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## Plan of Talk

In this talk, we review the relation between dilogarithm identities (DI) and cluster algebras (CA), which is recently updated in view of cluster scattering diagrams (CSD).

Caution: Cluster scattering diagrams are nothing to do with scattering amplitudes which is one of the theme of this workshop.
(9) History in B.C. (1980s-2000)

2 DI and CA (2000-2015)

3 DI and CSD (2015- )
(9) History in B.C. (1980s-2000)
(2) DI and CA (2000-2015)

3 DI and CSD (2015- )

## Dilogarithms

Euler dilogarithm: $(x \leq 1)(1768)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Li}_{2}(x) & =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{k}}{k^{2}} \\
& =-\int_{0}^{x} \frac{\log (1-y)}{y} d y
\end{aligned}
$$

Rogers dilogarithm: $(0 \leq x \leq 1)$ (1907)

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(x) & =-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{x}\left\{\frac{\log (1-y)}{y}+\frac{\log y}{1-y}\right\} d y \\
& =\operatorname{Li}_{2}(x)+\frac{1}{2} \log x \log (1-x)
\end{aligned}
$$

modified Rogers dilogarithm (no official name): $(0 \leq x)$ (1990's-)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{L}(x) & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{x}\left\{\frac{\log (1+y)}{y}-\frac{\log y}{1+y}\right\} d y \\
& =-\operatorname{Li}_{2}(-x)-\frac{1}{2} \log x \log (1+x) \\
& =L\left(\frac{x}{1+x}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In this talk we maily use $\tilde{L}(x)$. (Its importance is a key point of this talk.)

## Dilogarithm conjecture from Bethe ansatz method

- In 1980's Faddeev and others in Leningrad (St. Petersburg) started to study integrable systems by the Bethe ansatz method.
- The Rogers dilogartihm $L(x)$ mysteriously appeared through the calculation of the specific heats of various integrable lattice models.
$X_{r}$ : simply laced Dynkin diagram:


For nodes $a$ and $b$ in $X_{r}$, we write $a \sim b$ if it is adjacent in $X_{r}$.
Fix an integer $\ell \geq 2$, called the level.
For a pair $\left(X_{r}, \ell\right)$, we define a system of algebraic equations for $Q_{m}^{(a)}$
$(a=1, \ldots, r ; m=1 \ldots, \ell-1)$ :

$$
(Q \text {-system }) \quad Q_{m}^{(a)^{2}}=Q_{m+1}^{(a)} Q_{m-1}^{(a)}+\prod_{b: b \sim a} Q_{m}^{(b)}, \quad Q_{0}^{(a)}=Q_{\ell}^{(a)}=1
$$

## Conjecture [Kirillov89, Bazhanov-Reshetikhin 90]

For the unique positive real solution of the $Q$-system, the following equality holds:

$$
\sum_{a=1}^{r} \sum_{m=1}^{\ell-1} L\left(\frac{\prod_{b: b \sim a} Q_{m}^{(b)}}{Q_{m}^{(a)^{2}}}\right)=\frac{r h(\ell-1)}{h+\ell} \frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \quad\left(h: \text { Coxeter number of } X_{r}\right)
$$

## Functional generalization of dilogarithm conjecture

- The $Y$-system (a system of functional equations) was introduced by Al. Zamolodchikov in 1991 to study some integrable field theories.
- Gliozzi and Tateo conjectured the functional generalization of the dilogarithm conjecture based on the $Y$-system for certain integrable field theories.
For the same pair $\left(X_{r}, \ell\right)$ of the $Q$-system, we define a system of functional equations for $Y_{m}^{(a)}(u)(a=1, \ldots, r ; m=1 \ldots, \ell-1 ; u \in \mathbb{Z})$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
(Y \text {-system }) \quad Y_{m}^{(a)}(u-1) Y_{m}^{(a)}(u+1)= & \frac{\prod_{b: b \sim a}\left(1+Y_{m}^{(b)}(u)\right)}{\left(1+Y_{m+1}^{(a)}(u)^{-1}\right)\left(1+Y_{m-1}^{(a)}(u)^{-1}\right)}, \\
& Y_{0}^{(a)}(u)^{-1}=Y_{\ell}^{(a)}(u)^{-1}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

One can regard it as a system of recursion relations along discrete parameter $u$ (discrete dynamical system) with the initial variables $Y_{m}^{(a)}(0)$ and $Y_{m}^{(a)}(1)$.

