

# CLASSIFICATION OF 2-DIMENSIONAL GRADED NORMAL HYPERSURFACES WITH $a(R) = 1$ .

KEI-ICHI WATANABE (日本大学・文理学部・数学科)

## INTRODUCTION

Inspired by the talk of Kyoji Saito at the Toyama Conference, Aug. 2007, I tried the classification of 2-dimensional graded normal hypersurfaces with  $a(R) = 1$  using Demazure's construction of normal graded rings. Since the classification is so simple and nearly automatic, I want to introduce it.

Although this classification is "known" in the literature (cf. [S], [P1]), it seems that the systematic method of classification is not known. So, I think this is worthwhile to be published in some form.

Also, I present here the classification of normal two-dimensional hypersurfaces with  $a(R) = 2$  and normal graded complete intersections with  $a(R) = 1$  and  $\text{Proj}(R) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ .

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $R = k[u, v, w]/(f)$  be a 2-dimensional graded normal hypersurface, where  $k$  is an algebraically closed field of any characteristic. We put  $X = \text{Proj}(R)$ . Since  $\dim R = 2$  and  $R$  is normal,  $X$  is a smooth curve. Then by the construction of Zariski and Demazure ([1], [5]), there is an ample  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor  $D$  (that is,  $ND$  is an ample divisor on  $X$  for some positive integer  $N$ ), such that

$$R = R(X, D) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(X, O_X(nD)) \cdot T^n \subset k(X)[T],$$

where  $T$  is a variable over  $k(X)$  and

$$H^0(X, O_X(nD)) = \{f \in k(X) \mid \text{div}_X(f) + nD \geq 0\} \cup \{0\}.$$

Now, let us begin the classification. In the following,  $X$  is a smooth curve of genus  $g$  and  $D$  is a fractional divisor on  $X$  such that  $ND$  is an ample integral (Cartier) divisor for some  $N > 0$ .

We denote

$$D = D_0 + \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{p_i}{q_i} P_i \quad (\forall i, (p_i, q_i) = 1),$$

where  $D_0$  is an integral divisor; a divisor with integer coefficients. In this case, we denote

$$D' = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{q_i - 1}{q_i} P_i.$$

At the same time, by our assumption  $R \cong k[u, v, w]/(f)$ . If  $\deg(u, v, w; f) = (a, b, c; h)$ , then by [2],

$$a(R) = h - (a + b + c).$$

We always assume  $\deg(u, v, w; f) = (a, b, c; h)$  and also that  $a \leq b \leq c$ .

**Proposition 1.1. (Fundamental formulas)** *Assume that  $R = R(X, D) \cong k[u, v, w]/(f)$  with  $\deg(u, v, w; f) = (a, b, c; h)$  and  $a(R) = h - (a + b + c) = 1$ . Then we have the following equalities.*

(1) [W] *Since  $R$  is Gorenstein with  $a(R) = 1$ , we have*

$$D \sim K_X + D' = K_X + \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{q_i - 1}{q_i} P_i,$$

where, in general,  $D_1 \sim D_2$  means that  $D_1 - D_2 = \operatorname{div}_X(f)$  for some  $f \in k(X)$ .

(2) [Tomari's formula] *If  $P(R, t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \dim R_n t^n$ ,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} (1-t)^2 P(R, t) = \deg D.$$

(3) *Since  $P(R, t) = \frac{1-t^h}{(1-t^a)(1-t^b)(1-t^c)}$ , we have*

$$\deg D = \frac{h}{abc} = \frac{1}{abc} + \frac{1}{ab} + \frac{1}{ac} + \frac{1}{bc}.$$

*Note that the latter expression is a decreasing function of  $a, b, c$ .*

## 2. THE CLASSIFICATION OF THE HYPERSURFACES WITH $a(R) = 1$ .

Henceforce, we put  $D = K_X + \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{q_i - 1}{q_i} P_i$ . **We always use the letter  $r$  in this meaning.** From 1.1 (3), the maximal value of  $\deg D$  is taken when  $a = b = c = 1$  and  $\deg D = 4$  in that case.

