

λ計算の評価

Jacques Garrigue, 2019年1月16日

1 前回の課題

```
Section Iterator. (* ... *)
Lemma eval_drop_cat st l1 l2 :
  eval_drop (length l1) st (l1 ++ Cnext :: l2) = eval_code st l2.
Proof. by induction l1. Qed.
```

```
Lemma eval_code_cat stack (l1 l2 : seq code) :
  balanced l1 ->
  eval_code stack (l1 ++ l2) = eval_code (eval_code stack l1) l2.
Proof.
  move=> Hbal.
  elim: l1 Hbal stack l2.
    by destruct c.
      move=> l1 l2 Hbal1 IHl1 Hbal2 IHl2 st l'.
      by rewrite -catA !IHl1 IHl2.
  move=> l1 Hbal IHl1 st l'.
  destruct st => /=. by rewrite -catA /= !eval_drop_cat.
  destruct z => //=. rewrite -catA /= !eval_drop_cat //=.
  f_equal; apply eq_iter => st'.
  by rewrite !IHl1.
Qed.
```

```
Theorem compile_correct e d stack :
  eval_code stack (compile d e) = eval (drop d stack) e :: stack.
Proof.
  move: d stack.
  induction e; simpl; intros; auto.
  by rewrite nth_drop.
  by rewrite eval_code_cat ?IHc2 ?eval_code_cat ?IHc1 //; apply compile_balanced.
  by rewrite eval_code_cat ?IHc2 //; apply compile_balanced.
  by rewrite eval_code_cat ?IHc2 ?eval_code_cat ?IHc1 //; apply compile_balanced.
Qed.
```

Hint Resolve compile_balanced compile_cmd_balanced.

```
Theorem compile_cmd_correct c stack :
  eval_code stack (compile_cmd c) = eval_cmd stack c.
```

```
Proof.
  move: stack.
  induction c => stack /=.
    by rewrite eval_code_cat ?compile_correct ?drop0.
      by rewrite eval_code_cat ?compile_correct ?drop0 // IHc1 IHc2.
  rewrite eval_code_cat ?compile_correct ?drop0 //.
  rewrite /= eval_drop_cat //=.
  case: (eval stack e) => //.
  move=> p; apply eq_iter => st.
  by rewrite eval_code_cat //=.
Qed.
```

Qed.
End Iterator.

2 λ計算

From mathcomp Require Import all_ssreflect.

(* Lambda calculator *)

Module Lambda.

Inductive expr : Set :=

| Var of nat (* De Bruijn 添字 *)
 | Abs of expr
 | App of expr & expr.

Fixpoint shift k (e : expr) := (* 自由変数をずらす *)

match e with
 | Var n => if k <= n then Var n.+1 else Var n
 | Abs e1 => Abs (shift k.+1 e1)
 | App e1 e2 => App (shift k e1) (shift k e2)
 end.

Fixpoint open_rec k u (e : expr) := (* 自由変数の代入 *)

match e with
 | Var n => if k == n then u else if leq k n then Var n.-1 else e
 | Abs e1 => Abs (open_rec k.+1 (shift 0 u) e1)
 | App e1 e2 => App (open_rec k u e1) (open_rec k u e2)
 end.

Fixpoint reduce (e : expr) : option expr := (* 1 ステップ簡約 *)

match e with
 | App (Abs e1) e2 => Some (open_rec 0 e2 e1)
 | App e1 e2 =>
 match reduce e1, reduce e2 with
 | Some e1', _ => Some (App e1' e2)
 | None, Some e2' => Some (App e1 e2')
 | None, None => None
 end
 | Abs e1 =>
 if reduce e1 is Some e1' then Some (Abs e1') else None
 | _ => None
 end.

Fixpoint eval (n : nat) e := (* n ステップ簡約 *)

if n is k.+1 then
 if reduce e is Some e' then eval k e' else e
 else e.

Coercion Var : nat >-> expr.

Fixpoint church (n : nat) := Abs (Abs (iter n (App 1) 0)). (* $\lambda f.\lambda x.(f^n x)$ *)
 Eval compute in church 3.

```

Definition chadd := Abs (Abs (Abs (Abs (App (App 3 1) (App (App 2 1) 0))))).
(*  $\lambda m.\lambda n.\lambda f.\lambda x.(m f (n f x))$  *)
Eval compute in eval 6 (App (App chadd (church 3)) (church 2)).

