

Modular Springer Correspondence for classical groups

Karine Sorlin

Université de Picardie Jules Verne

13th March, 2012

G a connected reductive group over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, p a good prime for G .

W Weyl group of G .

ℓ a prime number distinct from p ,

\mathbb{K} sufficiently large finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_ℓ ,

Springer Correspondence in characteristic 0 (1976)

$$\text{Irr}\mathbb{K}W \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{K}}$$

- ▶ $\text{Irr}\mathbb{K}W$: set of representatives of isomorphism classes of simple $\mathbb{K}W$ -modules.
- ▶ $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{K}}$: set of pairs (x, ρ) up to G -conjugacy, where x is a nilpotent element of $\text{Lie}(G)$ and $\rho \in \text{Irr}\mathbb{K}A(x)$.
Where $A(x) = C_G(x)/C_G(x)^0$.

G a connected reductive group over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, p a good prime for G .

W Weyl group of G .

ℓ a prime number distinct from p ,

$(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{O}, \mathbb{F})$ ℓ -modular system:

\mathbb{K} sufficiently large finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_ℓ ,

\mathbb{O} valuation ring, \mathbb{F} residue field.

Springer Correspondence in characteristic ℓ (Juteau, 2007)

$$\text{Irr}^{\mathbb{F}}W \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{F}}$$

- ▶ $\text{Irr}^{\mathbb{F}}W$: set of representatives of isomorphism classes of simple $\mathbb{F}W$ -modules.
- ▶ $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{F}}$: set of pairs (x, ρ) up to G -conjugacy, where x is a nilpotent element of $\text{Lie}(G)$ and $\rho \in \text{Irr}^{\mathbb{F}}A(x)$.
Where $A(x) = C_G(x)/C_G(x)^0$.

The Springer Correspondence in characteristic 0 was:

- ▶ explicitly determined in the case of classical groups by Shoji (1979).
- ▶ generalized by Lusztig to include all pairs (x, ρ) (1984).
- ▶ The Springer correspondence was used by Shoji in an algorithm which computes Green functions of a finite reductive group G^F , where G is a reductive group over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ endowed with a \mathbb{F}_q -rational structure ($q = p^n$) given by a Frobenius endomorphism F .

- ▶ Subject of this talk: common work with Daniel Juteau (Université de Caen) and Cédric Lecouvey (Université de Tours).
- ▶ Our purpose was to determine explicitly the modular Springer correspondence for classical groups.
- ▶ Strategy: we used the explicit description of the Springer Correspondence in characteristic 0 and unitriangularity properties of the decomposition matrices (both for the Weyl group and perverse sheaves).

Geometric construction of the Springer Correspondence

Simple perverse sheaves on the nilpotent cone

Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ be the nilpotent cone.

Simple perverse sheaves on the nilpotent cone

Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ be the nilpotent cone.

$(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{O}, \mathbb{F})$ an ℓ -modular system as before, $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{K}$ or \mathbb{F} .

We consider the abelian category $\text{Perv}_G(\mathcal{N}, \mathbb{E})$ of G -equivariant \mathbb{E} -perverse sheaves on \mathcal{N} .

We recall the notation:

$$\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{E}} = \{(x, \rho) \text{ up to } G\text{-conjugacy} \mid x \in \mathcal{N}, \rho \in \text{Irr } \mathbb{E}A(x)\}$$

where $A(x) = C_G(x)/C_G(x)^0$.

Simple perverse sheaves on the nilpotent cone

Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ be the nilpotent cone.

$(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{O}, \mathbb{F})$ an ℓ -modular system as before, $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{K}$ or \mathbb{F} .

We consider the abelian category $\text{Perv}_G(\mathcal{N}, \mathbb{E})$ of G -equivariant \mathbb{E} -perverse sheaves on \mathcal{N} .

We recall the notation:

$$\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{E}} = \{(x, \rho) \text{ up to } G\text{-conjugacy} \mid x \in \mathcal{N}, \rho \in \text{Irr } \mathbb{E}A(x)\}$$

where $A(x) = C_G(x)/C_G(x)^0$.

These pairs parametrize the simple objects in $\text{Perv}_G(\mathcal{N}, \mathbb{E})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{E}} &\simeq \text{Irr } \text{Perv}_G(\mathcal{N}, \mathbb{E}) \\ (x, \rho) &\mapsto \mathbf{IC}_{\mathbb{E}}(x, \rho) \end{aligned}$$

Lusztig's construction (1981)

Let \mathcal{B} be the flag variety.

Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \{(x, B) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{B} \mid x \in \text{Lie}(B)\}$

$\pi : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ projection onto the first factor

Lusztig's construction (1981)

Let \mathcal{B} be the flag variety.

Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \{(x, B) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{B} \mid x \in \text{Lie}(B)\}$

$\pi : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ projection onto the first factor

We have a diagram with cartesian squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{rs} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{j}_{rs}} & \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} & \xleftarrow{i_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}} & \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \\
 \downarrow \pi_{rs} & & \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}} \\
 \mathfrak{g}_{rs} & \xrightarrow{j_{rs}} & \mathfrak{g} & \xleftarrow{i_{\mathcal{N}}} & \mathcal{N}
 \end{array}$$

where \mathfrak{g}_{rs} is the open dense subset of regular semi-simple elements of \mathfrak{g} .

