-j-1}$$

This equation is called the *product matrix formula* in the paper [2]. The proof is straightforward. This formula play an important role to determine the condition that  $T_\varphi T_\psi$  is a Toeplitz operator.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $\varphi, \psi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ . If  $\psi \in H^\infty$ , then  $T_{\varphi\psi} = T_\varphi T_\psi$  and  $T_{\bar{\psi}\varphi} = T_{\bar{\psi}} T_\varphi$ . Conversely, if  $T_\varphi T_\psi$  is a Toeplitz operator, then  $\bar{\varphi}$  or  $\psi \in H^\infty$ , and  $T_\varphi T_\psi = T_{\varphi\psi}$*

*Proof.* If  $\psi \in H^\infty$ , then clearly  $\psi H^2 \subseteq H^2$ . If  $f \in H^2$ , then

$$T_\varphi T_\psi(f) = p(\varphi(p\psi f)) = p(\varphi\psi f) = T_{\varphi\psi}(f),$$

so  $T_\varphi T_\psi = T_{\varphi\psi}$ . Therefore,  $T_{\bar{\varphi}} T_\psi = T_{\bar{\varphi}\psi}$ , so by taking adjoints,

$$T_{\bar{\psi}} T_\varphi = T_\psi^* T_{\bar{\varphi}}^* = T_{\bar{\varphi}\psi}^* = (T_{\bar{\varphi}} T_\psi)^* = T_{\bar{\psi}\varphi}.$$

We now suppose conversely that  $T_\varphi T_\psi$  is a Toeplitz operator. Then its matrix is a Toeplitz matrix, so by the product matrix formula,  $a_{i+1}b_{-j-1} = 0$  for all  $i, j$ . It follows that  $a_{i+1} = 0$  for each  $i \geq 0$  or  $b_{-j-1} = 0$  for each  $j \geq 0$ , which is equivalent to the desired conclusion.  $\square$

The following example shows the picture of Theorem 2.3. Let  $a, b, c, d$  and  $e \in \mathbb{C}$ , and set  $\psi = a\varepsilon_0 + b\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varphi = c\varepsilon_{-1} + d\varepsilon_0 + e\varepsilon_1$ . Then,  $\psi \in \Gamma_+ \subseteq H^\infty$  and  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ . So, the matrix  $\Psi$  of  $T_\psi$  looks like this:

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ b & a & 0 & 0 & \ddots \\ 0 & b & a & 0 & \ddots \\ 0 & 0 & b & 0 & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly, the matrix  $\Phi$  of  $T_\varphi$  looks like this:

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} d & c & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ e & d & c & 0 & \ddots \\ 0 & e & d & c & \ddots \\ 0 & 0 & e & d & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, these are Toeplitz matrices. Then, the product<sup>3</sup>

$$\Phi\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} ad + bc & ac & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ ae + bd & ad + bc & ac & 0 & \ddots \\ be & ae + bd & ad + bc & ac & \ddots \\ 0 & be & ae + bd & ad + bc & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

is a Toeplitz matrix. Since  $\varphi\psi = ac\varepsilon_{-1} + (ad + bc)\varepsilon_0 + (ae + bd)\varepsilon_1 + be\varepsilon_2$ , this is the matrix of the Toeplitz operator  $T_{\varphi\psi}$ .

**Corollary 2.4** (Brown-Halmos). *There are no zero divisors in the set of all Toeplitz operators. Specifically, if  $\varphi\psi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ , then*

$$T_\varphi T_\psi = 0 \Leftrightarrow T_\varphi = 0 \text{ or } T_\psi = 0.$$

*Proof.* The implication  $\Leftarrow$  is trivial, so we prove the converse. Since 0 is a Toeplitz operator, it follows that  $\bar{\varphi}$  or  $\psi \in H^\infty(\subset H^2)$  and  $\varphi\psi = 0$  a.e. by Theorem 2.3. By the F. and M. Riesz theorem, if  $\bar{\varphi} \in H^2$ , then  $\psi = 0$  a.e., and if  $\psi \in H^2$ , then  $\varphi = 0$  a.e. Thus,  $T_\varphi = 0$  or  $T_\psi = 0$ .  $\square$

This corollary naturally leads to the following question:

**Question 2.5.** *Suppose  $\varphi_i \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) and*

$$T_{\varphi_1} T_{\varphi_2} \cdots T_{\varphi_n} = 0.$$

*Then, is it necessary that there exists an index  $i$  such that  $T_{\varphi_i} = 0$ ?*

This problem, which is a natural generalization of Corollary 2.4, has been solved completely by Alexandru Aleman and Dragan Vukotić [4] in 2009, by showing that the question above has an affirmative answer for all  $n$ .