### Case A. The case $g > 0$ .

Assume that  $g \geq 1$ . Since  $\deg(D) \leq 4$ , and  $\deg D \geq \deg K_X = 2g - 2$ ,  $g \leq 3$  and if  $g = 3$ ,  $D = K_X$ . We list the cases by giving the form of  $D$  and  $(a, b, c; h)$ . We can easily deduce the general form of the equation  $f$  from this data. Also, if  $f$  with the given weight has an isolated singularity, then  $k[u, v, w]/(f) \cong R(X, D)$ , where  $D$  is a divisor of given form.

**(A-1)**  $g = 3, D = K_X; (1, 1, 1; 4)$ .

Next, consider the case  $g = 2$ . Note that  $\dim R_1 = \dim H^0(K_X) = g = 2$ , we have  $a = b = 1$  and  $\deg D = 1 + \frac{3}{c} \leq \frac{5}{2}$  ( $c \geq 2$ ). Since, either  $\deg D = 2, D = K_X$  or  $\deg D \geq \frac{5}{2}$ , we have 2 cases.

**(A-2)**  $g = 2, D = K_X; (1, 1, 3; 6)$ .

**(A-3)**  $g = 2, D = K_X + \frac{1}{2}P; (1, 1, 2; 5)$ .

Next, assume  $g = 1$ . In this case,  $a = 1$ ,  $2 \leq b \leq c$  and the maximal value of  $\deg D$  is  $\frac{3}{2}$ . Since on the other hand,  $\deg D \geq \frac{r}{2}$  and thus  $r \leq 3$  and if  $r = 3$ ,  $D = \frac{1}{2}(P_1 + P_2 + P_3)$ .

$$(A-4) \quad g = 1, D = \frac{1}{2}(P_1 + P_2 + P_3); \quad (1, 2, 2; 6).$$

Also, since  $\dim R_2 = r$ , if  $r = 2$ , then  $a = 1, b = 2, c \geq 3$ ,  $\deg(D) \leq \frac{7}{6}$ .

$$(A-5) \quad g = 1, D = \frac{1}{2}(P_1 + P_2); \quad (1, 2, 4; 8).$$

$$(A-6) \quad g = 1, D = \frac{1}{2}P_1 + \frac{2}{3}P_2; \quad (1, 2, 3; 7).$$

If  $g = 1$  and  $D = \frac{q-1}{q}P$ , we have  $q-1$  new generators in degrees  $1, 3, \dots, q$ . Hence  $q \leq 4$ .

$$a = 1, 3 \leq b, c \text{ and } \deg D \leq \frac{8}{9}.$$

$$(A-7) \quad g = 1, D = \frac{1}{2}P; \quad (1, 4, 6; 12).$$

$$(A-8) \quad g = 1, D = \frac{2}{3}P; \quad (1, 3, 5; 10).$$

$$(A-9) \quad g = 1, D = \frac{3}{4}P; \quad (1, 3, 4; 9).$$

We have 9 types when  $g \geq 1$ .

**Case B. The case  $g = 0$  and  $r \geq 4$ .**

**In the following, we always assume  $g = 0$ .** Since  $\deg(K_X) = -2$  and  $\deg D > 0$ , we have  $r \geq 3$ . On the other hand, since  $R_1 = H^0(K_X) = 0$ ,  $a \geq 2$  and  $\deg D \leq \frac{7}{8} < 1$ . Since  $\deg D \geq -2 + r/2$ , we have  $r \leq 5$ .

**In this subsection, we treat the cases where  $r = 4, 5$ .**

Now, since  $\deg[2D] = r - 4$ ,  $\dim R_2 = 2, 1$ , respectively, if  $r = 5, 4$ .

Thus if  $r = 5$ , then  $a = b = 2$  and  $c \geq 3$ . Hence  $\deg D \leq \frac{2}{3}$ . Since  $3 \cdot \frac{1}{2} + 2 \cdot \frac{2}{3} - 2 = \frac{5}{6} > \frac{2}{3}$ , the only possible cases for  $(q_1, \dots, q_5)$  are  $(2, 2, 2, 2, 2)$  and  $(2, 2, 2, 2, 3)$ .

$$(B-1) \quad D = K_X + \frac{1}{2}(P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_5); \quad (2, 2, 5; 10).$$

$$(B-2) \quad D = K_X + \frac{1}{2}(P_1 + P_2 + P_3 + P_4) + \frac{2}{3}P_5; \quad (2, 2, 3; 8).$$

Henceforce we assume  $r = 4$  and express  $D$  by  $(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4)$  and **we always assume**  $q_1 \leq q_2 \leq q_3 \leq q_4$ . In this case,  $a = 2$  and  $3 \leq b \leq c$ . Hence  $\deg D \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Since  $4 \cdot \frac{2}{3} - 2 > \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $q_1 = 2$  and  $q_4 \geq 3$ .