Lusztig's construction (1981)

Let \mathcal{B} be the flag variety.

Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \{(x, B) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{B} \mid x \in \text{Lie}(B)\}$

$\pi : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ projection onto the first factor

We have a diagram with cartesian squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{rs} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{j}_{rs}} & \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} & \xleftarrow{i_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}} & \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \\
 \downarrow \pi_{rs} & & \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}} \\
 \mathfrak{g}_{rs} & \xrightarrow{j_{rs}} & \mathfrak{g} & \xleftarrow{i_{\mathcal{N}}} & \mathcal{N}
 \end{array}$$

where \mathfrak{g}_{rs} is the open dense subset of regular semi-simple elements of \mathfrak{g} .

One can define an action of the Weyl group W on $K = \pi_* \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}$.

And $K|_{\mathcal{N}}[\dim(\mathcal{N})] \in \text{Perv}_G(\mathcal{N}, \mathbb{E})$.

In characteristic 0

Borho-MacPherson Theorem (1981)

1. $K[\dim(\mathcal{N})]|_{\mathcal{N}}$ is a semi-simple object in $\text{Perv}_G(\mathcal{N}, \mathbb{K})$ and

$$K[\dim(\mathcal{N})]|_{\mathcal{N}} \simeq \bigoplus_{(x,\rho) \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{K}}} V_{(x,\rho)} \otimes \mathbf{IC}(x, \rho)$$

2. For any $(x, \rho) \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{K}}$, we get $V_{(x,\rho)} \in \text{Irr } \mathbb{K}W$ and we get an injective map

$$\text{Irr } \mathbb{K}W \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{K}}$$

which is the Springer Correspondance over \mathbb{K} .

Proof based on the Beilinson-Bernstein-Deligne decomposition theorem of perverse sheaves.

A method one can still use in characteristic ℓ

Fourier-Deligne transform is an autoequivalence \mathcal{F} of the category $\text{Perv}_G(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{E})$ such that

$$\mathcal{F}(K[\dim(\mathcal{N})]_{|\mathcal{N}}) \simeq K[\dim(\mathfrak{g})]$$

Theorem ($\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{K}$ Brylinski (1986), $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{F}$ Juteau (2007))

Using a Fourier-Deligne transform, one can define an injective map $\Psi_{\mathbb{E}} : \text{Irr } \mathbb{E}W \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbb{E}}$.

A method one can still use in characteristic ℓ

Fourier-Deligne transform is an autoequivalence \mathcal{F} of the category $\text{Perv}_G(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{E})$ such that

$$\mathcal{F}(K[\dim(\mathcal{N})]|_{\mathcal{N}}) \simeq K[\dim(\mathfrak{g})]$$

Theorem ($\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{K}$ Brylinski (1986), $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{F}$ Juteau (2007))

Using a Fourier-Deligne transform, one can define an injective map $\Psi_{\mathbb{E}} : \text{Irr } \mathbb{E}W \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{E}}$.

- ▶ The two versions of the Springer correspondence in char. 0 are related by tensoring with the sign character.

$$E \in \text{Irr } \mathbb{K}W \mapsto E \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \text{Sgn} \in \text{Irr } \mathbb{K}W$$

Example: $G = GL_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$

- ▶ $C_G(x)$ is connected for all $x \in \mathcal{N}$ and the group $A(x)$ is trivial.

Example: $G = GL_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$

- ▶ $C_G(x)$ is connected for all $x \in \mathcal{N}$ and the group $A(x)$ is trivial.
- ▶ Nilpotent orbits are parametrized by partitions of n (via the Jordan normal form).

$$\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{K}} \leftrightarrow \{\lambda \vdash n\}.$$

Example: $G = GL_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$

- ▶ $C_G(x)$ is connected for all $x \in \mathcal{N}$ and the group $A(x)$ is trivial.
- ▶ Nilpotent orbits are parametrized by partitions of n (via the Jordan normal form).
 $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{K}} \leftrightarrow \{\lambda \vdash n\}$.
- ▶ Here, W is the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n : The simple modules of $\mathbb{K}\mathfrak{S}_n$ are the Specht modules S^λ , for $\lambda \vdash n$.

Example: $G = GL_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$

- ▶ $C_G(x)$ is connected for all $x \in \mathcal{N}$ and the group $A(x)$ is trivial.
- ▶ Nilpotent orbits are parametrized by partitions of n (via the Jordan normal form).
 $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{K}} \leftrightarrow \{\lambda \vdash n\}$.
- ▶ Here, W is the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n : The simple modules of $\mathbb{K}\mathfrak{S}_n$ are the Specht modules S^λ , for $\lambda \vdash n$.

Springer correspondence in char. 0 for $GL_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$

$\Psi_{\mathbb{K}}$ is a bijection and maps $S^\lambda \in \text{Irr } \mathbb{K}\mathfrak{S}_n$ to $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda^*} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{K}}$, where λ^* is the transpose partition of λ .

How to use the known results in characteristic 0 to solve the case of characteristic ℓ ?