<sup>3</sup>In general, matrix multiplication of two infinite matrices is not defined. However, in this case, since every rows and columns of  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  have only finitely many nonzero entries, the product is well-defined. Strictly speaking, we have to consider corresponding two-sided sequences, and then compute it by the product matrix formula.

**2.2. Elementary Spectral Theory of Toeplitz Operators.** Now, we study the elementary spectral theory of Toeplitz operators. First, we apply the F. and M. Riesz theorem to Toeplitz operators.

**Lemma 2.6.** *If  $\varphi \in H^\infty$  and  $\varphi$  is not a scalar a.e., then  $T_\varphi$  has no eigenvalues.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f \in H^2$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and

$$(T_\varphi - \lambda)(f) = 0.$$

Then,  $(\varphi - \lambda)f = 0$  a.e. Since  $\varphi - \lambda \in H^2$  and is not the zero element, the set  $\{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \mid (\varphi - \lambda)(\zeta) = 0\}$  is of measure 0 by the F. and M. Riesz theorem. Thus  $f = 0$  a.e.  $\square$

Recall that if  $A$  is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra with the unit 1, and  $a \in A$ , then the *spectrum*  $\sigma(a)$  of  $a$  in  $A$  is defined to be

$$\sigma(a) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \lambda 1 - a \text{ is not invertible in } A\}.$$

And the *spectral radius* of  $a$  is

$$r(a) = \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(a)} |\lambda|.$$

**Theorem 2.7** (Hartman-Wintner). *Let  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$  and let  $\sigma(\varphi)$  denote the spectrum of  $\varphi$  in  $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ . Then*

$$\sigma(\varphi) \subseteq \sigma(T_\varphi) \text{ and } r(T_\varphi) = \|T_\varphi\| = \|\varphi\|_\infty.$$

*Proof.* To show that  $\sigma(\varphi) \subseteq \sigma(T_\varphi)$ , it suffices to show that if  $T_\varphi$  is invertible in  $B(H^2)$ , then  $\varphi$  is invertible in  $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ . Indeed, this reduction follows from the equality  $T_\varphi - \lambda = T_{\varphi - \lambda}$  if  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . So, we now suppose  $T_\varphi$  is invertible and set  $m := \|T_\varphi^{-1}\|$ . For all  $f \in H^2$ ,

$$\|f\| = \|T_\varphi^{-1}T_\varphi(f)\| \leq m\|T_\varphi(f)\|.$$

One then infers that for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\|M_\varphi(\varepsilon_n f)\| = \|\varphi \varepsilon_n f\| = \|\varphi\| \|\varepsilon_n f\| \geq \|T_\varphi(f)\| \geq \frac{\|f\|}{m} = \frac{\|\varepsilon_n f\|}{m}.$$

However, the functions  $\varepsilon_n f$  are  $L^2$ -norm dense in  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ , since  $\Gamma$  is  $L^2$ -norm dense in  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ . Hence, for all  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$  we have  $\|M_\varphi(g)\| \geq \|g\|/m$ , and so  $M_\varphi^* M_\varphi \geq m^{-2} > 0$ . It follows that  $M_\varphi^* M_\varphi$  is invertible, and by the normality of  $M_\varphi$ ,  $M_\varphi$  is invertible. Since the map  $M_* : L^\infty(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow B(L^2(\mathbb{T}))$  is an isometric  $*$ -homomorphism (and then injective),  $\varphi$  is invertible in  $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ .

Now, suppose that  $\varphi$  is an arbitrary element of  $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ . Then, since  $\sigma(\varphi) \subseteq \sigma(T_\varphi)$ , we get  $\|T_\varphi\| \leq \|\varphi\| = r(\varphi) \leq r(T_\varphi) \leq \|T_\varphi\|$ , so we have  $\|T_\varphi\| = r(T_\varphi) = \|\varphi\|$ .  $\square$

**Notation 2.8** (Von Neumann-Schatten product). *If  $H$  is a Hilbert space and  $x, y \in H$ , then we define an operator  $x \otimes y^c$  on  $H$  by setting  $(x \otimes y^c)(z) = \langle z, y \rangle_H x$  ( $z \in H$ ). We call  $x \otimes y^c$  the von Neumann-schatten product of  $x$  and  $y$ .*

One can easily see that  $x \otimes y^c$  is a bounded operator of rank 1 if  $x$  and  $y$  are not trivial. Other basic properties of von Neumann-Schatten products are in the section 2.4 of [1].

**Notation 2.9.** *If  $H$  is a Hilbert space, we denote by  $K(H)$  and  $F(H)$  the set of all the compact operators and the set of all the finite-rank operators on  $H$  respectively.*

**Theorem 2.10.** *If  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ , then  $T_\varphi$  is compact if and only if  $\varphi = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Observe first that

$$u^{*n}(\varepsilon_m) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_{m-n} & \text{if } m \geq n \\ 0 & \text{if } m < n. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, if  $f \in H^2$ , then

$$\|u^{*n}(f)\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \langle f, \varepsilon_m \rangle \varepsilon_{m-n} \right\|^2 = \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} |\langle f, \varepsilon_m \rangle|^2.$$

So,  $u^{*n}(f) \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) in  $H^2$ . If  $v \in F(H^2)$ , then there exists  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  and  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  in  $H^2$  such that  $v = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j \otimes g_j^c$ , and therefore for each positive integer  $m$ , we have  $u^{*m}v = \sum_{j=1}^n u^{*m}(f_j) \otimes g_j^c$ . So,  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|u^{*m}v\| = 0$ , and since  $F(H^2)$  is dense in  $K(H^2)$ ,  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|u^{*m}w\| = 0$  for all  $w \in K(H^2)$ . Observe that  $u^*T_\varphi u = T_{\varepsilon_{-1}}T_\varphi T_{\varepsilon_1} = T_{\varepsilon_{-1}\varphi\varepsilon_1} = T_\varphi$  by Theorem 2.3, so if  $T_\varphi$  is compact, then since

$$\|T_\varphi\| = \|u^{*m}T_\varphi u^{*m}\| \leq \|u^{*m}T_\varphi\| \text{ and } \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|u^{*m}T_\varphi\| = 0,$$

we have  $T_\varphi = 0$ . By the Hartman-Winter's theorem (Theorem 2.7),  $\varphi = 0$ . □

The following lemma is one of the keys to determine the commutator ideal of the Toeplitz algebra.

**Lemma 2.11.** *If  $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{T})$  and  $\psi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ , then  $T_\varphi T_\psi - T_{\varphi\psi}$  and  $T_\psi T_\varphi - T_{\psi\varphi}$  are compact operators.*

*Proof.* Since  $K(H^2)$  is self-adjoint, we only have to show that  $T_\psi T_\varphi - T_{\psi\varphi} \in K(H^2)$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is dense in  $C(\mathbb{T})$  and the map  $T_*$  is linear, we may suppose that  $\varphi = \varepsilon_n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $n \geq 0$ , by Theorem 2.3.  $T_\psi T_{\varepsilon_n} - T_{\psi\varepsilon_n} = 0 \in K(H^2)$ .

Now, we show that  $T_\psi T_{\varepsilon_{-k}} - T_{\psi\varepsilon_{-k}}$  is compact for all  $k \geq 1$ , by induction on  $k$ . If  $f \in H^2$ , then  $T_\psi T_{\varepsilon_{-1}}(f) = p(\psi p(\varepsilon_{-1}f)) = p(\psi(\varepsilon_{-1}f - \langle f, \varepsilon_0 \rangle \varepsilon_{-1})) = T_{\psi\varepsilon_{-1}}f - \langle f, \varepsilon_0 \rangle p(\psi\varepsilon_{-1})$ . Hence,  $T_\psi T_{\varepsilon_{-1}} - T_{\psi\varepsilon_{-1}}$  is an operator of rank not greater than 1.