Let  $s$  be the number of  $q_i > 2$  ( $1 \leq s \leq 3$ ). Then since  $\deg[3D] = -6 + 8 - s$ ,  $\dim R_3 = 0, 1, 2$  when  $s = 1, 2, 3$ , respectively.

If  $s = 3$ ,  $\dim R_2 + \dim R_3 = 3$  and we must have  $(a, b, c; h) = (2, 3, 3; 9)$ .

$$\text{(B-3)} \quad D = K_X + \frac{1}{2}P_1 + \frac{2}{3}(P_2 + P_3 + P_4); \quad (2, 3, 3; 9).$$

If  $s = 2$ ,  $a = 2, b = 3$  and  $c \geq 4$  and  $\deg D = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{c} \leq \frac{5}{12}$ . Also, since  $-2 + (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{3}{4}) = \frac{5}{12}$ , we have 2 types.

$$\text{(B-4)} \quad D = K_X + \frac{1}{2}(P_1 + P_2) + \frac{2}{3}(P_3 + P_4); \quad (2, 3, 6; 12).$$

$$\text{(B-5)} \quad D = K_X + \frac{1}{2}(P_1 + P_2) + \frac{2}{3}P_3 + \frac{3}{4}P_4; \quad (2, 3, 4; 10).$$

Now we treat the case  $(2, 2, 2, q)$ ,  $q \geq 3$ . In this case,  $R_3 = 0$  and  $\dim R_4 = 1$  or  $2$  according to  $q = 3$  or  $q \geq 4$ . In the latter case,  $\dim R_5 = 0$  or  $1$  according to  $q = 4$  or  $q \geq 5$ . Hence, if  $q \geq 5$ , we have already 3 generators of  $R$ .

$$\text{(B-6)} \quad D = K_X + \frac{1}{2}(P_1 + P_2 + P_3) + \frac{4}{5}P_4; \quad (2, 4, 5; 12).$$

$$\text{(B-7)} \quad D = K_X + \frac{1}{2}(P_1 + P_2 + P_3) + \frac{3}{4}P_4; \quad (2, 4, 7; 14).$$

$$\text{(B-8)} \quad D = K_X + \frac{1}{2}(P_1 + P_2 + P_3) + \frac{2}{3}P_4; \quad (2, 6, 9; 18).$$

We have 8 types in this case.

### Case C. The case $g = 0$ and $r = 3$ .

We have to determine  $(q_1, q_2, q_3)$ . In this case,  $R_1 = R_2 = 0$  and  $\dim R_3 = 1$  or  $0$  according to  $q_1 = 2$  or  $q_1 \geq 3$ .

#### Case 1. $q_1 \geq 3$ .

In this case,  $a = 3$  and  $4 \leq b \leq c$ . Hence  $\deg D \leq \frac{1}{4}$ . Hence either  $q_1 = 3$  or  $q_1 = q_2 = q_3 = 4$ .

$$\text{(C-1)} \quad D = K_X + \frac{3}{4}(P_1 + P_2 + P_3); \quad (3, 4, 4; 12).$$

#### Henceforce we assume $q_1 = 3$ .

$R_4 \neq 0$  if and only if  $q_2 \geq 4$ . In this case,  $a = 3, b = 4$  and  $c \geq 5$ . Hence  $\deg D \leq \frac{13}{60} = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{3}{4} + \frac{4}{5} - 2$ . Hence we have only 2 possibilities;

$$\text{(C-2)} \quad D = K_X + \frac{2}{3}P_1 + \frac{3}{4}P_2 + \frac{4}{5}P_3; \quad (3, 4, 5; 13).$$

$$(C-3) \quad D = K_X + \frac{2}{3}P_1 + \frac{3}{4}(P_2 + P_3); \quad (3, 4, 8; 16).$$