Decomposition matrix for the Weyl group W

As for any finite group, we can define for the Weyl group W an ℓ -modular decomposition matrix

$$D^W := (d_{E,F}^W)_{E \in \text{Irr } \mathbb{K}W, F \in \text{Irr } \mathbb{F}W}$$

where $d_{E,F}^W$ is the composition multiplicity of the simple $\mathbb{F}W$ -module F in $\mathbb{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{O}} E_{\mathbb{O}}$, where $E_{\mathbb{O}}$ is some integral form of E . This is independent of the choice of $E_{\mathbb{O}}$.

Decomposition matrix for perverse sheaves (Juteau, 2007)

- ▶ For $\mathbb{E} \in \{\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{F}\}$,
 $\text{Perv}_G(\mathcal{N}, \mathbb{E})$: category of G -equivariant \mathbb{E} -perverse sheaves on \mathcal{N} .
 Simple objects: $\mathbf{IC}(x, \rho)$ where $(x, \rho) \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{E}}$
- ▶ One can define a decomposition matrix for G -equivariant perverse sheaves on \mathcal{N} :

$$D^{\mathcal{N}} := (d_{(x,\rho),(y,\sigma)}^{\mathcal{N}})_{(x,\rho) \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{K}}, (y,\sigma) \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{F}}}$$

Where $d_{(x,\rho),(y,\sigma)}^{\mathcal{N}}$ is the composition multiplicity of $\mathbf{IC}(y, \sigma)$ in $\mathbb{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{O}}^L \mathbf{IC}(x, \rho_{\mathbb{O}})$ and $\rho_{\mathbb{O}}$ is some integral form of ρ .

D^W can be seen as a submatrix of $D^{\mathcal{N}}$

Theorem (Juteau, 2007)

For $E \in \text{Irr } \mathbb{K}W$ and $F \in \text{Irr } \mathbb{F}W$, we have

$$d_{E,F}^W = d_{\Psi_{\mathbb{K}}(E), \Psi_{\mathbb{F}}(F)}^{\mathcal{N}}.$$

Where $\Psi_{\mathbb{E}} : \text{Irr } \mathbb{E}W \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{E}}$ is the Springer correspondence over \mathbb{E} .

Till the end of this talk

We will suppose that $G = GL_n(\mathbb{K})$ or G is a classical group and that $\ell \neq 2$.

Then, ℓ does not divide $|A(x)|$, hence we can identify $\text{Irr } \mathbb{F}A(x)$ with $\text{Irr } \mathbb{K}A(x)$ and $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{K}}$ with $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{F}}$.

Unitriangularity of the decomposition matrix of perverse sheaves

Definition: partial order on the nilpotent orbits

$$\mathcal{O} \leq \mathcal{O}' \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{O} \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}'}$$

$D^{\mathcal{N}}$ has the following unitriangularity property:

Proposition (Juteau, 2007)

$$d_{(x,\rho),(y,\sigma)}^{\mathcal{N}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{unless } \mathcal{O}_y \leq \mathcal{O}_x, \\ \delta_{\rho,\sigma} & \text{if } y = x. \end{cases}$$

Where \mathcal{O}_x (resp. \mathcal{O}_y) is the orbit of x (resp. y).

Example: $GL_4(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, $\ell = 3$, $p \neq 3$

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \chi_4 \\
 \chi_{31} \\
 \chi_{2^2} \\
 \chi_{21^2} \\
 \chi_{1^4}
 \end{array}
 \begin{array}{c}
 \overline{\chi_4} \quad \overline{\chi_{31}} \quad \overline{\chi_{1^4}} \quad \overline{\chi_{21^2}} \\
 \left(\begin{array}{cccc}
 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
 \hline
 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
 \end{array} \right)
 \end{array}$$

Decomposition matrix of \mathfrak{S}_4

Example: $GL_4(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, $\ell = 3$, $p \neq 3$

$$\begin{array}{l} \chi_4 \\ \chi_{31} \\ \chi_{2^2} \\ \chi_{21^2} \\ \chi_{1^4} \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\chi_4} & \overline{\chi_{31}} & \overline{\chi_{1^4}} & \overline{\chi_{21^2}} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Decomposition matrix of \mathfrak{S}_4

		1^4	21^2	2^2	31	4
χ_4	1^4	1	0	0	0	0
χ_{31}	21^2		1	0	0	0
χ_{2^2}	2^2			1	0	0
χ_{21^2}	31				1	0
χ_{1^4}	4					1

Decomposition matrix $D^{\mathcal{N}}$

Example: $GL_4(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, $\ell = 3$, $p \neq 3$

$$\begin{array}{l} \chi_4 \\ \chi_{31} \\ \chi_{2^2} \\ \chi_{21^2} \\ \chi_{1^4} \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\chi}_4 & \overline{\chi}_{31} & \overline{\chi}_{1^4} & \overline{\chi}_{21^2} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Decomposition matrix of \mathfrak{S}_4

		$\overline{\chi}_4$				
		1^4	21^2	2^2	31	4
χ_4	1^4	1	0	0	0	0
χ_{31}	21^2		1	0	0	0
χ_{2^2}	2^2			1	0	0
χ_{21^2}	31				1	0
χ_{1^4}	4					1

Decomposition matrix $D^{\mathcal{N}}$

Example: $GL_4(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, $\ell = 3$, $p \neq 3$