Suppose that we have shown that  $T_\psi T_{\varepsilon_{-k}} - T_{\psi\varepsilon_{-k}}$  is compact for  $\psi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$  and some  $k \geq 1$ . Then

$$T_\psi T_{\varepsilon_{-k-1}} - T_{\psi\varepsilon_{-k-1}} = (T_\psi T_{\varepsilon_{-k}} - T_{\psi\varepsilon_{-k}})T_{\varepsilon_{-1}} + T_{\psi\varepsilon_{-k}}T_{\varepsilon_{-1}} - T_{(\psi\varepsilon_{-k})\varepsilon_{-1}}$$

is compact. This proves the result. □

### 3. THE TOEPLITZ ALGEBRA

**3.1. The Toeplitz Algebra.** Let  $\mathbb{A}$  denote the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by all Toeplitz operators  $T_\varphi$  with symbol  $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{T})$ , and call  $\mathbb{A}$  the *Toeplitz algebra*.

If  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra, then its *commutator ideal* is the closed ideal generated by the commutators  $[a, b] = ab - ba$  ( $a, b \in A$ ). Clearly, the commutator ideal is the smallest closed ideal  $I$  in  $A$  such that  $A/I$  is abelian. First, we determine the commutator ideal of the Toeplitz algebra  $\mathbb{A}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *The commutator ideal of  $\mathbb{A}$  is  $K(H^2)$ .*

*Proof.* observe first that if  $K$  is a closed vector subspace of  $H^2$  invariant for  $\mathbb{A}$ , then  $K$  is reducing for  $u$ , and therefore by Theorem 1.11  $K = 0$  or  $H^2$ . Thus,  $\mathbb{A}$  is an irreducible subalgebra of  $B(H^2)$ .

Now, set  $p = [u^*, u] = 1 - uu^*$ . Obviously,  $p$  is of rank 1 and belongs to the commutator ideal  $I$  of  $\mathbb{A}$ . Since finite-rank operators are compact,  $p \in \mathbb{A} \cap K(H^2)$ . By the Theorem 2.4.9 in [1] (and the irreducibility in one of its assumption), it follows that  $K(H^2) \subseteq \mathbb{A}$ . Since  $\mathbb{A}/K(H^2)$  is generated by the elements

$$T_\varphi + K(H^2) \quad (\varphi \in C(\mathbb{T})),$$

which are commuting and normal by Lemma 2.10,  $\mathbb{A}/K(H^2)$  is abelian. Because  $K(H^2)$  is simple,  $I = K(H^2)$ . □

**Theorem 3.2.** *The map*

$$\Psi : C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}/K(H^2), \quad \varphi \mapsto T_\varphi + K(H^2)$$

*is a \*-isomorphism.*



*Proof.* If  $\varphi, \psi \in C(\mathbb{T})$ , by Lemma 2.10

$$\Psi(\varphi\psi) = T_{\varphi\psi} + K(H^2) = T_{\varphi}T_{\psi} + K(H^2) = \Psi(\varphi)\Psi(\psi).$$

So,  $\Psi$  is multiplicative, and since the map  $T_*$  is linear and preserves adjoint, so is  $\Psi$ . Thus,  $\Psi$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism. Clearly, the elements  $T_{\varphi} + K(H^2)$  ( $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{T})$ ) generate  $\mathbb{A}/K(H^2)$ , and therefore  $\Psi$  is surjective. If  $\Psi(\varphi) = T_{\varphi} + K(H^2) = K(H^2)$ , then  $T_{\varphi} \in K(H^2)$ , and therefore by Theorem 2.9,  $\varphi = 0$ . Thus,  $\Psi$  is injective.  $\square$

Now, we recall indispensable items in the operator theorist's tool-kit; the index and the essential spectrum. Let  $X, Y$  be Banach spaces.  $w \in B(X, Y)$  is *Fredholm* if  $\dim(\ker w)$  and  $\text{codim}_Y(w(X))$  are finite. We define the *index* of  $w$  to be

$$\text{ind}(w) = \dim(\ker w) - \text{codim}_Y(w(X)).$$

We will frequently use the following fact (Theorem 1.4.8 of [1]) to calculate Fredholm indices.