Next, assume  $q_1 = q_2 = 3$ . Hence  $\deg D = \frac{q_3 - 1}{q_3} - \frac{2}{3}$ . On the other hand, since  $R_4 = 0$ ,  $a = 3$ ,  $b \geq 5$  and  $c \geq 6$  and  $\deg D \leq \frac{1}{6}$ . This implies  $q_3 \leq 6$ .

$$(C-4) \quad D = K_X + \frac{2}{3}(P_1 + P_2) + \frac{5}{6}P_3; \quad (3, 5, 6; 15).$$

$$(C-5) \quad D = K_X + \frac{2}{3}(P_1 + P_2) + \frac{4}{5}P_3; \quad (3, 5, 9; 18).$$

$$(C-6) \quad D = K_X + \frac{2}{3}(P_1 + P_2) + \frac{3}{4}P_3; \quad (3, 8, 12; 24).$$

This completes the case  $q_1 = 3$ .

**Case 2.**  $q_1 = 2$ .

In this case,  $a \geq 4$  and  $R_4 \neq 0$  if and only if  $q_2 \geq 4$ .

**First, we consider the case  $q_1 = 2$  and  $q_2 = 3$  ( $q_3 \geq 7$ ).**

In this case,  $\deg[4D] = -1 = \deg[5D] = \deg[7D]$ ,  $\deg[6D] = 0$ . Hence  $a = 6$  and  $b \geq 8$ . Hence  $\deg D \leq \frac{1}{18} = \frac{8}{9} - \frac{5}{6}$ . This shows that  $7 \leq q_3 \leq 9$  and actually these cases gives the hypersurfaces.

$$(C-7) \quad D = K_X + \frac{1}{2}P_1 + \frac{2}{3}P_2 + \frac{6}{7}P_3; \quad (6, 14, 21; 42).$$

$$(C-8) \quad D = K_X + \frac{1}{2}P_1 + \frac{2}{3}P_2 + \frac{7}{8}P_3; \quad (6, 8, 15; 30).$$

$$(C-9) \quad D = K_X + \frac{1}{2}P_1 + \frac{2}{3}P_2 + \frac{8}{9}P_3; \quad (6, 8, 9; 24).$$

**Next, we consider the case  $q_1 = 2$  and  $q_2 \geq 4$ .**

In this case,  $\deg[4D] = 0$  and  $a = 4, b \geq 5, c \geq 6$ . Hence  $\deg D \leq \frac{2}{15} = (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{5} + \frac{5}{6}) - 2$ . Hence  $q_2 \leq 5$  and if  $q_2 = 5$ , the possibility is the following 2 cases.

$$(C-10) \quad D = K_X + \frac{1}{2}P_1 + \frac{4}{5}P_2 + \frac{5}{6}P_3; \quad (4, 5, 6; 16).$$

$$(C-11) \quad D = K_X + \frac{1}{2}P_1 + \frac{4}{5}(P_2 + P_3); \quad (4, 5, 10; 20).$$

**The remaining case is  $q_1 = 2, q_2 = 4$  ( $q_3 \geq 5$ ).**

Since  $\dim R_4 = 1$  and  $R_5 = 0$  and hence  $a = 4, b \geq 6, c \geq 7$  and  $\deg D = \frac{q_3 - 1}{q_3} - \frac{3}{4} \leq \frac{3}{28}$ . Hence  $5 \leq q_3 \leq 7$  and actually these cases give hypersurfaces.

This finishes the classification !

$$(C-12) \quad D = K_X + \frac{1}{2}P_1 + \frac{3}{4}P_2 + \frac{4}{5}P_3; \quad (4, 10, 15; 30).$$

$$(C-13) \quad D = K_X + \frac{1}{2}P_1 + \frac{3}{4}P_2 + \frac{5}{6}P_3; \quad (4, 6, 11; 22).$$

$$(C-14) \quad D = K_X + \frac{1}{2}P_1 + \frac{3}{4}P_2 + \frac{6}{7}P_3; \quad (4, 6, 7; 18).$$

### 3. THE CLASSIFICATION OF HYPERSURFACES WITH $a(R) = 2$ .

In this section, we classify normal graded hypersurfaces of dimension 2 with  $a(R) = 2$ .