## Conjecture [Gliozzi-Tateo95]

(1) (Periodicity) $Y_{m}^{(a)}(u+2(h+\ell))=Y_{m}^{(a)}(u)$. (for $\ell=2$, [Zamlodchikov91])
(2) (functional dilogarithm identity)

$$
\sum_{u=0}^{2(h+\ell)-1} \sum_{a=1}^{r} \sum_{m=1}^{\ell-1} \tilde{L}\left(Y_{m}^{(a)}(u)\right)=2 r h(\ell-1) \frac{\pi^{2}}{6} .
$$

For the positive constant solution, the DI reduces to the DI conjectured by [BR90].

## Examples of $Y$-system DI

## Example 1. $\left(X_{r}, \ell\right)=\left(A_{1}, 2\right)$, where $h=2$, period $2(h+\ell)=8$.

We have only variables $Y(u)=Y_{1}^{(1)}(u)$, and the $Y$-system is given by

$$
Y(u+1) Y(u-1)=1
$$

It has a reduced period of 4: $Y(u+4)=Y(u+2)^{-1}=Y(u)$. The corresponding DI is

$$
\tilde{L}(y)+\tilde{L}\left(y^{-1}\right)=\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} .
$$

This is Euler's identity.

## Example 2. $\left(X_{r}, \ell\right)=\left(A_{2}, 2\right)$, where $h=3$, period $2(h+\ell)=10$.

We have variables $Y_{1}(u):=Y_{1}^{(1)}(u), Y_{2}(u):=Y_{1}^{(2)}(u)$, and the $Y$-system is given by

$$
Y_{1}(u+1) Y_{1}(u-1)=1+Y_{2}(u), \quad Y_{2}(u+1) Y_{2}(u-1)=1+Y_{1}(u) .
$$

It has a half periodicity $Y_{1}(u+5)=Y_{2}(u), Y_{2}(u+5)=Y_{1}(u)$. The corresponding DI is $\tilde{L}\left(y_{1}\right)+\tilde{L}\left(y_{2}\left(1+y_{1}\right)\right)+\tilde{L}\left(y_{1}^{-1}\left(1+y_{2}+y_{1} y_{2}\right)\right)+\tilde{L}\left(y_{1}^{-1} y_{2}^{-1}\left(1+y_{2}\right)\right)+\tilde{L}\left(y_{2}^{-1}\right)=\frac{\pi^{2}}{2}$.
This is Abel's identity (the pentagon identity).
So, $Y$-system DIs are vast generalization of these classic identities by root systems. They were very mysterious and only proved partially before cluster algebras (= B.C.).

## (1) History in B.C. (1980s-2000)

(2) DI and CA (2000-2015)
(3) DI and CSD (2015- )

## Development after cluster algebra

Solutions of $Y$-system conjectures for ( $X_{r}, \ell$ ):

| Who and When | periodicity | DI | idea/method/result |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Gliozzi-Tateo 95 | $\left(A_{r}, 2\right)$ | $\left(A_{r}, 2\right)$ | explicit solution |
| Frenkel-Szenes 95 | $\left(A_{r}, 2\right)$ | $\left(A_{r}, 2\right)$ | explicit solution <br> constancy condition (1) |
| Fomin-Zelevinsky 00~ | - | - | cluster algebra |
| Fomin-Zelevinsky 03 | $($ any, 2) |  | cluster structure (2) <br> Coxeter transformation (3) |
| Chapoton 05 | $\left(A_{r}\right.$, any) | (any, 2) | (1) + (2) <br> evaluation at 0/ $\infty$ limit (4) |
| Szenes 06 <br> Volkov 06 | flat connection on graph <br> explicit solution |  |  |
| Fomin-Zelevinsky 07 | - | - | coefficients/F-polynomials (5) |
| Keller 08 | (any, any) |  | (5) <br> cluster category <br> Auslander-Reiten theory |
| N 09 |  | (any, any) | (1)+(2)+(3)+(4)+(5) |