**Fact 3.3.** *Let  $X, Y, Z$  be Banach spaces, and let  $w_1 : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $w_2 : Y \rightarrow Z$  be Fredholm operators. Then  $w_2w_1$  is Fredholm and*

$$\text{ind}(w_2w_1) = \text{ind}(w_2) + \text{ind}(w_1).$$

Since all the operators between finite-dimensional spaces are Fredholm, we suppose  $X$  is an infinite-dimensional Banach space. We have a useful characterization of Fredholm operators.

**Fact 3.4** (Atkinson characterization). *If  $w \in B(X)$ , then*

$$w \text{ is Fredholm} \Leftrightarrow w + K(X) \text{ is invertible in } B(X)/K(X).$$

The *essential spectrum*  $\sigma_e(w)$  of  $w \in B(X)$  is defined to be

$$\sigma_e(w) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid w - \lambda \text{ is not Fredholm}\}.$$

If  $\pi : B(X) \rightarrow B(X)/K(X)$  is the quotient map, then it is clear that  $\sigma_e(w) = \sigma(\pi(w))$  by the Atkinson characterization.

**Corollary 3.5.** *If  $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{T})$ , then  $T_{\varphi}$  is Fredholm if and only if  $\varphi$  vanishes nowhere.*

*Proof.* By the Atkinson characterization,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\varphi} \text{ is Fredholm} &\Leftrightarrow T_{\varphi} + K(H^2) \text{ is invertible in } B(H^2)/K(H^2) \\ &\Leftrightarrow T_{\varphi} + K(H^2) \text{ is invertible in } \mathbb{A}(H^2)/K(H^2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 3.2,  $T_{\varphi}$  is Fredholm if and only if  $\varphi$  is invertible in  $C(\mathbb{T})$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.6.** *If  $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{T})$ , then  $\sigma_e(T_{\varphi}) = \varphi(\mathbb{T})$ .*

*Proof.* By the Atkinson characterizatn and Theorem 3.2,  $\sigma_e(T_{\varphi}) = \sigma(\pi(T_{\varphi})) = \sigma(\varphi) = \varphi(\mathbb{T})$ .  $\square$

Hence, a Toeplitz operator with continuous symbol has connected essential spectrum. In particular,  $\sigma_e(u) = \sigma(T_{\varepsilon_1}) = \mathbb{T}$ .

**3.2. The Baby Index Theorem.** Now, we calculate the Fredholm index of Toeplitz operators  $T_{\varepsilon_n}$ . Since  $\ker u = 0$  and  $u(H^2)$  is the closed linear span of the set  $\{\varepsilon_n \mid n \geq 1\}$ , so  $\text{ind}(T_{\varepsilon_1}) = \text{ind}(u) = 0 - 1 = -1$ . So, the unilateral shift  $u$  has index  $-1$ . If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\text{ind}(T_{\varepsilon_n}) = \text{ind}(u^n) = n \text{ind}(u) = -n$ , where Fact 3.3 has been used in the second equality. By, the equality  $T_{\varepsilon_{-n}}T_{\varepsilon_n} = T_1$  one also infers that  $\text{ind}(T_{\varepsilon_{-n}}) = n = -(-n)$ .

We will generalize this result, which is the simplest case of the Atiyah-Singer index theorem on odd-dimensional manifolds.

**Theorem 3.7** (The baby index theorem). *If  $\varphi$  is an invertible element in  $C(\mathbb{T})$ , then*

$$\text{ind}(T_{\varphi}) = -\text{wn}(\varphi),$$

where  $\text{wn}(\varphi)$  is the winding number of  $\varphi$  with respect to the origin.

The winding number of the continuous function  $\varphi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  is the unique integer  $n$  given by next lemma.