We may assume that  $R = R(X, D) \cong k[u, v, w]/(f)$  with

$$\deg(u, v, w; f) = (a, b, c; h); \quad h = a + b + c + 2.$$

We always assume  $(a, b, c) = 1$ . Since  $R$  is Gorenstein with  $a(R) = 2$ ,  $2D$  is linearly equivalent to  $K_X + D'$ . Hence we may assume that

$$D = E + \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{q_i - 1}{2q_i} P_i,$$

where  $2E \sim K_X$  and every  $q_i$  is odd.

Since  $\deg D = \frac{h}{abc} = \frac{a+b+c+2}{abc} \leq 5$ ,  $2g - 2 \leq 2\deg D \leq 10$  and we have  $g \leq 6$ .

First, we divide the cases according to (1)  $a \geq 2$ , (2)  $a = 1, b \geq 2$ , or (3)  $a = b = 1$ .

**Case 1.**  $a \geq 2$ .

This is equivalent to say that  $R_1 = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) = 0$ . If this is the case, we have

$$\deg D \leq \frac{9}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 3} = \frac{3}{4} < 1.$$

Since  $\deg D \geq g - 1$ ,  $g = 0$  or  $1$  in this case.

For a while, we assume that  $g = 0$ .

Now, we can write

$$D = -Q + \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{q_i - 1}{2q_i} P_i.$$

Hence  $R_1 = R_2 = 0$  and  $\dim R_3 = r - 2$  since  $\frac{q_i - 1}{2q_i} \geq \frac{1}{3}$  for every  $q_i$ . Hence  $a = 3$  and  $\deg D \leq \frac{12}{3 \cdot 3 \cdot 4} = \frac{1}{3}$ . This implies that  $r \leq 4$  and if  $r = 4$ , then  $D = -Q + \sum_{i=1}^4 \frac{1}{3} P_i$ .

$$(2-1) \quad g = 0, D = -Q + \frac{1}{3}(P_1 + P_2 + P_3 + P_4), \quad (3, 3, 4; 12).$$

Now, we assume  $r = 3$  and  $q_1 \leq q_2 \leq q_3$ . Then since  $\dim R_3 = 1$ , we have  $a = 3$  and  $b \geq 5$ . Also,  $\dim R_4 = 0$  and  $\dim R_5 = 2$  (resp. 1, resp. 0) if  $q_3 \geq 5$  (resp.  $q_1 = 3, q_2 \geq 5$ , resp.  $q_2 = 3$ ).

If  $q_1 \geq 5$ ,  $\deg D \leq \frac{15}{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 5} = \frac{1}{5}$ . Hence we must have  $D = -Q + \frac{2}{5}(P_1 + P_2 + P_3)$ .

$$(2-2) \quad g = 0, D = -Q + \frac{2}{5}(P_1 + P_2 + P_3), \quad (3, 5, 5; 15).$$

Next, consider the case  $q_1 = 3$  and  $q_2 \geq 5$ . In this case,  $a = 3, b = 5$  and  $c \geq 7$  and then  $\deg D \leq \frac{17}{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7} = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{5} + \frac{3}{7} - 1$ . Hence we are restricted to the following 2 cases.

$$(2-3) \quad g = 0, D = -Q + \frac{1}{3}P_1 + \frac{2}{5}P_2 + \frac{3}{7}P_3, \quad (3, 5, 7; 17).$$

Actually, if we put  $D = -\frac{2}{3}(\infty) + \frac{2}{5}(0) + \frac{3}{7}(-1)$ , then  $R = k[F, G, H]$  with  $F = \frac{1}{x(x+1)}T^3, G = \frac{1}{x^2(x+1)^2}T^5, H = \frac{1}{x^2(x+1)^3}T^7$  with the relation

$$F^4G = FH^2 + G^2H.$$

Hence  $R \cong k[X, Y, Z]/(XZ^2 + Y^2Z - X^4Y)$ .

$$(2-4) \quad g = 0, D = -Q + \frac{1}{3}P_1 + \frac{2}{5}(P_2 + P_3), \quad (3, 5, 10; 20).$$

If  $q_2 = 3$ , then  $a = 3$  and  $b \geq 7$ . Hence  $\deg D \leq \frac{21}{3 \cdot 7 \cdot 9} = 2\frac{1}{3} + \frac{4}{9} - 1$ . Hence in this case,  $q_3 = 5, 7$  or  $9$ .