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \chi_4 \\
 \chi_{31} \\
 \chi_{2^2} \\
 \chi_{21^2} \\
 \chi_{1^4}
 \end{array}
 \begin{pmatrix}
 \overline{\chi}_4 & \overline{\chi}_{31} & \overline{\chi}_{1^4} & \overline{\chi}_{21^2} \\
 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
 \hline
 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
 \end{pmatrix}$$

Decomposition matrix of \mathfrak{S}_4

		$\overline{\chi}_4$				
		1^4	21^2	2^2	31	4
χ_4	1^4	1	0	0	0	0
χ_{31}	21^2	0	1	0	0	0
χ_{2^2}	2^2	1		1	0	0
χ_{21^2}	31	0			1	0
χ_{1^4}	4	0				1

Decomposition matrix $D^{\mathcal{N}}$

Example: $GL_4(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, $\ell = 3$, $p \neq 3$

$$\begin{array}{l} \chi_4 \\ \chi_{31} \\ \chi_{2^2} \\ \chi_{21^2} \\ \chi_{1^4} \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\chi}_4 & \overline{\chi}_{31} & \overline{\chi}_{1^4} & \overline{\chi}_{21^2} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Decomposition matrix of \mathfrak{S}_4

		$\overline{\chi}_4$ 1^4	$\overline{\chi}_{31}$ 21^2	$\overline{\chi}_{1^4}$ 2^2	$\overline{\chi}_{21^2}$ 31	4
χ_4	1^4	1	0	0	0	0
χ_{31}	21^2	0	1	0	0	0
χ_{2^2}	2^2	1	0	1	0	0
χ_{21^2}	31	0	0	0	1	0
χ_{1^4}	4	0	0	1	0	1

Decomposition matrix $D^{\mathcal{N}}$

Example: $GL_4(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, $\ell = 3$, $p \neq 3$

$$\begin{array}{l} \chi_4 \\ \chi_{31} \\ \chi_{2^2} \\ \chi_{21^2} \\ \chi_{1^4} \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\chi}_4 & \overline{\chi}_{31} & \overline{\chi}_{1^4} & \overline{\chi}_{21^2} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Decomposition matrix of \mathfrak{S}_4
 $\{\chi_4, \chi_{31}, \chi_{2^2}, \chi_{21^2}\}$
 is called a basic set for \mathfrak{S}_4

		$\overline{\chi}_4$ 1^4	$\overline{\chi}_{31}$ 21^2	$\overline{\chi}_{1^4}$ 2^2	$\overline{\chi}_{21^2}$ 31	4
χ_4	1^4	1	0	0	0	0
χ_{31}	21^2	0	1	0	0	0
χ_{2^2}	2^2	1	0	1	0	0
χ_{21^2}	31	0	0	0	1	0
χ_{1^4}	4	0	0	1	0	1

Decomposition matrix $D^{\mathcal{N}}$

Basic data, Springer basic data

Basic set datum

Definition

A basic set datum for W is a pair $\mathfrak{B} = (\leq, \beta)$, consisting of a partial order \leq on $\text{Irr } \mathbb{K}W$, and an injection $\beta : \text{Irr } \mathbb{F}W \hookrightarrow \text{Irr } \mathbb{K}W$ such that:

$$d_{\beta(F), F}^W = 1 \text{ for all } F \in \text{Irr } \mathbb{F}W,$$
$$d_{E, F}^W \neq 0 \Rightarrow E \leq \beta(F) \text{ for } E \in \text{Irr } \mathbb{K}W, F \in \text{Irr } \mathbb{F}W.$$

Basic set datum

Definition

A basic set datum for W is a pair $\mathfrak{B} = (\leq, \beta)$, consisting of a partial order \leq on $\text{Irr } \mathbb{K}W$, and an injection $\beta : \text{Irr } \mathbb{F}W \hookrightarrow \text{Irr } \mathbb{K}W$ such that:

$$d_{\beta(F), F}^W = 1 \text{ for all } F \in \text{Irr } \mathbb{F}W,$$

$$d_{E, F}^W \neq 0 \Rightarrow E \leq \beta(F) \text{ for } E \in \text{Irr } \mathbb{K}W, F \in \text{Irr } \mathbb{F}W.$$

Proposition

Let (\leq_1, β_1) and (\leq_2, β_2) be two basic set data for W .
If \leq_2 is a finer order than \leq_1 , then, $\beta_1 = \beta_2$.

Springer basic set datum

Definition: Springer order on $\text{Irr } \mathbb{K}W$

For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, let $E_i \in \text{Irr } \mathbb{K}W$, and let us write $\Psi_{\mathbb{K}}(E_i) = (x_i, \rho_i)$.
Then, $E_1 \leq^{\mathcal{N}} E_2 \iff (E_1 = E_2 \text{ or } \mathcal{O}_{x_2} < \mathcal{O}_{x_1})$

Springer basic set datum

Definition: Springer order on $\text{Irr } \mathbb{K}W$

For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, let $E_i \in \text{Irr } \mathbb{K}W$, and let us write $\Psi_{\mathbb{K}}(E_i) = (x_i, \rho_i)$. Then, $E_1 \leq^{\mathcal{N}} E_2 \iff (E_1 = E_2 \text{ or } \mathcal{O}_{x_2} < \mathcal{O}_{x_1})$

Proposition

Let $F \in \text{Irr } \mathbb{F}W$, and let us write $\Psi_{\mathbb{F}}(F) = (x, \sigma)$. Then there exists a unique $E \in \text{Irr } \mathbb{K}W$ such that $\Psi_{\mathbb{K}}(E) = (x, \sigma)$.