**Lemma 3.8.** *If  $\varphi$  is an invertible function in  $C(\mathbb{T})$ . then there exists a unique integer  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\varphi = \varepsilon_n e^\psi$  for some  $\psi \in C(\mathbb{T})$ .*

*Proof.* We only show the uniqueness of the integer  $n$  (for the existence of  $n$  and  $\psi$ ; see the proof of Lemma 3.5.14 of [1]). We need only show that if  $\varepsilon_n = e^\psi$  for some  $\psi \in C(\mathbb{T})$ , then  $n = 0$ . The map

$$\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad t \mapsto \text{ind}(T_{e^{t\psi}})$$

is continuous and has discrete range and connected domain, so it is necessarily constant. Hence,  $-n = \text{ind}(T_{e^\psi}) = \alpha(1) = \alpha(0) = \text{ind}(T_1) = \text{ind}(1) = 0$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.6.* Suppose that  $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{T})$  is an invertible element with  $\text{wn}(\varphi) = n$ . Now,  $\varphi = \varepsilon_n e^\psi$  for some  $\psi \in C(\mathbb{T})$ , and  $T_\varphi = T_{\varepsilon_n} T_{e^\psi}$  if  $n \geq 0$  and  $T_\varphi = T_{\varepsilon_n} T_{e^\psi}$  if  $n < 0$ , by Theorem 2.3. Hence,

$$\text{ind}(T_\varphi) = \text{ind}(\varepsilon_n) + \text{ind}(e^\psi) = -n$$

since  $T_{e^\psi}$  is of index zero by the proof of Lemma 3.8.  $\square$

Since the winding number of  $\varphi$  is unchanged as long as the homotopy class is fixed, so is the "analytic invariant", the Fredholm index of a Toeplitz operator  $T_\varphi$ .

**Corollary 3.9.** *Let  $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{T})$  is an invertible element. Then the following conditions are equivalent;*

- (1)  $T_\varphi$  is invertible,
- (2)  $\text{ind}(T_\varphi) = 0$ ,
- (3)  $\varphi = e^\psi$  for some  $\psi \in C(\mathbb{T})$ .

*Proof.* We need only to show the implication (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) since the others are obvious from the preceding result.

First, if  $\rho = \sum_{|n| \leq N} \lambda_n \varepsilon_n \in \Gamma$ , write  $\rho' = \sum_{n=0}^N \lambda_n \varepsilon_n$  and  $\rho'' = \sum_{n=1}^N \lambda_{-n} \varepsilon_{-n}$ . Then,  $\rho = \rho' + \rho''$  and  $\rho', \rho'' \in H^\infty$ . By (1) of Lemma 1.6., it follows that  $e^{\rho'}, e^{\rho''} \in H^\infty$ . By using Theorem 2.3, one gets  $T_{e^{\rho'}}$  and  $T_{e^{\rho''}}$  are invertible with inverses  $T_{e^{-\rho'}}$  and  $T_{e^{-\rho''}}$  respectively.

Now, if  $\psi \in C(\mathbb{T})$  is an arbitrary element, then by the density of  $\Gamma$  in  $C(\mathbb{T})$ , we may choose a trigonometric polynomial  $\rho$  as above such that  $\|1 - e^{\psi - \rho}\| < 1$ . Then  $T_{e^\psi} = T_{e^{\rho''} e^{\psi - \rho} e^{\rho'}} = T_{e^{\rho''}} T_{e^{\psi - \rho}} T_{e^{\rho'}}$ . Since  $\|1 - T_{e^{\psi - \rho}}\| = \|T_{1 - e^{\psi - \rho}}\| = \|1 - e^{\psi - \rho}\| < 1$ , the operator  $T_{e^{\psi - \rho}}$  is invertible. Hence,  $T_{e^\psi}$  is a product of invertible operators and is therefore invertible.  $\square$

We have an application of the baby index theorem to the spectral theory of Toeplitz operator.

**Theorem 3.10.** *The spectrum of a Toeplitz operator with continuous symbol is connected.*

*Proof.* If  $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{T})$ , then by the baby index theorem and Corollary 3.8,

$$\sigma(T_\varphi) = \varphi(\mathbb{T}) \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{wn}(\varphi - \lambda) \neq 0\}$$

Therefore,  $\sigma(T_\varphi)$  is a compact set consisting of the connected compact curve  $\varphi(\mathbb{T})$  and some of its holes, and therefore  $\sigma(T_\varphi)$  is connected.  $\square$

As Question 2.5, a natural question arises from this theorem.