$$(2-5) \quad g = 0, D = -Q + \frac{1}{3}(P_1 + P_2) + \frac{4}{9}P_3, \quad (3, 7, 9; 21).$$

$$(2-6) \quad g = 0, D = -Q + \frac{1}{3}(P_1 + P_2) + \frac{3}{7}P_3, \quad (3, 7, 15; 30).$$

$$(2-7) \quad g = 0, D = -Q + \frac{1}{3}(P_1 + P_2) + \frac{2}{5}P_3, \quad (3, 10, 15; 30).$$

Now we have finished the case  $a \geq 2$  and  $g = 0$ . Next, we treat the case  $a \geq 2$  and  $g = 1$ . In this case, we put

$$D = E + \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{q_i - 1}{2q_i} P_i,$$

where  $E \in \text{Div}(X)$  with  $E \neq 0$  and  $2E \sim 0$ . Since  $[2D] = 0$  and  $\deg[3D] = r > 0$ , we have  $a = 2$  and  $b = 3$ . Hence  $\deg D \leq \frac{10}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 3} < 1$  and actually, we have  $r = 1$  or  $2$ .

If  $r = 2$ , then  $\deg[4D] = 2$  and we must have  $(a, b, c) = (2, 3, 4)$  and  $\deg D = \frac{11}{24}$ . But since  $\frac{q_1 - 1}{2q_1} + \frac{q_2 - 1}{2q_2} = \frac{11}{24}$  is impossible, this case does not occur. Hence we must have  $r = 1$ .

Since  $a = 2, b = 3, c = 4$  is impossible as we have seen before, we must have  $D = E + \frac{q-1}{2q}P$  with  $E + P \geq 0$  and  $\deg D \leq \frac{12}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5}$ . We have 2 possibilities;  $D = E + \frac{2}{5}P$  and  $D = E + \frac{1}{3}P$ . But the in latter case, we must have  $a = 2, b = 3, c = 9$ , which contradicts the fact  $\deg D = \frac{1}{3}$ .

Hence we are reduced to the case.

$$(2-8) \quad g = 1, D = E + \frac{2}{5}P \text{ with } 2E \sim 0 \text{ and } E \neq 0, \quad (2, 3, 5; 12).$$

This finishes the case  $a \geq 2$ .

**Case 2.**  $a = 1$  and  $b \geq 2$ .

In this case,  $\deg D \leq \frac{7}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} < 2$ . Hence we have  $g = 1$  or  $2$  in this case.

Moreover, if  $g = 1$ , since  $[2D] = 0$ , we have  $b \geq 3$  and  $\deg D \leq \frac{9}{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 3} \leq 1$ .

First, we assume  $g = 1$  and  $D = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{q_i - 1}{2q_i} P_i$ . Since  $[2D] = 0$  in this case,  $b \geq 3$  and we have  $\deg D \leq \frac{9}{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 3} = 1$ . Hence  $r \leq 3$  in this case and if  $r = 3$ ,  $D = \frac{1}{3}(P_1 + P_2 + P_3)$ .

$$(2-9) \quad g = 1, D = \frac{1}{3}(P_1 + P_2 + P_3), \quad (1, 3, 3; 9).$$

Next, we assume  $r = 2$ . Then  $b = 3$  and  $c \geq 5$ . We have  $\deg D \leq \frac{11}{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5} = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{5}$ . Hence we have 2 possibilities;

$$(2-10) \quad g = 1, D = \frac{1}{3}P_1 + \frac{2}{5}P_2, \quad (1, 3, 5; 11).$$

There is a linear relation between  $T^{11}, GT^8, HT^6, G^2T^5, GHT^3, G^3T^2, H^2T, G^2H$ , where  $\deg T = 1, \deg G = 3$  and  $\deg H = 5$ .

$$(2-11) \quad g = 1, D = \frac{1}{3}(P_1 + P_2), \quad (1, 3, 6; 12).$$

Next, we assume  $D = \frac{q-1}{2q}P$ . In this case,  $b \geq 5$  and  $\deg D \leq \frac{15}{1 \cdot 5 \cdot 7} = \frac{3}{7}$ . Hence we have 3 possibilities;  $q = 3, 5, 7$ .