Definition

We define the map $\beta^{\mathcal{N}} : \text{Irr } \mathbb{F}W \rightarrow \text{Irr } \mathbb{K}W$ by the condition $\beta^{\mathcal{N}}(F) = E \iff \Psi_{\mathbb{F}}(F) = \Psi_{\mathbb{K}}(E)$.

Springer basic set datum

Definition: Springer order on $\text{Irr } \mathbb{K}W$

For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, let $E_i \in \text{Irr } \mathbb{K}W$, and let us write $\Psi_{\mathbb{K}}(E_i) = (x_i, \rho_i)$. Then, $E_1 \leq^{\mathcal{N}} E_2 \iff (E_1 = E_2 \text{ or } \mathcal{O}_{x_2} < \mathcal{O}_{x_1})$

Proposition

Let $F \in \text{Irr } \mathbb{F}W$, and let us write $\Psi_{\mathbb{F}}(F) = (x, \sigma)$. Then there exists a unique $E \in \text{Irr } \mathbb{K}W$ such that $\Psi_{\mathbb{K}}(E) = (x, \sigma)$.

Definition

We define the map $\beta^{\mathcal{N}} : \text{Irr } \mathbb{F}W \rightarrow \text{Irr } \mathbb{K}W$ by the condition $\beta^{\mathcal{N}}(F) = E \iff \Psi_{\mathbb{F}}(F) = \Psi_{\mathbb{K}}(E)$.

$(\leq^{\mathcal{N}}, \beta^{\mathcal{N}})$ is a basic set datum for W , we will call it the **Springer basic set datum** for W .

The case of $GL_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$

The decomposition matrix of \mathfrak{S}_n (James, 1976)

$\text{Irr } \mathbb{K}\mathfrak{S}_n = \{S^\lambda; \lambda \vdash n\}$ (Specht modules)

S^λ is defined over \mathbb{Z} and is endowed with a scalar product which is also defined over \mathbb{Z} , and thus one can reduce them to get a module for $\mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_n$, still denoted by S^λ , endowed with a symmetric bilinear form f , which no longer needs to be non-degenerate.

Then $S^\lambda / \text{Ker}(f) = \begin{cases} D^\lambda \in \text{Irr } \mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_n & \text{if } \lambda \text{ is } \ell\text{-regular} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

$\text{Irr } \mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_n = \{D^\lambda; \lambda \vdash n \text{ } \ell\text{-regular}\}$

The decomposition matrix of \mathfrak{S}_n (James, 1976)

$\text{Irr } \mathbb{K}\mathfrak{S}_n = \{S^\lambda; \lambda \vdash n\}$ (Specht modules)

S^λ is defined over \mathbb{Z} and is endowed with a scalar product which is also defined over \mathbb{Z} , and thus one can reduce them to get a module for $\mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_n$, still denoted by S^λ , endowed with a symmetric bilinear form f , which no longer needs to be non-degenerate.

Then $S^\lambda / \text{Ker}(f) = \begin{cases} D^\lambda \in \text{Irr } \mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_n & \text{if } \lambda \text{ is } \ell\text{-regular} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

$\text{Irr } \mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_n = \{D^\lambda; \lambda \vdash n \text{ } \ell\text{-regular}\}$

James basic set datum (\leq^{DJ}, β^{DJ})

- ▶ $S^\lambda \leq^{DJ} S^\mu \Leftrightarrow \lambda \leq \mu$ (dominance order)
- ▶ $\beta^{DJ} : \text{Irr } \mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_n \rightarrow \text{Irr } \mathbb{K}\mathfrak{S}_n$ is defined by $\beta^{DJ}(D^\lambda) = S^\lambda$

- ▶ Nilpotent orbits of GL_n : $\{\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \lambda \vdash n\}$.
The orbit closure order is given by the dominance order on partitions.

- ▶ Nilpotent orbits of GL_n : $\{\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \lambda \vdash n\}$.
The orbit closure order is given by the dominance order on partitions.
- ▶ $\Psi_{\mathbb{K}}$ maps $S^\lambda \in \text{Irr } \mathbb{K}\mathfrak{S}_n$ to the orbit \mathcal{O}_{λ^*}

- ▶ Nilpotent orbits of GL_n : $\{\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \lambda \vdash n\}$.
The orbit closure order is given by the dominance order on partitions.
- ▶ $\Psi_{\mathbb{K}}$ maps $S^\lambda \in \text{Irr } \mathbb{K}\mathfrak{S}_n$ to the orbit \mathcal{O}_{λ^*}
- ▶ Springer order on $\text{Irr } \mathbb{K}\mathfrak{S}_n$:

$$S^\lambda \leq^{\mathcal{N}} S^\mu \Leftrightarrow \lambda = \mu \text{ or } \mathcal{O}_{\mu^*} < \mathcal{O}_{\lambda^*}$$