There are other types of $Y$-systems, and the corresponding problems were also solved by the cluster algebraic methods. nonsimply-laced $Y$-system: [Inoue-Iyama-Kuniba-N-Suzuki13] sine-Gordon $Y$-system: [ N -Tateo10], [ N -Stella14]

## Cluster algebra basics (1)

We say that an $r \times r$ integer matrix $B=\left(b_{i j}\right)$ is skew-symmetrizable if it has a decomposition (skew-symmetric decomposition)

$$
B=\Delta \Omega
$$

where $\Delta$ is a diagonal matrix whose diagonals are positive integers and $\Omega$ is a skew-symmetric matrix.
For an integer $a$, let

$$
[a]_{+}=\max (a, 0)
$$

For an $n \times n$ skew-symmetrizable matrix $B$ and $k=1, \ldots, r$, a new $r \times r$ integer matrix $B^{\prime}=\left(b_{i j}^{\prime}\right)=\mu_{k}(B)$ is defined by

$$
b_{i j}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}-b_{i j} & i=k \text { or } j=k \\ b_{i j}+b_{i k}\left[b_{k j}\right]_{+}\left[-b_{i k}\right]_{+} b_{k j} & i, j \neq k\end{cases}
$$

It is called the mutation of $B$ in direction $k$.

## Fact

(1) $B^{\prime}$ is also skew-symmetrizable with common skew-symmetrizer $\Delta$.
(2) $\mu_{k}$ is involutive, i.e., $\mu_{k}\left(B^{\prime}\right)=B$.

## Cluster algebra basics (2)

A pair $\Upsilon=(\mathbf{y}, B)$ is called a $Y$-seed, where $\mathbf{y}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}\right)$ is an $r$-tuple of formal variables, and $B$ is an $r \times r$ skew-symmetrizable matrix.
For a $Y$-seed $\Upsilon=(\mathbf{y}, B)$ and $k=1, \ldots, r$, a new $Y$-seed $\mu_{k}(\Upsilon)=\Upsilon^{\prime}=\left(\mathbf{y}^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$ is defined by $B^{\prime}=\mu_{k}(B)$ and

$$
y_{i}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}y_{k}^{-1} & i=k \\ y_{i} y_{k}^{\left[b_{k i}\right]_{+}}\left(1+y_{k}\right)^{-b_{k i}} & i \neq k\end{cases}
$$

It is called the mutation of $\Upsilon$ in direction $k$.

## Fact

$\mu_{k}$ is involutive, i.e., $\mu_{k}\left(\mathbf{y}^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)=(\mathbf{y}, B)$.
We define a left action of permutation $\sigma$ of $\{1, \ldots, r\}$ on a seed $\Upsilon=(\mathbf{y}, B)$ by $\sigma(\Upsilon)=\Upsilon^{\prime}=\left(\mathbf{y}^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$, where

$$
y_{i}^{\prime}=y_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}, \quad b_{i j}^{\prime}=b_{\sigma^{-1}(i) \sigma^{-1}(j)}
$$

## DI associated with a period in CA

Consider a sequence of mutations

$$
\Upsilon(0)=(\mathbf{y}(0), B(0)) \xrightarrow{k_{0}} \Upsilon(1)=(\mathbf{y}(1), B(1)) \xrightarrow{k_{1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{k_{P-1}} \Upsilon(P)=(\mathbf{y}(P), B(P)) .
$$

We say that it is $\sigma$-period if $\Upsilon(P)=\sigma(\Upsilon(0))$ for a permutation $\sigma$.