$$(2-12) \quad g = 1, D = \frac{3}{7}P, \quad (1, 5, 7; 15).$$

$$(2-13) \quad g = 1, D = \frac{2}{5}P, \quad (1, 5, 8; 16).$$

$$(2-14) \quad g = 1, D = \frac{1}{3}P, \quad (1, 6, 9; 18).$$

Next, we treat the case  $g = 2, a = 1, b \geq 2$  and  $D = E + \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{q_i - 1}{2q_i} P_i$ , with  $2E \sim K_X$ . Since  $[2D] \sim K_X$  in this case, we have  $a = 1, b = 2$  and  $c \geq 3$ . Thus we have  $\deg D \leq \frac{8}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3} = 1 + \frac{1}{3}$ . Hence  $r \leq 1$  and if  $r = 1$ , then  $D = D = E + \frac{1}{3}P$ .

$$(2-15) \quad g = 2, D = E \text{ with } 2E \sim K_X, \quad (1, 2, 5; 10).$$

$$(2-16) \quad g = 2, D = \frac{1}{3}P, \quad (1, 2, 3; 8).$$

This finishes the case  $a = 1, b \geq 2$ .

**Case 3.**  $a = b = 1$ .

In this case,  $\deg D = \frac{c+4}{c}$ . Since  $g \geq 3$  in this case,  $\deg D \geq 2$  and we have  $c \leq 4$ .

$$(2-17) \quad g = 6, D = E \text{ with } 2E \sim K_X, \quad (1, 1, 1; 5).$$

$$(2-18) \quad g = 5, D = E \text{ with } 2E \sim K_X, \quad (1, 1, 2; 6).$$

$$(2-19) \quad g = 3, D = E + \frac{1}{3}P \text{ with } 2E \sim K_X, \quad (1, 1, 3; 7).$$

$$(2-20) \quad g = 3, D = E \text{ with } 2E \sim K_X, \quad (1, 1, 4; 8).$$

#### 4. COMPLETE INTERSECTIONS WITH $a(R) = 1$ .

In my talk at the conference, I talked about classification of normal graded complete intersections of dimension 2 with  $a(R) = 1$ . Until now, I can not find a satisfactory way to classify them. Here, I will show the results when the genus of the curve is 0.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$  be a normal graded complete intersection of dimension 2 with  $R_0 = k$ , a field,  $a(R) = 1$  and  $R_1 = 0$ . Then the embedded dimension of  $R$  is at most 4.*

This follows from the fact  $\mathfrak{m}H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ , where  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the graded maximal ideal of  $R$  and  $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$  is a resolution of singularities of  $R$ . By the Briançon-Skoda type argument, we can assert that  $\mathfrak{m}^3 \subset J$ , where  $J$  is a minimal reduction of  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Then by the argument as in [NW], §2, we can deduce that the embedded dimension of  $R$  is at most 4. Conversely, if  $R$  is Gorenstein with the embedded dimension 4, then  $R$  is a complete intersection by the famous result of J.-P. Serre.

Until now, I can not find a satisfactory method of classification for this case. Actually, what I do is only to restrict the embedding dimension. So, I list only the results in this case. We list the divisor  $D$  on  $X = \mathbb{P}^1$  with  $R(X, D) \cong k[u, v, w, z]/(f, g)$ . We also put  $\deg(u, v, w, z; f, g) = (a, b, c, d; g, h)$  with  $g + h = a + b + c + d + 1$  with  $a \leq b \leq c \leq d$  and  $g \leq h$  in the following table.

$$(3-1) \quad D = K_X + \frac{1}{2}(P_1 + \dots + P_6), \quad (2, 2, 2, 3; 4, 6).$$

$$(3-2) \quad D = K_X + \frac{1}{2}(P_1 + \dots + P_4) + \frac{3}{4}P_5, \quad (2, 2, 3, 4; 6, 6).$$

$$(3-3) \quad D = K_X + \frac{1}{2}(P_1 + P_2 + P_3) + \frac{2}{3}(P_4 + P_5), \quad (2, 2, 3, 3; 5, 6).$$

$$(3-4) \quad D = K_X + \frac{2}{3}(P_1 + \dots + P_4), \quad (2, 3, 3, 3; 6, 6).$$