- ▶ Nilpotent orbits of GL_n : $\{\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \lambda \vdash n\}$.
The orbit closure order is given by the dominance order on partitions.
- ▶ $\Psi_{\mathbb{K}}$ maps $S^\lambda \in \text{Irr } \mathbb{K}\mathfrak{S}_n$ to the orbit \mathcal{O}_{λ^*}
- ▶ Springer order on $\text{Irr } \mathbb{K}\mathfrak{S}_n$:

$$S^\lambda \leq^{\mathcal{N}} S^\mu \Leftrightarrow \lambda = \mu \text{ or } \mathcal{O}_{\mu^*} < \mathcal{O}_{\lambda^*}$$

- ▶ The Springer and James basic set data involve the same order relation, hence they coincide:
 $S^\lambda = \beta^{\mathcal{N}}(D^\lambda)$ is the unique $E \in \text{Irr } \mathbb{K}\mathfrak{S}_n$ such that $\Psi_{\mathbb{F}}(D^\lambda) = \Psi_{\mathbb{K}}(E)$.

- ▶ Nilpotent orbits of GL_n : $\{\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \lambda \vdash n\}$.
The orbit closure order is given by the dominance order on partitions.
- ▶ $\Psi_{\mathbb{K}}$ maps $S^\lambda \in \text{Irr } \mathbb{K}\mathfrak{S}_n$ to the orbit \mathcal{O}_{λ^*}
- ▶ Springer order on $\text{Irr } \mathbb{K}\mathfrak{S}_n$:

$$S^\lambda \leq^{\mathcal{N}} S^\mu \Leftrightarrow \lambda = \mu \text{ or } \mathcal{O}_{\mu^*} < \mathcal{O}_{\lambda^*}$$

- ▶ The Springer and James basic set data involve the same order relation, hence they coincide:
 $S^\lambda = \beta^{\mathcal{N}}(D^\lambda)$ is the unique $E \in \text{Irr } \mathbb{K}\mathfrak{S}_n$ such that
 $\Psi_{\mathbb{F}}(D^\lambda) = \Psi_{\mathbb{K}}(E)$.

Modular Springer correspondence for GL_n

$$\Psi_{\mathbb{F}} : D^\mu \in \text{Irr } \mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_n \mapsto \mathcal{O}_{\mu^*}$$

The case of groups of type B or C

Decomposition matrix for the Weyl group W_n of type B_n (Dipper-James, 1990)

$\text{Irr } \mathbb{K}W_n = \{\mathbf{S}^\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathfrak{Bip}_n\}$, where \mathfrak{Bip}_n is the set of bipart. of n .

$\text{Irr } \mathbb{F}W_n = \{\mathbf{D}^\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathfrak{Bip}_n^{(\ell)}\}$, where $\mathfrak{Bip}_n^{(\ell)}$ is the set of $\lambda = (\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}) \in \mathfrak{Bip}_n$ s.t. $\lambda^{(1)}$ and $\lambda^{(2)}$ are ℓ -regular.

Decomposition matrix for the Weyl group W_n of type B_n (Dipper-James, 1990)

$\text{Irr } \mathbb{K}W_n = \{\mathbf{S}^\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathfrak{Bip}_n\}$, where \mathfrak{Bip}_n is the set of bipart. of n .

$\text{Irr } \mathbb{F}W_n = \{\mathbf{D}^\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathfrak{Bip}_n^{(\ell)}\}$, where $\mathfrak{Bip}_n^{(\ell)}$ is the set of $\lambda = (\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}) \in \mathfrak{Bip}_n$ s.t. $\lambda^{(1)}$ and $\lambda^{(2)}$ are ℓ -regular.

Dipper-James order on bipartitions

$$\lambda \leq^{DJ} \mu \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} |\lambda^{(i)}| = |\mu^{(i)}|, \\ \lambda^{(i)} \leq \mu^{(i)} \end{cases} \text{ for } i \in \{1, 2\}$$

Decomposition matrix for the Weyl group W_n of type B_n (Dipper-James, 1990)

$\text{Irr } \mathbb{K}W_n = \{\mathbf{S}^\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathfrak{Bip}_n\}$, where \mathfrak{Bip}_n is the set of bipart. of n .

$\text{Irr } \mathbb{F}W_n = \{\mathbf{D}^\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathfrak{Bip}_n^{(\ell)}\}$, where $\mathfrak{Bip}_n^{(\ell)}$ is the set of $\lambda = (\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}) \in \mathfrak{Bip}_n$ s.t. $\lambda^{(1)}$ and $\lambda^{(2)}$ are ℓ -regular.

Dipper-James order on bipartitions

$$\lambda \leq^{DJ} \mu \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} |\lambda^{(i)}| = |\mu^{(i)}|, \\ \lambda^{(i)} \leq \mu^{(i)} \end{cases} \text{ for } i \in \{1, 2\}$$

Dipper-James basic set datum (\leq^{DJ}, β^{DJ})

- ▶ Order on $\text{Irr } \mathbb{K}W_n$ induced by \leq^{DJ} ,
- ▶ $\beta^{DJ} : \text{Irr } \mathbb{F}W_n \rightarrow \text{Irr } \mathbb{K}W_n$ is defined by $\beta^{DJ}(\mathbf{D}^\lambda) := \mathbf{S}^\lambda$.

Springer correspondence in characteristic 0

Let G be a connected reductive group of type $X_n \in \{B_n, C_n\}$.