- After proving several $Y$-system DIs, I recognized that the periodicity is essential.


## Theorem [N12]. (DI associated with a period in CA)

For any $\sigma$-period as above, the following DI holds:

$$
\sum_{s=0}^{P-1} \delta_{k_{s}} \tilde{L}\left(y_{k_{s}}(s)\right)=N \frac{\pi^{2}}{6},
$$

where $\Delta=\operatorname{diag}\left(\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{r}\right)$ is a common skew symmetrizer of $B(s)=\Delta \Omega(s)$ and $N$ is some positive integer. It is also rewritten in the form (zero constant form)

$$
\sum_{s=0}^{P-1} \varepsilon_{s} \delta_{k_{s}} \tilde{L}\left(y_{k_{s}}(s)^{\varepsilon_{k_{s}}}\right)=0
$$

where $\varepsilon_{s} \in\{ \pm 1\}$ is the tropical sign of $y_{k_{s}}(s)$.
$Y$-systems are embedded in some sequences of mutations. Their periodicities and DIs are special instances of the above.

## Examples of DIs (1)

type $A_{1}$ (involution). $r=1, B=(0)$.
By the involution of the mutation, we have a periodicity

$$
\Upsilon(0)=(\mathbf{y}, B) \xrightarrow{1} \Upsilon(1) \xrightarrow{1} \Upsilon(0) .
$$

The associated DI is

$$
\tilde{L}\left(y_{1}\right)+\tilde{L}\left(y_{1}^{-1}\right)=\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}
$$

This is Euler's identity. The zero constant form is trivial:

$$
\tilde{L}\left(y_{1}\right)-\tilde{L}\left(y_{1}\right)=0
$$

type $A_{1} \times A_{1}$ (commutativity/square periodicity). $r=2, B=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$.
Since two mutations $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ are commutative, we have a periodicity

$$
\Upsilon(0)=(\mathbf{y}, B) \xrightarrow{1} \Upsilon(1) \xrightarrow{2} \Upsilon(2) \xrightarrow{1} \Upsilon(3) \xrightarrow{2} \Upsilon(0) .
$$

The associated DI is

$$
\tilde{L}\left(y_{1}\right)+\tilde{L}\left(y_{2}\right)+\tilde{L}\left(y_{1}^{-1}\right)+\tilde{L}\left(y_{2}^{-1}\right)=\frac{\pi^{2}}{3}
$$

Again, this is Euler's identity. The zero constant form is trivial:

$$
\tilde{L}\left(y_{1}\right)+\tilde{L}\left(y_{2}\right)-\tilde{L}\left(y_{1}\right)-\tilde{L}\left(y_{2}\right)=0 .
$$

## Examples of Dls (2)

type $A_{2}$ (pentagon periodicity). $r=2, B=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$.
We have a nontrivial periodicity

$$
\Upsilon(0)=(\mathbf{y}, B) \xrightarrow{1} \Upsilon(1) \xrightarrow{2} \Upsilon(2) \xrightarrow{1} \Upsilon(3) \xrightarrow{2} \Upsilon(4) \xrightarrow{1} \tau_{12} \Upsilon(0) .
$$

The associated DI is
$\tilde{L}\left(y_{1}\right)+\tilde{L}\left(y_{2}\left(1+y_{1}\right)\right)+\tilde{L}\left(y_{1}^{-1}\left(1+y_{2}+y_{1} y_{2}\right)\right)+\tilde{L}\left(y_{1}^{-1} y_{2}^{-1}\left(1+y_{2}\right)\right)+\tilde{L}\left(y_{2}^{-1}\right)=\frac{\pi^{2}}{2}$.
This is Abel's identity (the pentagon identity). The zero constant form is
$\tilde{L}\left(y_{1}\right)+\tilde{L}\left(y_{2}\left(1+y_{1}\right)\right)-\tilde{L}\left(y_{1}\left(1+y_{2}+y_{1} y_{2}\right)^{-1}\right)-\tilde{L}\left(y_{1} y_{2}\left(1+y_{2}\right)^{-1}\right)-\tilde{L}\left(y_{2}\right)=0$.