**Question 3.11.** *Is the spectrum of a Toeplitz operator necessarily connected?*

This question was posed by Halmos, and then Harold Widom [6] answered by proving the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.12** (H. Widom). *Every Toeplitz operator has a connected spectrum.*

**3.3. Coburn's Theorem.** In this last section, we first prove an important structure theorem for isometries in a  $C^*$ -algebra, which is an application of the Toeplitz algebra. Then, we consider the universal property of the Toeplitz algebra  $\mathbb{A}$  (Coburn's theorem).

**Remark 3.13.** *Since  $C(\mathbb{T})$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $\varepsilon_1$ ,  $\mathbb{A}$  is generated by the unilateral shift  $u = T_{\varepsilon_1}$ .*

**Theorem 3.14** (Wold-von Neumann). *If  $W$  is a isometry on a Hilbert space  $H$ , then  $W$  is a unitary, or a direct sum of copies of the unilateral shift, or a direct sum of a unitary and copies of the unilateral shift.*

**Remark 3.15.** *Let  $\alpha$  be a cardinal number. We denote by  $u^{(\alpha)}$  the direct sum of  $\alpha$  copies of the unilateral shift  $u$ . Theorem 3.13 says that an isometry  $W$  is of the form*

$$W = u^{(\alpha)} \oplus U,$$

where  $U$  is a unitary (possibly vacuous).

*Proof.* We may suppose that  $W$  is neither a unitary nor a sum of copies of the unilateral shift. Set

$$K = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} W^n(H).$$

Then,  $W(K) = K$ , and therefore  $K$  reduces  $W$ . We set  $W = w \oplus w'$  where  $w$  and  $w'$  are compressions of  $W$  to  $K$  and  $K^\perp$  respectively. Since  $w$  is an isometry, the equation  $W(K) = K$  implies  $w$  is surjective, therefore  $w$  is a unitary. So, we need only to prove that  $w'$  is a direct sum of copies of the unilateral shift  $u$ .

Now, set

$$L = (W(H))^\perp.$$

Then,  $W^n(L) \subseteq W(H) = L^\perp$  for all  $n > 0$ , so if  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m \neq n$ , then  $W^m(L) \perp W^n(L)$ . We claim that

$$\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} W^n(L) = K^\perp.$$

Clearly,  $W^n(L) \perp W^n(L^\perp) (= W^{n+1}(H))$ . Since  $K \subseteq W^{n+1}(H)$ , one infers that  $W^n(L) \subseteq K^\perp$ , and therefore  $\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} W^n(L) \subseteq K^\perp$ . To show  $\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} W^n(L) \supseteq K^\perp$ , it suffices to show that if  $x \in H$  such that  $x \perp W^n(L)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $x \in K$ . Obviously, this is true for  $n = 0$ . If  $x \in W^n(H)$ , then there exists  $y \in H$  such that  $x = W^n(y)$ . Since  $W^n(y) \perp W^n(L)$ , then  $y \in L^\perp = W(H)$ , and therefore  $x \in W^{n+1}(H)$ .

Let  $E$  be an orthonormal basis for  $L$ . Since  $W$  is a isometry,  $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} W^n(E)$  is an orthonormal basis for  $K^\perp$ . For each  $e \in E$ , let  $L_e$  be the Hilbert subspace of  $K^\perp$  having  $(W^n(e))_{n=0}^{\infty}$  as orthonormal basis. Then,

$$K^\perp = \bigoplus_{e \in E} L_e,$$

each  $L_e$  is invariant for  $W$ , the compression  $W_e$  of  $W$  to  $L_e$  is the unilateral shift, and

$$w' = \bigoplus_{e \in E} W_e.$$

□

Before going to Coburn's theorem, we recall a basic tool in the operator theory ([1] section 2.1).

**Fact 3.16** (the functional calculus). *Let  $B$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra,  $a$  be a normal element in  $B$  and  $z : \sigma(a) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the inclusion map. Then there exists a unique unital isometric  $*$ -homomorphism*

$$\Theta_a : C(\sigma(a)) \rightarrow B$$

such that  $\Theta_a(z) = a$  and the image  $\Theta_a(C(\sigma(a)))$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by the unit of  $B$  and  $a$ .

The map  $\Theta_a$  is called the *functional calculus* at  $a$ . Note that if  $f \in C(\sigma(a))$ , then we write  $\Theta_a(f) = f(a)$ .