$\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{K}}$ is then parametrized by a set **Symb** (X_n) of "symbols" which are some pairs (α, β) of finite increasing sequences of positive integers satisfying some specific conditions.

Springer correspondence in characteristic 0

Let G be a connected reductive group of type $X_n \in \{B_n, C_n\}$.

$\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbb{K}}$ is then parametrized by a set **Symb**(X_n) of "symbols" which are some pairs (α, β) of finite increasing sequences of positive integers satisfying some specific conditions.

Combinatorial description of the Springer correspondence over \mathbb{K} (Shoji, Lusztig)

$$\Lambda : \mathfrak{Bip}_n \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Symb}(X_n)$$

Example: type C_3

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\lambda_1^{(1)} \leq \lambda_2^{(1)} \leq \lambda_3^{(1)} \leq \lambda_4^{(1)}), (\lambda_1^{(2)} \leq \lambda_2^{(2)} \leq \lambda_3^{(2)})) \in \mathfrak{Bip}_3 \\ \mapsto & \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^{(1)} & \lambda_2^{(1)} + 2 & \lambda_3^{(1)} + 4 & \lambda_4^{(1)} + 6 \\ \lambda_1^{(2)} + 1 & \lambda_2^{(2)} + 3 & \lambda_3^{(2)} + 5 & \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{Symb}(C_3) \end{aligned}$$

Springer correspondence in characteristic 0

Let G be a connected reductive group of type $X_n \in \{B_n, C_n\}$.

$\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbb{K}}$ is then parametrized by a set **Symb**(X_n) of "symbols" which are some pairs (α, β) of finite increasing sequences of positive integers satisfying some specific conditions.

Combinatorial description of the Springer correspondence over \mathbb{K} (Shoji, Lusztig)

$$\Lambda : \mathfrak{Bip}_n \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Symb}(X_n)$$

Example: type C_3

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\lambda_1^{(1)} \leq \lambda_2^{(1)} \leq \lambda_3^{(1)} \leq \lambda_4^{(1)}), (\lambda_1^{(2)} \leq \lambda_2^{(2)} \leq \lambda_3^{(2)})) \in \mathfrak{Bip}_3 \\ & \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^{(1)} & \lambda_2^{(1)} + 2 & \lambda_3^{(1)} + 4 & \lambda_4^{(1)} + 6 \\ \lambda_1^{(2)} + 1 & \lambda_2^{(2)} + 3 & \lambda_3^{(2)} + 5 & \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{Symb}(C_3) \end{aligned}$$

To get $\Psi_{\mathbb{K}}$, we first need to send $(\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)})$ to $(\lambda^{(2)*}, \lambda^{(1)*})$

Springer order on $\text{Irr } \mathbb{K}W$

Using the Jordan canonical form, one can parametrize nilpotent orbits of a group of type $X_n \in \{B_n, C_n\}$ by some set $\mathcal{P}(X_n)$ of partitions.

Hence we get a combinatorial process which sends a bipartition of \mathfrak{Bip}_n to a partition of $\mathcal{P}(X_n)$.

Springer order on $\text{Irr } \mathbb{K}W$

Using the Jordan canonical form, one can parametrize nilpotent orbits of a group of type $X_n \in \{B_n, C_n\}$ by some set $\mathcal{P}(X_n)$ of partitions.

Hence we get a combinatorial process which sends a bipartition of \mathfrak{Bip}_n to a partition of $\mathcal{P}(X_n)$.

The orbit closure order on nilpotent orbits is still given by the dominance order on partitions.

By making use of the above combinatorial process, we can define on \mathfrak{Bip}_n the Springer order.

We would like to compare Dipper-James order and Springer order.

Group of type C_3

\mathfrak{Bip}_3	$\mathbf{Symb}(C_3)$	$\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{K}}$	\mathfrak{Bip}_3	$\mathbf{Symb}(C_3)$	$\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{K}}$
$(3, -)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 4 & 9 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & \end{pmatrix}$		$(1, 2)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 4 & 7 \\ 1 & 3 & 7 & \end{pmatrix}$	
$(12, -)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 5 & 8 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & \end{pmatrix}$		$(1, 1^2)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 4 & 7 \\ 1 & 4 & 6 & \end{pmatrix}$	
$(1^3, -)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 & 5 & 7 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & \end{pmatrix}$		$(-, 3)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 4 & 6 \\ 1 & 3 & 8 & \end{pmatrix}$	
$(2, 1)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 4 & 8 \\ 1 & 3 & 6 & \end{pmatrix}$		$(-, 12)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 4 & 6 \\ 1 & 4 & 7 & \end{pmatrix}$	
$(1^2, 1)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 5 & 7 \\ 1 & 3 & 6 & \end{pmatrix}$		$(-, 1^3)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 4 & 6 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 & \end{pmatrix}$	