$$
\text { type } B_{2} \text { (hexagon periodicity). } r=2, B=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
2 & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 2
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)=\Delta \Omega \text {. }
$$

We have a nontrivial periodicity

$$
\Upsilon(0)=(\mathbf{y}, B) \xrightarrow{1} \Upsilon(1) \xrightarrow{2} \Upsilon(2) \xrightarrow{1} \Upsilon(3) \xrightarrow{2} \Upsilon(4) \xrightarrow{1} \Upsilon(5) \xrightarrow{2} \Upsilon(0) .
$$

The associated DI in the zero constant form is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{L}\left(y_{1}\right)+2 \tilde{L}\left(y_{2}\left(1+y_{1}\right)\right)-\tilde{L}\left(y_{1}\left(1+y_{2}+y_{1} y_{2}\right)^{-2}\right) \\
& -2 \tilde{L}\left(y_{1} y_{2}\left(1+2 y_{2}+y_{2}^{2}+y_{1} y_{2}^{2}\right)^{-1}\right)-\tilde{L}\left(y_{1} y_{2}^{2}\left(1+y_{2}\right)^{-2}\right)-2 \tilde{L}\left(y_{2}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Methods/Ideas of Proof of DIs in CA

## Method 1: Algebraic method [N12].

Constancy condition [Frenkel-Szenes95] (based on the idea of [Bloch78]:

$$
\sum_{t=1}^{P} f_{t}(u) \wedge\left(1+f_{t}(u)\right)=0 \Longrightarrow \sum_{t=1}^{P} \tilde{L}\left(f_{t}(u)\right)=\text { const. }
$$

To show the constancy condition, we use the idea of [Fock-Goncharov09]): For each $Y$-seed $\Upsilon(s)$, we attach certain quantity $V(s)$ such that $V(s+1)-V(s)=\delta_{k_{s}} y_{k_{s}}(s) \wedge\left(1+y_{k_{s}}(s)\right)$. Then, the periodicity implies the constancy condition. (The proof does not explain why such $V(s)$ exists.)

## Method 2: via Quantization [Kashaev-N11].

Fo each $\sigma$-period one obtains the quantum dilogarithm identities (QDI) for Faddeev's quantum dilogarithm $\Phi_{q}(x)$ [Fock-Goncharov09]. Taking the limit $q \rightarrow 1$ and apply the saddle point method, we recover the classical DI. (The saddle point method (in multivariables) is standard in physics, but difficult to be validated rigorously.)

## Method 3: Classical mechanical method [Gekhtman-N-Rupel17].

One can bypass quantization by directly formulating mutations as classical mechanical system, where the Hamiltonian is given by the Euler dilogarithm [Fock-Goncharov09]. Then, the modified Rogers dilogarithm appears as the Lagrangian, and the DI is obtained as the invariance of the action integral due to the discrete-time analogue of Noether's theorem. (This explains the intrinsic meaning of DIs.)

## (1) History in B.C. (1980s-2000)

(3) DI and CA (2000-2015)
(3) DI and CSD (2015- )

## Cluster Scattering Diagram (CSD)

- Around 2015, Gross-Hacking-Keel-Kontsevich [GHKK18] proved some important conjectures on cluster algebras by using cluster scattering diagrams (CSDs).
- The notion of scattering diagram (a.k.a. wall-crossing structure) was originally introduced by [Gross-Siebert11] and [Kontsevich-Soibelman06] to study the homological mirror symmetry.
- Roughly speaking, any cluster pattern is embedded in the corresponding CSD.