**Lemma 3.17.** *If  $w$  is a unitary in a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$ . Then there is a unique unital  $*$ -homomorphism  $\varphi : C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow B$  such that  $\varphi(z) = w$ .*

*Proof.* Observe that  $\sigma(w) \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  and that the map

$$i : C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow C(\sigma(w)), f \mapsto f|_{\sigma(w)}$$

is a unital  $*$ -homomorphism. One get  $\varphi$  by setting  $\varphi = \Theta_w \circ i$ . Since  $z$  generates  $C(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $\varphi$  is unique.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.18** (Coburn). *Suppose that  $w$  is an isometry in a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$ . Then there exists a unique unital  $*$ -homomorphism*

$$\Phi : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow B$$

*such that  $\Phi(u) = w$ . Moreover, if  $ww^* \neq 1$  (that is  $w$  is not unitary), then  $\varphi$  is isometric.*

*Proof.* The uniqueness of  $\Phi$  is clear by Remark 3.13. By the GNS-construction, we reduce to the case where  $B$  is a unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $B(H)$  containing  $\text{id}_H$  for some Hilbert space  $H$ . By the Wold-von Neumann decomposition, we can write

$$\begin{cases} H = \oplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} H_\lambda, \\ w = \oplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} w_\lambda, \end{cases}$$

where  $H_\lambda$  are Hilbert spaces and each  $w_\lambda \in B(H_\lambda)$  is a unitary or a unilateral shift.

If  $w_\lambda$  is a unitary, then by Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 3.17 and by composing all the following maps

$$\mathbb{A} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{A}/K(H^2) \xrightarrow{\Psi^{-1}} C(\mathbb{T}) \xrightarrow{\varphi} B(H_\lambda),$$

where  $\pi$  is the quotient map, one gets a unital  $*$ -homomorphism  $\Phi_\lambda : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow B(H_\lambda)$  such that  $\Phi_\lambda(u) = w_\lambda$ .

If  $w_\lambda$  is a unilateral shift, then there exists a unitary  $U_\lambda : H^2 \rightarrow H_\lambda$  such that  $w_\lambda = U_\lambda u U_\lambda^*$ . Hence, the map

$$\Phi_\lambda : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow B(H_\lambda), a \mapsto U_\lambda a U_\lambda^*,$$

is an isometric unital  $*$ -homomorphism such that  $\Phi_\lambda(u) = w_\lambda$ .

Now, by preceding paragraphs, we get the family of representations  $(H_\lambda, \Phi_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathbb{A}$ . Let  $(H, \Phi)$  be the direct sum of  $(H_\lambda, \Phi_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ . Then  $\Phi : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow B(H)$  is a unital  $*$ -homomorphism such that  $\Phi(u) = \oplus_{\lambda} w_\lambda = w$ . Moreover, since  $\Phi(u) \in B$  and  $u$  generates  $\mathbb{A}$ , one deduces that  $\Phi(\mathbb{A}) \subseteq B$ .

Finally, we suppose that  $ww^* \neq 1$ . Then some  $w_{\lambda_0}$  is a unilateral shift. Hence, the representation  $(H_{\lambda_0}, \Phi_{\lambda_0})$  is faithful, so is  $(H, \Phi)$ . Therefore, using the fact that an injective  $*$ -homomorphism between  $C^*$ -algebras is necessarily isometric,  $\Phi$  is isometric.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Gerald J. Murphy,  *$C^*$ -algebras and operator theory*, Academic Press (1996).
- [2] Arlen Brown and P.R. Halmos, *Algebraic properties of Toeplitz operators*, J. Angrew. Math. 213 (1964), 89-102.
- [3] J. Duoandikoetxea, *Fourier Analysis*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 29, American Mathematical Society (2000).
- [4] Alexandru Aleman and Dragan Vukotić, *Zero products of Toeplitz operators*, Duke Math. J. 148(2009), 373-403.
- [5] H. Moriyoshi and T. Natsume, *Operator Algebras and Geometry*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs 237, American mathematical society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [6] Harold Widom, *On the spectrum of a Toeplitz operator*, Pacific J. Math. 14(1964), 365-375.