```

Lemma eval_add m n e : eval (m+n) e = eval m (eval n e). Admitted.

```

Inductive reduces : expr -> expr -> Prop :=
| Rbeta : forall e1 e2, reduces (App (Abs e1) e2) (open_rec 0 e2 e1)
| Rapp1 : forall e1 e2 e1',
    reduces e1 e1' -> reduces (App e1 e2) (App e1' e2)
| Rapp2 : forall e1 e2 e2',
    reduces e2 e2' -> reduces (App e1 e2) (App e1 e2')
| Rabs : forall e1 e1',
    reduces e1 e1' -> reduces (Abs e1) (Abs e1').

```

Hint Constructors reduces.

Lemma reduce_ok e e' : reduce e = Some e' -> reduces e e'.

Proof.

```

move: e'.
induction e => // = e'.
  case_eq (reduce e) => [e1|] He // [] <-.
  admit.
destruct e1 => //.
+ admit.
+ move => [] <-.
  by constructor.
+ case_eq (reduce (App e1_1 e1_2)) => [e1'|] He1.
  move => [] <-.

```

Admitted.

```

Fixpoint closed_expr n e :=
  match e with
  | Var k => k < n
  | App e1 e2 => closed_expr n e1 && closed_expr n e2
  | Abs e1 => closed_expr n.+1 e1
  end.

```

Lemma closed_expr_S n e : closed_expr n e -> closed_expr n.+1 e. Admitted.

Lemma open_rec_closed n u e : closed_expr n e -> open_rec n u e = e.

Proof.

```

move: n u.
induction e => // = k u Hc.
+ case: ifP => Hk1.
  by rewrite (eqP Hk1) lttn in Hc.
  by rewrite leqNgt Hc.

```

Admitted.

Lemma shift_closed n e : closed_expr n e -> shift n e = e. Admitted.

Lemma closed_iter_app n k e1 e2 :

```

  closed_expr k e1 -> closed_expr k e2 -> closed_expr k (iter n (App e1) e2).

```

Admitted.

Lemma closed_church n : closed_expr 0 (church n). Admitted.
Hint Resolve closed_iter_app closed_church closed_expr_S.

Lemma open_iter_app k n u e1 e2 :
 open_rec k u (iter n (App e1) e2) =
 iter n (App (open_rec k u e1)) (open_rec k u e2).
Admitted.

Lemma reduce_iter_app n (k : nat) x :
 reduce (iter n (App k) x) =
 if reduce x is Some x' then Some (iter n (App k) x') else None.
Admitted.

Theorem chadd_ok m n :
 exists h, exists h',
 eval h (App (App chadd (church m)) (church n)) = eval h' (church (m+n)).

Proof.

elim: m n => [|m IHm] n.
 rewrite add0n.
 exists 6; exists 0 => /=.
 rewrite !shift_closed; auto.
 by rewrite !open_iter_app /=.
move: {IHm}(IHm n.+1) => [h [h' IHm]].
exists (6+h); exists (6+h').
rewrite (addSnnS m) -(addn1 m).
move: (f_equal (eval 6) IHm).
rewrite -!eval_add => <- /=.
rewrite !shift_closed /=: auto.
rewrite !open_iter_app /=.
repeat rewrite !reduce_iter_app /=.
by rewrite iter_add.

Qed.

Inductive RT_closure {A} (R : A -> A -> Prop) : A -> A -> Prop :=
 | RTbase : forall a, RT_closure R a a
 | RTnext : forall a b c, R a b -> RT_closure R b c -> RT_closure R a c.

Lemma reduces_iter n e1 e2 e2' :
 reduces e2 e2' -> reduces (iter n (App e1) e2) (iter n (App e1) e2').
Admitted.

Theorem chadd_ok' m n :
 RT_closure reduces (App (App chadd (church m)) (church n)) (church (m+n)).

Proof.

eapply RTnext; repeat constructor.
simpl.
rewrite !shift_closed; auto.

Admitted.

End Lambda.