Group of type C_3

\mathfrak{Bip}_3	$\mathbf{Symb}(C_3)$	$\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{K}}$	\mathfrak{Bip}_3	$\mathbf{Symb}(C_3)$	$\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{K}}$
$(3, -)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 4 & 9 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & \end{pmatrix}$	$(6, \mathbf{1})$	$(1, 2)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 4 & 7 \\ 1 & 3 & 7 & \end{pmatrix}$	$(3^2, \mathbf{1})$
$(12, -)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 5 & 8 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & \end{pmatrix}$	$(1^2 4, \mathbf{1})$	$(1, 1^2)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 4 & 7 \\ 1 & 4 & 6 & \end{pmatrix}$	$(1^2 2^2, \mathbf{1})$
$(1^3, -)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 & 5 & 7 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & \end{pmatrix}$	$(1^4 2, \mathbf{1})$	$(-, 3)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 4 & 6 \\ 1 & 3 & 8 & \end{pmatrix}$	
$(2, 1)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 4 & 8 \\ 1 & 3 & 6 & \end{pmatrix}$	$(24, \mathbf{1})$	$(-, 12)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 4 & 6 \\ 1 & 4 & 7 & \end{pmatrix}$	
$(1^2, 1)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 5 & 7 \\ 1 & 3 & 6 & \end{pmatrix}$	$(2^3, \mathbf{1})$	$(-, 1^3)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 4 & 6 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 & \end{pmatrix}$	$(1^6, \mathbf{1})$

Group of type C_3

\mathfrak{Bip}_3	$\mathbf{Symb}(C_3)$	$\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{K}}$	\mathfrak{Bip}_3	$\mathbf{Symb}(C_3)$	$\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{K}}$
$(3, -)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 4 & 9 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & \end{pmatrix}$	$(6, \mathbf{1})$	$(1, 2)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 4 & 7 \\ 1 & 3 & 7 & \end{pmatrix}$	$(3^2, \mathbf{1})$
$(12, -)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 5 & 8 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & \end{pmatrix}$	$(1^2 4, \mathbf{1})$	$(1, 1^2)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 4 & 7 \\ 1 & 4 & 6 & \end{pmatrix}$	$(1^2 2^2, \mathbf{1})$
$(1^3, -)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 & 5 & 7 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & \end{pmatrix}$	$(1^4 2, \mathbf{1})$	$(-, 3)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 4 & 6 \\ 1 & 3 & 8 & \end{pmatrix}$	
$(2, 1)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 4 & 8 \\ 1 & 3 & 6 & \end{pmatrix}$	$(24, \mathbf{1})$	$(-, 12)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 4 & 6 \\ 1 & 4 & 7 & \end{pmatrix}$	
$(1^2, 1)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 5 & 7 \\ 1 & 3 & 6 & \end{pmatrix}$	$(2^3, \mathbf{1})$	$(-, 1^3)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 4 & 6 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 & \end{pmatrix}$	$(1^6, \mathbf{1})$

Group of type C_3

\mathfrak{Bip}_3	$\mathbf{Symb}(C_3)$	$\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{K}}$	\mathfrak{Bip}_3	$\mathbf{Symb}(C_3)$	$\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{K}}$
$(3, -)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 4 & 9 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & \end{pmatrix}$	$(6, \mathbf{1})$	$(1, 2)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 4 & 7 \\ 1 & 3 & 7 & \end{pmatrix}$	$(3^2, \mathbf{1})$
$(12, -)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 5 & 8 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & \end{pmatrix}$	$(1^2 4, \mathbf{1})$	$(1, 1^2)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 4 & 7 \\ 1 & 4 & 6 & \end{pmatrix}$	$(1^2 2^2, \mathbf{1})$
$(1^3, -)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 & 5 & 7 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & \end{pmatrix}$	$(1^4 2, \mathbf{1})$	$(-, 3)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 4 & 6 \\ 1 & 3 & 8 & \end{pmatrix}$	$(24, \varepsilon)$
$(2, 1)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 4 & 8 \\ 1 & 3 & 6 & \end{pmatrix}$	$(24, \mathbf{1})$	$(-, 12)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 4 & 6 \\ 1 & 4 & 7 & \end{pmatrix}$	$(1^2 2^2, \varepsilon)$
$(1^2, 1)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 5 & 7 \\ 1 & 3 & 6 & \end{pmatrix}$	$(2^3, \mathbf{1})$	$(-, 1^3)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 4 & 6 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 & \end{pmatrix}$	$(1^6, \mathbf{1})$

Springer correspondence in characteristic ℓ

The ordinary Springer correspondence can be described by a combinatorial process which sends a bipartition of \mathfrak{Bip}_n to a partition of $\mathcal{P}(X_n)$.

Moreover, if $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{Bip}_n$ are sent respectively to λ and μ by this process, then

$$\lambda \leq^{DJ} \mu \Rightarrow \lambda \leq \mu$$

Springer correspondence in characteristic ℓ

The ordinary Springer correspondence can be described by a combinatorial process which sends a bipartition of \mathfrak{Bip}_n to a partition of $\mathcal{P}(X_n)$.

Moreover, if $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{Bip}_n$ are sent respectively to λ and μ by this process, then

$$\lambda \leq^{DJ} \mu \Rightarrow \lambda \leq \mu$$

Hence, Dipper-James order for $\text{Irr } \mathbb{K}W_n$ is coarser than Springer order.

Once again, Dipper-James and Springer basic sets coincide.

Theorem

The modular Springer correspondence for a group G of type B or C maps the simple $\mathbb{F}W$ -module D^λ ($\lambda \in \mathfrak{Bip}_n^{(\ell)}$) to the image of the simple $\mathbb{K}W$ -module S^λ under the ordinary Springer correspondence.