- (3-4)  $D = K_X + \frac{2}{3}(P_1 + \dots + P_4), \quad (2, 3, 3, 3; 6, 6).$
- (3-5)  $D = K_X + \frac{1}{2}P_1 + \frac{2}{3}(P_2 + P_3) + \frac{3}{4}P_4, \quad (2, 3, 3, 4; 6, 7).$
- (3-5)  $D = K_X + \frac{1}{2}P_1 + \frac{2}{3}(P_2 + P_3) + \frac{3}{4}P_4, \quad (2, 3, 3, 4; 6, 7).$
- (3-6)  $D = K_X + \frac{1}{2}(P_1 + P_2) + \frac{3}{4}(P_3 + P_4), \quad (2, 3, 4, 4; 6, 8).$
- (3-7)  $D = K_X + \frac{1}{2}(P_1 + P_2) + \frac{2}{3}P_3 + \frac{4}{5}P_4, \quad (2, 3, 4, 5; 7, 8).$
- (3-8)  $D = K_X + \frac{1}{2}(P_1 + P_2 + P_3) + \frac{5}{6}P_4, \quad (2, 4, 5, 6; 8, 10).$
- (3-9)  $D = K_X + \frac{1}{2}(P_1 + P_2 + P_3) + \frac{5}{6}P_4, \quad (2, 4, 5, 6; 8, 10).$
- (3-10)  $D = K_X + \frac{3}{4}(P_1 + P_2) + \frac{4}{5}P_3, \quad (3, 4, 4, 5; 8, 9).$
- (3-11)  $D = K_X + \frac{2}{3}P_1 + \frac{4}{5}(P_2 + P_3), \quad (3, 4, 5, 5; 8, 10).$
- (3-12)  $D = K_X + \frac{2}{3}P_1 + \frac{3}{4}P_2 + \frac{5}{6}P_3, \quad (3, 4, 5, 6; 9, 10).$
- (3-13)  $D = K_X + \frac{2}{3}(P_1 + P_2) + \frac{6}{7}P_3, \quad (3, 5, 6, 7; 10, 12).$
- (3-14)  $D = K_X + \frac{1}{2}P_1 + \frac{5}{6}(P_2 + P_3), \quad (4, 5, 6, 6; 10, 12).$
- (3-15)  $D = K_X + \frac{1}{2}P_1 + \frac{4}{5}P_2 + \frac{6}{7}P_3, \quad (4, 5, 6, 7; 11, 12).$
- (3-16)  $D = K_X + \frac{1}{2}P_1 + \frac{3}{4}P_2 + \frac{7}{8}P_3, \quad (4, 6, 7, 8; 12, 14).$
- (3-17)  $D = K_X + \frac{1}{2}P_1 + \frac{2}{3}P_2 + \frac{9}{10}P_3, \quad (6, 8, 9, 10; 16, 18).$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] [Dem] Demazure, M.: Anneaux gradués normaux ; in Seminarire Demazure -Giraud - Teissier, 1979. Ecole Polytechnique In:Lê Dũng Tráng(ed.) Introduction a la théorie des singularités II; Méthodes algébriques et géométriques ( Travaux En Cours vol.**37**, pp.35-68) Paris:Hermann 1988
- [2] [GW] Goto, S., Watanabe, K.-i.: On graded rings I. J. Math. Soc. Japan. **30** (1978) 179-213.
- [3] [NW] Nakajima, H., Watanabe, K.-i.: The classification of quotient singularities which are complete intersections, in "Complete Intersections", Lect. Notes in Math. **1092** (1984), 102-120.
- [4] [P1] Pinkham, H.: Singularités exceptionnelles, la dualité étrange d'Arnold et les surfaces K3, C.R.Acad.Sc.Paris, **284** (A) (1977), 615-618.
- [5] [P2] Pinkham, H.: Normal surface singularities with  $\mathbf{C}^*$ -action Math. Ann. **227**, (1977) 183-193

- [6] [S] Saito, K.: Regular system of weights and associated singularities, In:Suwa,T., Wagreich,Ph.(ed.) Complex analytic singularities. (Adv. Studies in pure Math.**8**,479-526) Tokyo Amsterdam: Kinokuniya-North-Holland 1986
- [7] [W] Watanabe, K.-i.: Some remarks concerning Demazure's construction of normal graded rings , Nagoya Math. J. **83**,(1981) 203-211

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF HUMANITIES AND SCIENCES, NIHON UNIVERSITY SETAGAYA, TOKYO 156-8550, JAPAN

*E-mail address:* watanabe@math.chs.nihon-u.ac.jp