Example:

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -3 \\
3 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { infinite type, nonaffine }
$$

$G$-fan (representing a cluster pattern). principle: mutation






CSD (only the support is presented). principle: consistency






$$
\operatorname{deg} \leq 1
$$

$\operatorname{deg} \leq 2$
$\operatorname{deg} \leq 3$
$\operatorname{deg} \leq 4$

## Badlands (the dark side)



Badlands National Park, South Dakota, USA

## Example: the Badlands in a rank 3 CSD

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & -2 \\
0 & 2 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { (infinite, nonaffine). }
$$

the stereo graphic projection of the support: (The right figure is the magnified one of the shaded region in the left figure.)

[N23] T. Nakanishi, Cluster algebras and scattering diagrams, MSJ Mem. 41 (2023), 279 pp.

## CSD Basics

- $B=\Delta \Omega$ : skew-symmetric decomposition of the initial exchange matrix
- the structure group $G=G_{\Omega}$ a lattice $N=\mathbb{Z}^{r}, N^{+}=:\left\{n \in N \mid n \neq 0, n \in\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)^{r}\right\}$. Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ : generators $X_{n}\left(n \in N^{+}\right)$with $\left[X_{n}, X_{n^{\prime}}\right]=\left\{n, n^{\prime}\right\}_{\Omega} X_{n+n^{\prime}}$. $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ : completion of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to deg exponential group $G=\exp (\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ : the product is defined by the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula
- dilogarithm elements: $\Psi[n]=\exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{j^{2}} X_{j n}\right) \in G\left(n \in N^{+}\right)$.
- action of $G$ on $\mathbb{Q}[[y]]: X_{n}\left(y^{n^{\prime}}\right)=\left\{n, n^{\prime}\right\}_{\Omega} y^{n+n^{\prime}} . \Psi[n] y^{n^{\prime}}=y^{n^{\prime}}\left(1+y^{n}\right)^{\left\{n, n^{\prime}\right\}_{\Omega}}$.
- pentagon relation: if $\left\{n, n^{\prime}\right\}=c>0$,

$$
\Psi[n]^{1 / c} \Psi\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{1 / c}=\Psi\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{1 / c} \Psi\left[n+n^{\prime}\right]^{1 / c} \Psi[n]^{1 / c} .
$$

- wall $\mathbf{w}=\left(\mathfrak{d}, \Psi[n]^{c}\right)_{n}: n \in N^{+}:$normal vector, codimension 1 cone $\mathfrak{d} \subset n^{\perp} \subset \mathbb{R}^{r}$ : support, $\Psi[n]^{c}(c \in \mathbb{Q})$ : wall element
- scattering diagram $\mathfrak{D}$ : a collection of walls (satisfying the finiteness condition)
- scattering diagram $\mathfrak{D}$ is consistent if for any loop $\gamma$ in $\mathbb{R}^{r}$, the product of wall elements (with intersection sign) along $\gamma$ is the identity in $G$.


## Theorem/Definition [GHKK18] Cluster scattering diagram (CSD)

There is a unique consistent scattering diagram $\mathfrak{D}$ (up to equivalence) such that

- $\left(e_{i}^{\perp}, \Psi\left[e_{i}\right]^{d_{i}}\right){ }_{e_{i}}(i=1, \ldots, r)$ are walls of $\mathfrak{D}$ (incoming walls)
- any other wall $\mathbf{w}=\left(\mathfrak{d}, \Psi[n]^{c}\right)_{n}$ in $\mathfrak{D}$ satisfies $B n \notin \mathfrak{o}$ (outgoing walls)


## DI in CSD

$\mathfrak{D}=\mathfrak{D}(B)$ : CSD for the initial exchange matrix $B$
$\gamma$ : any loop in $\mathfrak{D}$

- consistency relation along a loop $\gamma$ :

$$
\prod_{s} \Psi\left[n_{s}\right]^{\epsilon_{s} c_{s}}=\mathrm{id}
$$

$\epsilon_{s}$ : the intersection sign, $c_{s} \in \mathbb{Q}$.


## Theorem [N21]

The following DI holds:

$$
\sum_{s} \epsilon_{s} c_{s} \tilde{L}\left(y_{s}\right)=0
$$

$$
y_{s}=\left(\prod_{t: t<s} \Psi\left[n_{t}\right]^{-\epsilon_{t} c_{t}}\right) y^{n_{s}} \quad \text { (generalization of mutation). }
$$

- The sum is an infinite one in general.
- When the loop $\gamma$ is completely inside the $G$-fan, the DI coincides with the one associated with a period of CA.
- The proof is given by the extension of Method 3 (classical mechanical method).


## Infinite reduciblity

The following structure theorem for CSDs is known.

## Fact [GHKK18,N23]

Any consistency relation in a CSD is reduced to a trivial one by applying the pentagon and commutative relation in $G$ possibly infinitely many times.

Shortly speaking, the dilogarithm elements and the pentagon relation are everything for a CSD.
As a result, we have the following infinite reducibility of DI for a CSD.

## Theorem [N21] (inifnite reducibility of Di)

The DI associated with any loop in a CSD is reduced to a trivial one by applying pentagon identity possibly infinitely many times.

This is also applicable to the DI associated with any period in a CA, which is a finite sum.
On the other hand, according to the recent result of [de Jeu20], any finite DI whose arguments are rational functions is finitely reducible.
Thus, the DI associated with any period in a CA is actually finitely reducible. (This is a little disappointing to me at this moment because the structure group $G$ fails to catch this finite reducibility.)

## Examples (1)

type $B_{2}$ (hexagon periodicity). $r=2, B=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -1 \\ 2 & 0\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)=\Delta \Omega$.
We write $[n]:=\Psi[n]$. The consistency relation along $\gamma$ is generated by the pentagon relation as follows:


$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right] \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]} } & =\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]}\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right] \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]}\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
2
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Accordingly, one can generate the corresponding DI by the pentagon identity.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{-1}} \tilde{L}\left(y^{e_{2}}\right)+\underline{\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]^{-1} \tilde{L}\left(y^{e_{2}}\right)}+\underline{\tilde{L}\left(y^{e_{1}}\right)} \\
= & {\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]^{-1} \tilde{L}\left(y^{e_{2}}\right)+\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{-1} \underline{\tilde{L}\left(y^{e_{1}}\right)}+\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{-1} \tilde{L}\left(y^{(1,1)}\right)+\tilde{L}\left(y^{e_{2}}\right) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\cdots
$$

So, this is finitely reducible.

## Examples (2)

type $A_{1}^{(1)}$ (infinite periodicity). $r=2, B=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -2 \\ 2 & 0\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)=\Delta \Omega$.
The consistency relation along $\gamma$ is as follows:
$\left.\right|^{\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 0\end{array}\right]^{2}}\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 1\end{array}\right]^{2}\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 1\end{array}\right]^{2}\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 0\end{array}\right]^{2}=\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 0\end{array}\right]^{2}\left[\begin{array}{l}2 \\ 1\end{array}\right]^{2}\left[\begin{array}{l}3 \\ 2\end{array}\right]^{2} \cdots \prod_{j=0}^{\infty}\left[\begin{array}{l}2^{j} \\ 2^{j}\end{array} 2^{2^{2-j}} \cdots\left[\begin{array}{l}2 \\ 3\end{array}\right]^{2}\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 2\end{array}\right]^{2}\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 1\end{array}\right]^{2}\right.$

The associated DI is an infinite sum and infinitely reducible.

## CA associated with torus with two punctures

There is period of length 32 that is not a product of the pentagon and square periodicity [Fomin-Shapiro-Thurston07]. Similar examples are known in [Kim-Yamazaki18]. A schematic picture in CSD is as follows:


The loop $\gamma$ is not shrinkable inside the $G$-fan due to an obstacle (joint of type $A_{1}^{(1)}$ ). The associated DI is infinitely reducible. (However, according to the result of [de Jeu20], this is actually finitely reducible by some other means.)

